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ABSTRACT

In recent years, the field of epigenetic drug discovery has been rapidly growing and have resulted in several FDA-approved therapies. Epigenetic mechanisms predominantly consist of DNA methylation, histone modifications and non-coding RNA regulations. The nine isoforms of mammalian protein arginine methyltransferases (PRMT1 to PRMT9) are crucial histone modifying enzymes responsible for arginine methylation, which is an abundant posttranslational modification in eukaryotic cells. PRMTs are categorized into type I, II and III based on their catalytic products, which are asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA), symmetric dimethylarginine (SDMA) and monomethylarginine (MMA), respectively. The nine PRMTs have different roles in cells, many of which are not well understood. Numerous studies have suggested that PRMTs are promising drug targets for cancers because of their crucial biological functions. Therefore, PRMT inhibitors are potential therapeutic agents for cancer treatment and useful chemical tools for cancer research. Despite the steady progress being made in PRMT inhibitor research, challenges remain in the discovery of potent, isoform-selective, cell-permeable and in vivo-active PRMT inhibitors. This work focuses on the identification and characterization of small molecule inhibitors for two PRMT isoforms, PRMT1 (type I) and
PRMT5 (type II). Combinatorial inhibitor discovery approaches including virtual screening, target-based high throughput screening, chemical modification applied in this study have resulted in several novel chemical entities for potent and isoform-selective inhibition of PRMT1 or PRMT5 in vitro and in vivo. These compounds also showed significant anticancer efficacy in PRMT dysregulation-associated cancer models. Furthermore, an innovative stopped flow fluorescence assay was developed to measure PRMT enzymatic activity, representing the first continuous assay for PRMT inhibitor characterization that can determine potency and distinguish the mechanism of inhibition simultaneously.
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION


1.1 Targeting Epigenetics in Drug Discovery

Epigenetics is “a bridge connecting phenotype to genotype”, which refers to the study of heritable alterations in gene function other than the changes in DNA sequence \(^1\)\(^-\)\(^2\). It is one of the most rapidly expanding fields in life sciences. Epigenetics includes several prevailing events in living cells that record the developmental and environmental cues by modifying genetic material without altering the nucleotide sequences \(^3\). The predominant epigenetic mechanisms consist of DNA methylation, histone modifications and non-coding RNA regulations \(^4\)\(^-\)\(^7\). Typically, chemical modifications on DNA, histone or other related nuclear proteins control the chromatin remodeling and switching of the conformational transition of chromatin between transcriptionally active state and inactive state \(^8\). Epigenetic regulations can turn-on or turn-off the expression of certain genes, and determine the normal production of proteins and cellular differentiation. Therefore, abnormal epigenetic functions are associated with various pathogenic pathways in human diseases, such as cancers, autoimmune disorders and neurological disorders \(^9\)\(^-\)\(^10\). Among all, cancer epigenetics have become one of the most studied areas, due to the fact that the epigenetic events collaborate with genetic alterations in almost all aspects of tumor biology \(^11\)\(^-\)\(^13\).
Proteins that are directly responsible for the epigenetic changes, including writers that install the chemical marks, erasers that remove the marks and readers that recognize the marks, are widely studied for their potential as anticancer drug targets. Until now, seven epigenetic therapies are approved by the FDA to treat hematologic disorders and blood cancers (Table 1.1), and many more are in Phase I, Phase II or Phase III clinical trials. Mounting evidence in cancer biology studies along with promising clinical and preclinical results have signified that utilization of epigenetic therapies are effective and provide a valuable approach to chemotherapy of cancer.

Table 1.1 FDA approved epigenetic therapies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Epigenetic target</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Approval year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Azacitidine</td>
<td>DNA methyltransferase 1</td>
<td>Myelodysplastic syndrome</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decitabine</td>
<td>DNA methyltransferase 1</td>
<td>Myelodysplastic syndrome</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vorinostat</td>
<td>Histone deacetylases</td>
<td>Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romidepsin</td>
<td>Class I Histone deacetylases</td>
<td>Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belinostat</td>
<td>Histone deacetylases</td>
<td>Peripheral T-cell lymphoma</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panobinostat</td>
<td>Histone deacetylases</td>
<td>Multiple myeloma</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.2 Protein Arginine Methyltransferases

Among all the epigenetic protein families, enzymes that deposit the marks on the histones are named as histone writers, including protein methyltransferases (PMTs). PMTs include two families: PKMTs (protein lysine methyltransferases) and PRMTs (protein arginine methyltransferases). The methylation of arginine residues is catalyzed by the PRMTs. To date, eleven PRMT members (PRMT1-PRMT11) have been identified, and nine of them are
mammalian PRMTs (PRMT1-PRMT9)\textsuperscript{20-21}. PRMTs are considered as epigenetic regulators because histone tails are one of their primary targets\textsuperscript{22}. Other than functioning on the histones, PRMTs also methylate other various cellular proteins (Table 1.2). In general, most of the PRMTs prefer to recognize glycine- and arginine-rich (GAR) motifs in their substrates, except for CARM1 (PRMT4), which instead has an affinity toward proline, glycine, methionine and arginine-rich (PGM) motifs. PRMT5 also has an affinity for PGM motifs in some cases\textsuperscript{23-24}. The existing crystal structures of PRMTs are shown in Figure 1.1.

**Figure 1.1. Crystal structures of PRMTs.** PDB codes: PRMT1:1OR8 (rat, with SAH), PRMT3: 3SMQ (human, with an allosteric inhibitor), CARM1: 3B3F (rat, dimer, with SAH), PRMT5-MEP50 complex: 4GQB (human, MEP50 is indicated in green, with a SAM analog), PRMT6: 4HC4 (human, with SAH), PRMT7: 3WST (C. elegans, with SAH).
The nine human PRMTs share a conserved catalytic site (Figure 1.2), which is organized around a Rossman fold for cofactor binding and a β-barrel for substrate binding25. Some PRMTs may contain domains for protein-protein interaction at the N-terminus, for example the SH3 domain in PRMT2, zinc finger of PRMT3, PH domain in CARM1 and a TIM barrel in PRMT5. Current evidences supports that these unique domains are responsible for the recruitment of other proteins, homo-oligomerization and may also take part in substrate binding26.

Figure 1.2. Domain architecture of human PRMTs.

1.3 Catalytic Activity of PRMTs

The catalytic reaction mediated by PRMTs are shown in Figure 1.3. The terminal guanidino group of an arginine residue on the protein substrates of PRMTs can be methylated in three different ways: monomethylation, asymmetrical dimethylation and symmetrical dimethylation. The methylated arginine products (MMA, ADMA and SDMA) have different functional consequences27. The nine mammalian PRMTs are classified into three types: type I
(PRMT1, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 8), type II (PRMT5, PRMT9), and type III (PRMT7). Both type I and type II PRMTs share MMA (mono-methylated arginine) as intermediate, with difference in the follow-up step: type I PRMTs further methylate MMA into aDMA while type II PRMTs will produce sDMA. Type III PRMT can only monomethylate the arginine residue. PRMT7 has been assigned as type III, but some studies show its ability to catalyze sDMA. PRMT9 is a newly discovered PRMT, and has been assigned to type II. The global arginine level in cell is 1500:3:2:1 for Arg:ADMA:MMA:SDMA. Among all, PRMT1 is the primary type I enzyme that is responsible for more than 50% of the total methylation in mouse embryotic fibroblasts (MEFs); PRMT5 is the primary type II enzyme. Studies have shown that the PRMT1 knockout or PRMT5 knockdown cells resulted in substrate scavenging by other PRMTs, which supports the dynamic interplay between different types of PRMTs.

Figure 1.3. Arginine methylation reaction and types of PRMTs. Only the side chain of arginine is shown. The methyl group transferred from SAM is indicated in blue.
An S\textsubscript{N}2 like mechanism was proposed for the methylation process. PRMTs transfer a methyl group from S-adenosyl methionine (AdoMet or SAM) to a guanidine nitrogen of arginine, generating methyl arginine with S-adenosyl homocysteine (AdoHcy or SAH) as byproduct\textsuperscript{28}. The two conserved glutamate residues (i.e. E144 and E153 of PRMT1) interacting with the substrate arginine were found to be crucial in charge re-distribution during the catalysis\textsuperscript{35-37}. Evidence also suggested that a conserved methionine residue was essential for the control SDMA and ADMA formation\textsuperscript{38-40}. Each addition of a methyl group to an arginine residue removes a potential hydrogen bond donor and changes its shape\textsuperscript{41}. Bulkiness and hydrophobicity are introduced by the additional mono- or dimethyl group(s) on the arginine residue of protein substrates and these properties affect protein–protein interactions. However, methylation does not neutralize the cationic charge of arginine residue\textsuperscript{42}.

1.4 Pharmacological Significance

Protein arginine methylation is an abundant modification that has been implicated in, but not limited to, signal transduction, gene transcription, DNA repair and mRNA splicing\textsuperscript{21}. An increasing amount of evidence shows that abnormity of PRMTs is associated to many diseases, including various cancers\textsuperscript{21}. Table 1.2 shows a brief summarization of the known relevance between PRMTs and cancers. For example, PRMT1 is an essential component of MLL (mixed-lineage leukemia) oncogenic transcriptional complex. One of the major target site, H4R3, is a suggestive marker for the diagnosis of prostate cancer\textsuperscript{43}. Aberrant expression of PRMT1 and CARM1 has been observed in breast cancer\textsuperscript{44-45}. PRMT5 acts as strong repressor of numerous genes, and the overexpression of PRMT5 has been observed in a variety of lymphoma, leukemia, gastric carcinoma, and immortalized fibro-blast cells\textsuperscript{46-48}. PRMT6 is a transcriptional repressor and PRMT7 can down regulate sensitized cancer cells\textsuperscript{22}. Overall, dysregulation of PRMTs has
been observed in diverse types of cancers, and the modulation of their levels affects cancer cell growth. This family of enzymes are considered promising therapeutic targets for cancer treatment\textsuperscript{20, 49}.

Table 1.2. Primary substrates, function and disease relevance of PRMTs.\textsuperscript{21, 49-50}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRMT</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Disease relevance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRMT1</td>
<td>H4R3\textsuperscript{51}, hnRNP A1\textsuperscript{52}, BTG1\textsuperscript{53}, TIS2\textsuperscript{53}, IFN\textalpha/\textbeta\textsuperscript{54}, ILF3\textsuperscript{55}, SPT5\textsuperscript{56}, SAF-A\textsuperscript{57}, p53\textsuperscript{58}, MRE11\textsuperscript{59}, FMRP\textsuperscript{60}, Sam68\textsuperscript{61}, SLM\textsuperscript{61}, ER\alpha\textsuperscript{62}, RUNX1\textsuperscript{63}, TAF15\textsuperscript{64}, BCR\textsuperscript{65}, CF Im59 and Im68\textsuperscript{66}, Ash2L\textsuperscript{67}, nuclear poly(A)-binding protein (PABP1)\textsuperscript{68}</td>
<td>Transcriptional coactivator\textsuperscript{69}, signal transduction\textsuperscript{62, 70}, RNA splicing\textsuperscript{71} and DNA repair\textsuperscript{59}</td>
<td>Overexpressed or aberrant in breast, prostate, lung, colon, bladder cancer and leukemia\textsuperscript{21}. Overexpressed or aberrant in pulmonary diseases: pulmonary fibrosis, pulmonary hypertension, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and asthma.\textsuperscript{72-74} Play regulatory roles in cardiovascular disease\textsuperscript{75-76}, diabetes\textsuperscript{77-78} and renal disease\textsuperscript{79-82}.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRMT2</td>
<td>H3R8\textsuperscript{83}, ER\alpha\textsuperscript{84}, Glutathione transferase\textsuperscript{85}</td>
<td>Transcriptional coactivator and androgen/estrogen receptor coactivator\textsuperscript{86}</td>
<td>Overexpressed or aberrant in breast cancer\textsuperscript{87-88}. Overexpressed in pulmonary inflammation\textsuperscript{73, 89}.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRMT3</td>
<td>FMRP\textsuperscript{60}, rpS2\textsuperscript{90}, PABP1\textsuperscript{68}</td>
<td>Ribosomal homeostasis\textsuperscript{91}</td>
<td>Enhanced activity in breast tumors\textsuperscript{21}. Overexpressed in coronary heart disease\textsuperscript{92} and chronic kidney disease\textsuperscript{93}.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARM1</td>
<td>H3R2, H3R17, H3R26\textsuperscript{94}, PABP1\textsuperscript{95}, SAP49 (CBP)/p300\textsuperscript{96}, FMRP\textsuperscript{60}, Sox9\textsuperscript{97}, CA150, SmB, U1C and SF3b4\textsuperscript{23}</td>
<td>Transcriptional coactivator\textsuperscript{69}, RNA splicing\textsuperscript{23}, cell proliferation\textsuperscript{98}, cell differentiation\textsuperscript{99}</td>
<td>Overexpressed in breast, prostate and colorectal cancer\textsuperscript{21}. Regulate human t-cell lymphotrophic virus type 1 (HTLV1)\textsuperscript{100}.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRMT5</td>
<td>H2AR3, H3R8, H4R3\textsuperscript{101}, H3R2\textsuperscript{102}, MBP (Myelin basic protein)\textsuperscript{103}, LSm4, Sm D1 and SmD3\textsuperscript{104}, EBNA-2\textsuperscript{105}, SPT5\textsuperscript{56}, EBNA-1\textsuperscript{106}, p53\textsuperscript{65}, CBP-</td>
<td>Transcriptional repressor\textsuperscript{101}, RNA splicing\textsuperscript{111}, signal transduction\textsuperscript{112} and piRNA pathway\textsuperscript{113}</td>
<td>Overexpression/increased activity in gastric, colorectal, lung cancer, lymphoma, and leukaemia\textsuperscript{21}; mis-localized in prostate cancer cells\textsuperscript{114}. Play regulatory roles in renal and cardiovascular disease\textsuperscript{115}.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRMT6</td>
<td>H2AR29&lt;sup&gt;118&lt;/sup&gt;, H3R2&lt;sup&gt;119&lt;/sup&gt;, PRMT6&lt;sup&gt;120-121&lt;/sup&gt;, HIV Tat&lt;sup&gt;122&lt;/sup&gt;, HMGA1a&lt;sup&gt;123&lt;/sup&gt;, DNA polymerase β&lt;sup&gt;124&lt;/sup&gt;, PABP1&lt;sup&gt;68&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Transcriptional repressor&lt;sup&gt;125-126&lt;/sup&gt; and activator&lt;sup&gt;127&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Overexpressed in bladder and lung cancer&lt;sup&gt;21&lt;/sup&gt;. Suppress HIV-1 activity&lt;sup&gt;128&lt;/sup&gt;. Overexpressed or aberrant in pulmonary fibrosis, COPD and asthma&lt;sup&gt;72&lt;/sup&gt;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRMT7</td>
<td>H4R3 and H2AR3&lt;sup&gt;129&lt;/sup&gt;, H3R2&lt;sup&gt;102&lt;/sup&gt;, Fibrillarin&lt;sup&gt;29&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DNA damage&lt;sup&gt;129&lt;/sup&gt;, embryonic stem cell pluripotency&lt;sup&gt;130&lt;/sup&gt;, male germline gene imprinting&lt;sup&gt;131-132&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Involved in breast cancer metastasis&lt;sup&gt;133&lt;/sup&gt;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRMT8</td>
<td>H2A, H4&lt;sup&gt;134&lt;/sup&gt;, MBP, PRMT8&lt;sup&gt;134&lt;/sup&gt;, EWS (Ewing sarcoma)&lt;sup&gt;135&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Brain specific functions&lt;sup&gt;136&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Somatic mutations were found in ovarian, skin and large intestine cancer&lt;sup&gt;21&lt;/sup&gt;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRMT9</td>
<td>SAP145 (SF3B2)&lt;sup&gt;137&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>RNA splicing&lt;sup&gt;30&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Lymphoma, melanoma, testicular, and pancreatic cancers&lt;sup&gt;138&lt;/sup&gt;.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**1.5 Biochemical Assays in PRMT Inhibitor Study**

Discovery of PRMT inhibitors relies on effective assays to detect and quantify the inhibition of PRMT enzymatic activity. Several biochemical assays have been developed for measuring PRMTs activity<sup>139-143</sup>, and these assays can be categorized into three types based on the assay method: radiometric assays, antibody-based assays and enzyme-coupled assays for SAH detection. The radiometric methods have been the gold standard for *in vitro* measurements of PRMTs enzymatic activity due to the high sensitivity and reliability of the assay. In a typical radiometric assay, the radioisotope-labeled methyl group from isotope labeled cofactor ([<sup>3</sup>H]-SAM or [<sup>14</sup>C]-SAM) is transferred onto a peptide or protein substrate of PRMTs. Then the methylated substrates are separated from unreacted SAM using different approaches, such as gel
electrophoresis\textsuperscript{144-148}, or filtration through glass fiber or phosphocellulose paper discs\textsuperscript{149-160} (Figure 1.4). After washing, the signals from the sample are quantitatively detected by a scintillation counter. The scintillation proximity assay (SPA) possesses an advantage of avoiding an additional washing step. In this method, the scintillation signals depend on the micrometer proximity between biotinylated substrates and streptavidin-coated scintillants\textsuperscript{152,161-170}, such that SAM molecules present in the bulk solution fall off the SPA distance and do not produce scintillation signals (Figure 1.4). Due to its simple mix-and-measure procedure and high sensitivity, the SPA method is applicable in a high throughput format for compound library screening\textsuperscript{163,171-173}.

Antibody-based assays represent another type of widely used methods for PRMT activity detection. A typical format is the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)\textsuperscript{174-176}, in which methylated substrates are adsorbed onto the microplate, then incubated with primary antibody and further probed with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-labeled secondary antibody to catalyze the production of chemiluminescence (Figure 1.4). The dissociation-enhanced lanthanide fluorescent immunoassay (DELFIA)\textsuperscript{140,145,177-178} is similar to ELISA, except that the antibody is labeled with a lanthanide probe instead of HRP. Lanthanide is a fluorophore that exhibits large stoke shifts, a long decay time and a narrow emission spectrum, which together minimizes the background interference. By addition of an enhancement cocktail, the lanthanide dissociating from the antibody and results in amplified fluorescence when excited at 340 nm. Other lanthanide-based assays are homogeneous (no-wash) technologies that include time-resolved fluorescence resonance energy transfer (TR-FRET)\textsuperscript{179-180} and AlphaLISA\textsuperscript{180-182}.

The third type of assay utilized a coupled enzymatic reaction for detection of SAH, the side product of the methylation reaction, by converting SAH into derivatives with colorimetric,
fluorescent, or luminescent properties. For example, in the SAHH (SAH hydrolase)-coupled assay (Figure 1.4), SAH is hydrolyzed into adenosine and homocysteine, and homocysteine subsequently reacts with a thiol-fluorescence reagent ThioGlo or CPM, yielding strong signals \(^{183-184}\).

![Figure 1.4. Biochemical assays for PRMT inhibitor study.](image)

### 1.6 PRMT Inhibitors

With mounting evidence suggesting that PRMTs play crucial roles in both physiological and pathological conditions, understanding their functions in various pathways become significantly demanded. Since irregular PRMT activities are associated with many human diseases, they are considered as ideal prognostic biomarkers and therapeutic targets \(^{20, 185}\). Therefore, PRMT inhibitors have become important chemical probes to study the various functions of PRMTs in biological systems, and delivering new therapeutics against diseases and cancers. Below we categorized the reported PRMT inhibitors by their structural features and their enzyme targets.
1.6.1 Cofactor mimics

S-Adenosyl methionine (SAM/AdoMet) (Figure 1.5, 1) is a small organic cofactor participating in enzyme catalysis of PRMTs and many other methyltransferases. The structural analogs of SAM can inhibit the activity of SAM-dependent methyltransferases by competing with SAM binding. One example is S-adenosyl-homocysteine (SAH, AdoHcy) (Figure 1.5, 2), the reaction product from SAM after the methyl group is removed. However, it is a substrate of 5’-AdoHcy hydrolase, which means that it has a limited availability in vivo. Another SAM analogue is sinefungin (Figure 1.5), which is a natural streptomycin antibiotic. SAH and sinefungin were originally used to inhibit mRNA methyltransferases; later, both were found to show inhibition for PMTs\textsuperscript{186-187}. Many cofactor mimics have been widely used in PRMT protein crystallization, as indicated in Figure 1.1.

Extensive work has been done to develop SAM analogs as PRMT inhibitors. Dowden, et al.\textsuperscript{147} synthesized several SAH derivatives, and found that compound 4 (Figure 1.5) inhibited PRMT1 with an IC\textsubscript{50} value of 3.9 μM, and was inactive against CARM1 and SETD7. As described in their studies, the structure activity relationship analysis of the synthesized SAH derivatives has revealed a way to achieve selective inhibition through various PRMT binding site sequences. Interestingly, the docking results of compound 4 suggested a bisubstrate binding mode, although this finding is short of binding and kinetic proofs. The idea of designing a small molecule bisubstrate inhibitor has been applied by van Haren, et al.\textsuperscript{176}. Among the six SAM analogues that have been synthesized and evaluated, compound 5 (Figure 1.5) had an IC\textsubscript{50} value of 3.2 μM for PRMT6, and was mostly inactive for PRMT1, CARM1 and G9a. Compound 6 (Figure 1.5) was surprisingly selective for G9a with an IC\textsubscript{50} value of 3.2 μM. Although the docking poses suggested that these compounds occupied both the substrate and cofactor binding
site, there is no following study to support this hypothesis. Besides, the activity of the inhibitors mentioned above has not been characterized in cells.

![Chemical structures](image)

**Figure 1.5. Cofactor mimics as PRMT inhibitors.**

The idea of designing structural mimics of SAM certainly leads to PRMT inhibitors with promising potency. However, applying this approach is possible to achieve selectivity among PMTs remains a challenge. Recently, a set of SAM analogues were developed as DOT1L-selective inhibitors. The lead inhibitor from this series occupied the SAM binding site of DOT1L with a stronger affinity than SAM, which indicated that the enzyme active site bears a certain degree of flexibility due to the structural nature of the activation loop and substrate binding loop. DOT1L is the only PKMT that does not contain a SET domain and is structurally similar to the PRMTs. Since the PRMTs also contain a flexible α-X and α-Y helices in the catalytic pocket, it will be intriguing to see whether this mechanism of inhibition is plausible for PRMTs.
1.6.2 AMI compounds and their analogs

In 2004, the first small molecule inhibitors of PRMTs, named as AMIs (arginine methyltransferase inhibitors) (Figure 1.6, 7-9), were identified by Cheng et al. via a high throughput screening of a diverse 9000-compound library. The ELISA-based assay was established to detect methylation of Np13p, an RNA binding protein, by Hmt1p (yeast arginine methyltransferase) or PRMT1. Nine hits were identified to indiscriminately inhibit all tested type I PRMTs (PRMT1, 3, 4, 6), but did not have activity on PKMTs. IC\textsubscript{50} values of the nine inhibitors ranged from 0.19 µM to 16.3 µM for PRMT1. The leading compound 7 (Figure 1.6, AMI-1) inhibited PRMT1 with an IC\textsubscript{50} value of 8.8 µM and did not compete for SAM binding. It was confirmed to be cell permeable, non-toxic and inhibited \textit{in vitro} methylation of GFP-Npl3 in HeLa cells in a concentration-dependent manner. Subsequent studies showed that 7 was inactive for type II PRMT5, and it inhibited sirtuin (a histone deacetylase) with an IC\textsubscript{50} value of 32 µM.

Following the discovery of AMIs, researchers have applied computational approaches to investigate more small molecule inhibitors for PRMTs. Ragno, et al. published the molecular modeling studies based on the dye-like scaffold of compound 8 (AMI-5/eosin), compound 9 (AMI-6) and their analogs. They generated the homology model of PRMT1 from the crystal structure of rat PRMT1 (PDB: 1OR8), yeast homologue RMT1/Hmt1 (PDB: 1G6Q) and rat PRMT3 (PDB: 1F3L). Guided by the docking poses, compound 10 (Figure 1.6) was synthesized and identified to have an IC\textsubscript{50} value of 4.8 µM for PRMT1. The consistency of the modeling studies with the biological results gave confidence that this approach would be further utilized for inhibitor identification.
On the other hand, inhibitor design was pursued through structure modifications. Mai, et al. \(^{192}\) synthesized simplified analogs based on the pharmacophore of compound \(8\), to screen against a panel of PRMTs and PKMTs. Among all, compound \(11\) (Figure 1.6), with an IC\(_{50}\) value of 10 μM for RmtA, could inhibit CARM1 but not PRMT1 or SET7/9 at 100 μM. Many of the analogs induced apoptosis of human leukemia cells. Additionally, Bonham, et al. \(^{148}\) designed compound \(13\), which combined the structural features of \(7\), \(9\) and \(12\) (Figure 1.6). It was less polar than \(7\) and retained its potency (PRMT1 IC\(_{50}\) = 4.2 μM; CARM1 IC\(_{50}\) = 2.6 μM). Further characterizations on compound \(13\) (Figure 1.6) have shown that it inhibited both type I and type II PRMTs (PRMT5, -6, -8), but was mostly inactive against SET7/9. The cellular activity of compound \(13\) indicated an enhancement of T helper cell proliferation without affecting viability.

In the same year, Castellano, et al. \(^{144}\) synthesized carboxy analogs of \(7\). The PRMT1 IC\(_{50}\) values of \(7\), \(14\) and \(15\) (Figure 1.6) are 92.1 μM, 298.0 μM and 111.7 μM, respectively. Compound \(7\) presented some minor inhibition while both \(14\) and \(15\) were inactive against SET7/9. Molecular modeling provided an explanation that \(14\) and \(15\) established new interactions with the exposed residues in active site, which positively contributed in the binding process.

AMIs have made a significant impact in advancing the development of PRMT inhibitors. However, there are certain limitations for this type of compounds. First, researchers have not found an inhibitor with potency bellow micromolar value. Second, according to Feng, et al. \(^{160}\), compound \(7\) probably interacts with the substrate H4 to achieve inhibition instead of binding to the PRMTs. This proposed mechanism also explains the fact that these compounds were not selective for those enzymes using H4 or H4 peptide as substrates in the assays, and they were mostly inactive to the same enzymes when examined against other substrates such as H3 or H3 peptide. It is also necessary to point out that the potency parameter (IC\(_{50}\) or Ki) derived under
different assays or conditions may not be consistent. For example, the potency of 7 was reported to be 8.8 µM on PRMT1 upon discovery; however, later studies showed that the IC₅₀ value was 92.1 µM and 76.9 µM⁴⁴, ¹⁵⁹, ¹⁷⁵.

![Chemical structures](image)

**Figure 1.6. Selected structures of AMIs.**

1.6.3 Dapsone derivatives, diamidine compounds, and other PRMT1 inhibitors

Dapsone derivatives and diamidine compounds were identified as PRMT inhibitors by Spannhoff, et al.⁴⁴ via a target-based screening approach. The authors generated homology models of human PRMT1 and RmtA based on the rat PRMT3 X-ray structure (PDB: 1F3L). The RmtA homology model containing SAH was then used to dock 1630 drug-like compounds derived from the NCI diversity set (140,000 compounds). Compound 17 (Figure 1.7, allantodapsone) and compound 19 (Figure 1.7, stilbamidine) were one of the best leads that had IC₅₀ values of 57 µM and 1.7 µM for PRMT1, respectively. The docking results on human
PRMT1 homology model suggested that these two compounds contain basic or polar group interacting with acidic residue Glu152 in the active site. In cellular assays, these two compounds led to hypomethylation and blocked estrogen receptor activation. Subsequently, Bissinger, et al. reported the structure activity relationship of allantodapsone (Figure 1.7, 17) analogues and identified an improved inhibitor bearing a bis-chloroacetyl amide (Figure 1.7, 18). The IC$_{50}$ value was 1.5 µM for PRMT1 and it was inactive against CARM1 and SET7/9.

The symmetric phenylamidine structure in stilbamidine (Figure 1.7, 19) has been identified as an important pharmacophore. Yan, et al. explored the use of this pharmacophore and identified a series of diamidine compounds as potent and selective PRMT1 inhibitors.

Compound 20 (Figure 1.7, DB75) inhibited PRMT1 activity with an IC$_{50}$ of 9.4 µM and was selective for PRMT1 over CARM1 (>42-fold), PRMT5 (>18-fold), and PRMT6 (>30-fold). The SAR analysis and molecular modeling results suggested that the interactions between the diamidine functionality and the acidic residues (Glu129, Glu144 and Glu153) in the catalytic site are essential for the overall binding. Compound 20 was primarily competitive with the substrate and noncompetitive with the cofactor. It was also cell permeable and blocked the proliferation of several leukemia cell lines with different lesions.

During the development of PRMT1 inhibitors, researchers have been utilizing and improving various virtual screening methodologies in the drug discovery process. Spannhoff, et al. reported compound 22 (Figure 1.7, RM65, IC$_{50}$ = 55 µM), which was identified via the fragment-based virtual screening approach. They started with screening of HKI database (about 9000 compounds) for novel fragment-like leads, and ended up using 900 compounds for RmtA homology model docking followed by primary biological screening. Interestingly, the docking result of 22 on human PRMT1 suggested a bisubstrate inhibition mode in the active site. This
compound was further characterized as cell permeable and could cause hypomethylation in cancer cells. Later, Heinke, et al. \textsuperscript{177} developed an approach that combined ligand- and target-based virtual screenings. Approximately 328,000 compounds from ChemBridge compound collection were screened by docking into a homology model of human PRMT with SAH. Top ranking compounds were then filtered through a pharmacophore model, which was generated from the known PRMT1 inhibitor structures. Nine compounds were identified to have micromolar potency for human PRMT1, the best among nine was compound 21 (Figure 1.7) with an IC\textsubscript{50} value of 12.75 \(\mu\)M. More recently, Xie, et al. \textsuperscript{180} identified compound 26 (Figure 1.7, DCLX069) and 27 (Figure 1.7, DCX078) through structure-based virtual screening with IC\textsubscript{50} values of 17.9 \(\mu\)M and 26.2 \(\mu\)M for PRMT1. Both compounds were found to block cell proliferation in breast cancer, liver cancer and acute myeloid leukemia cell lines.

Some of the inhibitors identified by virtual screening strategy have a different mechanism of inhibition. Instead of directly bind to the enzyme, they may target the substrate instead. Feng, et al. \textsuperscript{160} reported the identification of compound 23 (Figure 1.7, NS-1, naphthalene-sulfo derivative 1) via structure-based virtual screening of the ChemBridge small molecule compound collection (> 400,000 compounds), based on rat PRMT1 structure (PDB: 1OR8). Top 50 hits from the screening were evaluated by a radiometric biochemical assay. Compound 23 (Figure 1.7) was then identified with an IC\textsubscript{50} value of 12.7 \(\mu\)M for PRMT1, while the IC\textsubscript{50} value of compound 19 was only 105.7 \(\mu\)M in this assay. Kinetic studies suggested a substrate competitive, cofactor non-competitive inhibition mechanism. Interestingly, further studies showed that 23 directly interacted with substrate H4 but not PRMT1. Wang, et al. \textsuperscript{159} reported the discovery of PRMT1 inhibitors 24 (Figure 1.7, A9) and 25 (Figure 1.7, A36) through pharmacophore-based virtual screening. Pharmacophore models were generated from
known PRMT inhibitors and used to filter SPECS database (>300,000 compounds). Top 102 compounds from the screening were evaluated, and compounds 24 and 25 were identified to have PRMT1 IC\textsubscript{50} values of 41.7 μM and 12.0 μM, respectively. IC\textsubscript{50} value of compound 7 was tested to be 76.9 μM in this assay. Kinetic data has shown that 24 was a substrate-competitive and cofactor non-competitive inhibitor, while 25 was noncompetitive for both substrate and cofactor. Same as compound 22, binding studies suggested that they were targeting substrate H4. Compound 24 significantly inhibited the proliferation of castrate-resistant prostate cancer cells.

In addition to virtual screening method, Dillon, et al. \textsuperscript{193} developed a high-throughput screening assay based on the fluorescence polarization change of a probe labeled on the SAM-binding cysteine residues of the PRMT1 active site. They successfully identified two mechanism-based inhibitors, compound 28 (Figure 1.7, CID5380390) and 29 (Figure 1.7, CID2818500), with IC\textsubscript{50} values of 23 μM and 11 μM, respectively. These compounds also inhibited PRMT8 activity, due to the conserved cysteine residues of PRMT1 and PRMT8, but they were inactive against CARM1 and SETD7/9. Moreover, some PRMT1 inhibitors have strong fluorescence properties that are explored as imaging probes. Sinha, et al. \textsuperscript{149} synthesized and evaluated carbocyanine dyes as PRMT1 inhibitors and imaging agents. Compound 30 (Figure 1.7) presented low micromolar inhibition on PRMT1 (IC\textsubscript{50} = 4.1 μM), and was noncompetitive by binding to an allosteric site. This compound was used in optical and fluorescent microscopy to show the chromatin interfering property of cells. However, this compound had very limited selectivity among other PRMTs. Hu, et al. \textsuperscript{164} further studied the structure activity relationship of cyanine compounds and was able to identify compound 31 (Figure 1.7, E84), with IC\textsubscript{50} value of 3.38 μM for PRMT1, and have 6- to 25- fold selectivity
over CARM1, PRMT5 and PRMT8. It also inhibited cellular PRMT1 activity and blocked leukemia cell proliferation.

Figure 1.7. Dapsone (compound 16) and dapsone derivatives (compound 17 and 18), diamidines (compound 19 and 20) and other PRMT1 inhibitors.

To conclude, the development of PRMT1 inhibitors has been the most vigorous in the field, mainly because PRMT1 is the primary type I enzyme of this family\textsuperscript{194}. The discovery of
PRMT1 inhibitors has greatly relied on the advancement of computational tools. The above examples included some of the frequently used strategies, which are the structure- or target-based, fragment-based and ligand/pharmacophore-based virtual screening. In many cases, homology model of human PRMT1 was generated instead of using the structure of rat PRMT1 (PDB: 1OR8), because it was crystalized in non-physiological condition (pH = 4.7)\(^36\). The molecular modeling method was used to help analyze the ligand-protein interactions and guide the chemical modifications. It is also intriguing to see that many inhibitors discovered through this methodology are known drugs that have been applied in other medical practices. For example, compound \textbf{19} (Figure 1,7, stilbaminine) is a treatment for fungal infections and compound \textbf{20} (Figure 1,7, furamidine) is for the treatment of parasitic infections such as malaria\(^195-196\). Besides, the above examples have shown that computational approaches can be extremely helpful in the lead optimization process as well. While computational methods are efficient at identifying drug-like small molecule inhibitors, there are certain limitations. First, theoretical models can often result in artifacts. This may explain why only very few inhibitors from the virtual screening hits were confirmed to have actual activity in the biochemical screening assays. On the other hand, since docking simulation relies on a defined grid or pocket, which is often the enzyme active site, it is extremely challenging to find out whether the inhibitors bind to the other sites on the enzyme. Besides, this class of inhibitors have not been successfully co-crystallized with PRMT1, thus the binding analysis results generated from molecular docking are not conclusive. However, the remaining challenge is to further develop inhibitors with nanomolar potency and isoform selectivity.
1.6.4 Allosteric PRMT3 inhibitors

Siarheyeva, et al. 165 reported the discovery of the first allosteric PRMT3 inhibitor (Figure 1.8, compound 32) proved by the inhibitor-enzyme co-crystal structure. By screening a library containing 16,000 diversity compounds, the authors identified compound 32 to have an IC\textsubscript{50} value of 1.6 μM for the full length human PRMT3. It was selective over other PKMTs and PRMTs, including G9a, GLP, SUV39H2, SETD7, SETD8, PRMT1, CARM1, PRMT5, and PRMT8. This inhibitor bound to a novel allosteric pocket located at the interface of the PRMT3 dimerization arm, but did not prevent homodimerization of PRMT3. It had a Kd value of 9.5 μM, and was noncompetitive with both SAM and the H4 peptide substrate. Interestingly, the cyclohexenyl moiety of the inhibitor interacted with the α-Y segment, which induced the conformational change that disordered the folding of the α-X helix on the cofactor binding site and resulted in an inactive α-helix structure. This disruption was proposed to have a direct influence on enzymatic activity of PRMT3. Furthermore, Liu, et al. 152 improved the inhibitor potency via SAR studies. Among all the analogs that have been synthesized, compound 34 (Figure 1.8) had the best potency (IC\textsubscript{50} = 230 nM). Resolved crystal structure of PRMT3 with 33 (Figure 1.8, IC\textsubscript{50} = 2.5 μM) showed that it bound to the same site as 32. Compound 33 showed excellent selectivity for PRMT3 over other protein methyltransferases, including G9a, GLP, SUV39H2, PRMT5, SETD7, PRC2, SETD8, SETDB1, SUV420H1, SUV420H2, MLL1, SMYD3, SMYD2, DOT1L, and DNMT1. However, cellular activities of 33 and 34 remained unknown.

The above results suggest that the allosteric binding site of PRMT3 can be exploited to yield potent and selective inhibitors. According to the multiple sequence alignment of type I PRMTs, there are significant variability by the comparison, however, the structure alignment
showed similarity in the PRMT3 inhibitor binding region\textsuperscript{152, 165}. This finding suggested that the allosteric and selective inhibitors can be exploited by targeting this site in other PRMTs.

Moreover, due to the dynamic nature of $\alpha$-X and $\alpha$-Y in PRMTs, it would be exciting to see whether other PRMT allosteric binding sites can also be explored.

![Figure 1.8](image)

**Figure 1.8.** Selected PRMT3 allosteric inhibitors and the co-crystal structure of PRMT3 and CARM1 with their inhibitors. Inhibitors are indicated in yellow. Interacting amino acid residues are indicated in sticks. Left: PRMT3 (PDB: 3SMQ); right: CARM1 (2Y1W).

### 1.6.5 Pyrazoles, imidazoles, indoles, and other CARM1 (PRMT4) inhibitors

Purandare, et al.\textsuperscript{151} identified pyrazole amide as an initial hit (**Figure 1.9**, IC\textsubscript{50} = 1.8 $\mu$M) by high throughput screening, and developed the compound 36 as a potent and selective inhibitor of CARM1 (IC\textsubscript{50} = 80 nM), albeit poor permeability shown by parallel artificial membrane permeability assay (PAMPA) and poor PK properties. Further hit-to-lead optimization of this class of inhibitors led to compound 37 (**Figure 1.9**, IC\textsubscript{50} = 40 nM)\textsuperscript{153}. Compounds 36 and 37 both were found to be significantly less potent against PRMT1 and PRMT3 (IC\textsubscript{50} > 25 $\mu$M). Through SAR analysis, the replacement of the amide functionality with the 1,3,4-oxadiazole moiety in 37 improved membrane permeability. Concurrently, Wan, et al.
identified benzo[d]imidazole related analogues as CARM1 inhibitors. Modification made from SAR analysis led to the most potent analog, compound 38 (Figure 1.9, IC$_{50}$ = 70 nM). It was significantly less active against PRMT1 and 3 (IC$_{50}$ > 25 μM). Allan, et al. developed compound 39 (Figure 1.9) as a CARM1 inhibitor with IC$_{50}$ value of 60 nM, which was also inactive against PRMT1 and SET7/9 (IC$_{50}$ > 100 μM). However, it did not show cellular activity. Therrien, et al. further synthesized 1,2-diamine compounds as CARM1 inhibitors, represented by compound 40 (Figure 1.9). Although it only had an IC$_{50}$ value of 0.2 μM and did not show cellular activity, the PK profile was improved. Sack, et al. described the crystal structures of an improved pyrazole/indole-containing inhibitor with CARM1 and SAH/sinefungin. Compound 41 (Figure 1.9) is the analogue of 38 that has an IC$_{50}$ value of 30 nM for CARM1, while IC$_{50}$ >10 μM for PRMT1 and PRMT3. The inhibitor was shown to occupy the substrate binding site and the surrounding pocket located in the interface between the N- and C-terminal domains in the presence of SAH (Figure 1.8, right). Overall, the pyrazole/imidazole/indole CARM1 inhibitors have nanomolar potency, however there were no further in vivo studies reported, possibly due to the low cell permeability or cytotoxic side effects. The other limitations include the incomplete selectivity profile and lack of mode of action elucidation.

Other than the pyrazole/imidazole/indole compounds, Selvi, et al. identified compound 42 (Figure 1.9, TBBD), which was a natural product from pomegranate extract, as a CARM1 inhibitor. Compound 42 inhibited CARM1 activity in a dose dependent manner, while the IC$_{50}$ value was not determined. It did not inhibit G9a and histone acetyltransferase CBP/p300. This compound was noncompetitive with both H3 and SAM. ITC experiments showed minimal interaction between 42 and CARM1 alone, while the K$_d$ value of CARM1-histone 3 complex was 4 μM. This data suggested a partial inhibition mechanism mediated via
its interaction with the enzyme–substrate complex. Additionally, 42 did not reduce p21 expression in HeLa cells as what was observed in H1299 and HEK293T cells. The other set of CARM1 inhibitors was reported by Cheng, et al. Compound 43 had IC$_{50}$ values of 8.6 µM for CARM1, and had low or no inhibition on a panel of PRMTs (PRMT1, 3, 5 and 6) and PKMTs (SET7, DOTL1, Suv39H1, and G9a). In human prostate cancer cells, compound 43 showed a significant dose-dependent reduction of the PSA promoter activity. Although this set of CARM inhibitors had significantly increased cellular activity and better selectivity profiling, the potency was not as satisfying as the pyrazoles.

Figure 1.9. Selected CARM1 inhibitors.
1.6.6 PRMT5 inhibitors

Even though PRMT5 is the major type II enzyme and also an important therapeutic target, very few inhibitors are known for PRMT5. Very recently, Alinari, et al. reported compound 44 (Figure 1.10, CPD5) as a first-in-class, small molecule PRMT5 inhibitor that blocked initiation and maintenance of B lymphocyte transformation. It was identified via structure-based screening based on a human PRMT5 catalytic site model, which is generated from rat PRMT1 crystal structure (PDB: 1OR8). After screening against the ChemBridge CNS-Set™ library (10,000 small molecule compounds), they further docked the hits and selected the top 8 compounds that had the lowest binding energy for further characterization. A cell-based immunofluorescence assay showed that 44 selectively blocked symmetric dimethylation on H4R3 by inhibiting PRMT5 activity, while others had no effect on H4R3 methylation. Compound 44 did not affect asymmetric methylation of H4R3, and was found to be inactive against PRMT1, CARM1 and PRMT7. Cytotoxicity studies suggested that 44 was selectively toxic to lymphoma cells but not as toxic to normal resting B lymphocytes. The other PRMT5 inhibitor, compound 45 (Figure 1.10, EPZ015666), was disclosed by Epizyme, Inc. It was claimed to have a cell biochemical $K_i$ of 8 nM, and > 20,000-fold $K_i$ of other PMTs. Compound 45 was tested in both in vitro and in vivo models of mantle cell lymphoma, showing methyl mark inhibition and tumor suppression.

![Figure 1.10. Selected PRMT5 inhibitors.](image-url)
1.6.7 Peptide inhibitors

There are more than fifty peptides or peptide-based therapeutics and diagnostic agents that have been approved by FDA\textsuperscript{200}. There has been an increasing amount of studies conducted on peptide inhibitors both for probe design purposes or therapeutic uses. Osborne, et al. \textsuperscript{201} reported an \textit{in situ} synthesis strategy of a bisubstrate peptide inhibitor for PRMT1 (Figure 1.11). The peptide inhibitor 47 were generated through PRMT1-mediated transfer using a SAM analogue compound 46 (Figure 1.11, AAI), which was found to have an IC\textsubscript{50} value of 18.5 µM. This study indicated that a chemoenzymatic generation of bisubstrate inhibitors was plausible for PRMTs, although the selectivity and cellular activity data was not available. The same group has reported the other PRMT1 peptide inhibitors based on the N terminus of histone H4 incorporating fluoro- and chloroacetamidine warheads (Figure 1.11, 48: C21 and 49: F21)\textsuperscript{202}. Peptide 48 had an IC\textsubscript{50} value of 1.8 µM for PRMT1, while IC\textsubscript{50} value of 49 was 94 µM. Peptide 48 was 4.9-fold more selective for PRMT1 compared to PRMT6, and was mostly inactive for PRMT3 and CARM1. These peptides were irreversible inhibitors that could form covalent bond to PRMT1 through a \textit{SN}2 attack, and were also proven to selectively inhibit PRMT1 activity in cells.

![Figure 1.11. Bisubstrate peptide inhibitors.](image-url) a. In situ generation of a bisubstrate peptide inhibitor. b. Selected bisubstrate peptide inhibitors.
Frankel et al. have done a series of studies on the development of peptide inhibitors for PRMTs. The first ones reported were N^\eta-substituted arginyl peptides 50-53 (Figure 1.12, R1-1 to R1-4)\textsuperscript{203}. Their IC\textsubscript{50} values ranged from 4.82 µM to 14.2 µM for PRMT6, and from 27.5 µM to 56.5 µM for PRMT1. The IC\textsubscript{50} values of these peptide inhibitors were 2.5- to 5-fold lower than the methylation product R1-aDMA. The same group Thomas, et al.\textsuperscript{204} synthesized a series of R1 peptides bearing various N^\eta-substitutions, and described the preferences of PRMTs for those modified peptide substrate-inhibitors. Peptide 54 and 55 (Figure 1.12, 54: R1-can and 55: R1-NO\textsubscript{2}) could not be methylated and had micromolar level potency for PRMT1, 4 and 6 (for PRMT1, 54: IC\textsubscript{50} = 30.4 µM, 55 IC\textsubscript{50} = 26.0 µM). Subsequently, t Hart, et al.\textsuperscript{205} reported partial bisubstrate R1 peptide inhibitor 56 (Figure 1.12, R1-Orn, PRMT6 IC\textsubscript{50} = 36.7 µM, not active for PRMT1 and 4) and 57 (Figure 1.12, R1-Lys, PRMT1 IC\textsubscript{50} = 13.9 µM, PRMT4 IC\textsubscript{50} = 35.7 µM, PRMT6 IC\textsubscript{50} = 29.0 µM), suggesting a six-carbon linker might better mimic the transition state model proposed for PRMT catalytic mechanism. They also pursued a similar approach and synthesized HIV-Tat48-60 peptide analogues (Figure 1.12, 58) as potent PRMT substrate-inhibitors, which have 1.31 µM to 11.9 µM K\textsubscript{i} values for PRMT1 and 19.9 µM to 77.3 µM K\textsubscript{i} values for PRMT6\textsuperscript{206}.

Therapeutic peptides have great potential as anticancer agents, because they can easily achieve target specificity through rational design. Currently, the modification of PRMT peptide inhibitors are mainly focused on the substrate arginine residues. Since many PRMTs share the same substrates, isoform specific inhibition may rely on the structural differences in PRMT substrate binding sites. One limitation of peptide inhibitors is their low stability in vivo and poor membrane penetration.
In this chapter, we summarized the current development of PRMT inhibitor discovery to advocate their importance in advancing the field of epigenetic research and clinical application. Inhibitors of PRMTs have drawn plenty of attention by researchers in the field of drug discovery, and so far, marvelous progress has been made. Quite a few potent, isoform-selective, cell permeable small molecule inhibitors were discovered for different PRMTs, such as furamdine for PRMT1 (Figure 1.7, 20), SGC707 for PRMT3 (Figure 1.8, 32), and EPZ015666 for PRMT5 (Figure 1.10, 45). Here we conclude some perspectives on the progress and remaining challenges in this field.

First, application of virtual screening approaches has made notable contributions to advance the field of PRMT inhibitor discovery. The combination of virtual screening with target-based biochemical screening has greatly expanded the scale of inhibitor search. For example, the

Figure 1.12. Other peptide inhibitors.

1.7 Conclusions

In this chapter, we summarized the current development of PRMT inhibitor discovery to advocate their importance in advancing the field of epigenetic research and clinical application. Inhibitors of PRMTs have drawn plenty of attention by researchers in the field of drug discovery, and so far, marvelous progress has been made. Quite a few potent, isoform-selective, cell permeable small molecule inhibitors were discovered for different PRMTs, such as furamdine for PRMT1 (Figure 1.7, 20), SGC707 for PRMT3 (Figure 1.8, 32), and EPZ015666 for PRMT5 (Figure 1.10, 45). Here we conclude some perspectives on the progress and remaining challenges in this field.

First, application of virtual screening approaches has made notable contributions to advance the field of PRMT inhibitor discovery. The combination of virtual screening with target-based biochemical screening has greatly expanded the scale of inhibitor search. For example, the
identification of PRMT1 inhibitors allantodapsone and stilbamidine (Figure 1.7) via a target-based virtual screening approach was reported\textsuperscript{145}. In another example, a fragment-based method was used to identify RM65 for PRMT1 inhibition\textsuperscript{140}. Additionally, based on the known structure of PRMT1 inhibitors, a pharmacophore-based strategy was conducted to identify A9 and A36\textsuperscript{160}. Compared to biochemical screening against the large number of compounds in a library, it is more cost-efficient to first use a rational virtual screening to help prioritize the most plausible inhibitor candidates.

Second, structure-guided design is rather beneficial in the lead optimization process. A typical medicinal chemistry strategy is to perform systematic chemical modifications on the lead compound to provide a detailed picture of structure-activity relationship (SAR), based on the hypothesis that subtle changes around the pharmacophore will not change the inhibitor binding site on the target protein. One limitation of this method is that a large amount of organic synthesis is required to gather sufficient information on SAR. When there is a lack of knowledge on binding interactions, the chemical modifications become a “blind” search, which can easily cost a lot of time and effort before valuable conclusions can be made. It can be helpful if co-crystal structures of lead compound with the target protein can be obtained. For example, in the discovery of PRMT5 inhibitor EPZ105666, a structure-directed SAR was conducted to develop compounds with improved ADME profile\textsuperscript{181}. In the case when inhibitor-target cocrystal structures are not available, molecular docking was exploited as guidance for chemical modification, such as the discovery of PRMT1 inhibitor 10 by Ragno et al\textsuperscript{191}.

Third, reported results of PRMT inhibitors should be critically interpreted. The frequently used metric for potency is IC\textsubscript{50}, however, it is possible to have inconsistency in IC\textsubscript{50} values of the same inhibitor resulted from different assay methods and (or) assay conditions. For example, the
IC$_{50}$ values of AMI1 are reported in a range from 1.2 μM to 376 μM. IC$_{50}$ of an inhibitor can change in different manners as the concentration of substrate increases: it increases for competitive inhibitors, decreases for uncompetitive inhibitor, and remains unaffected for classic noncompetitive inhibitors. The inhibition constant (Ki) or binding affinity constant (Kd) is a more stable metric in potency because it is independent of substrate concentration. However, it is not necessary to measure the intrinsic binding constant for all the initial hits, which is much more laborious to obtain than the IC$_{50}$ values. Practically, it is recommended to include a well-characterized inhibitor control in any assay for new inhibitor identification, to avoid misinterpretation of the results. Additionally, not all the reported inhibitors are sufficiently characterized, some common pitfalls include: incomplete selectivity profile, lack of binding analysis and mode of action, and missing in cellulo or in vivo studies. Caution should be taken for such missing information before the inhibitors are to be used in further studies.

From the current development of this field, apparently PRMT inhibitors with potencies at nanomolar or lower are still in need. Even though a few patents were newly filed for potent PRMT inhibitors, including PRMT1, CARM1 and PRMT5 inhibitors$^{207-210}$, for most of the PRMT inhibitors, gaps exist for the PRMT inhibitors to translate into clinical investigation. The other obstacle is lack of selective inhibitors for all the PRMT members. Great efforts have been devoted to inhibitors for PRMT1, -3, -4 and -5, yet none for PRMT2, -7, -8 and -9. It might partially due to the limited understanding of these enzymes compare to other PRMTs. To conclude, with the rapid development in the field of PRMT inhibitor discovery, we are quite optimistic to expect potent, isoform-selective, in vivo-active, pharmacokinetically amenable PRMT inhibitors to be applied in translational and clinical research, and ultimately benefit human well-being in years to come.
1.8 Rationale and Goal of This Work

Growing amount of evidence have suggested that PRMTs are promising protein target in many diseases. These enzymes play essential roles in biology and have intimate association with many pathological conditions, notably cancers. The nine mammalian PRMT isoforms have different roles in various diseases, many of which are not fully understood. Therefore, besides their potential use as therapeutic agents, PRMT inhibitors are ideal chemical tools to dissect the underlying mechanisms of PRMT-dysregulation associated diseases. Tremendous efforts have been invested in the discovery of PRMT inhibitor. However, many of the reported inhibitors have limited application to other researchers because of the incomplete evaluation in isoform-selectivity, mechanism of inhibition or/and cellular activity. Challenges remain in the generation of potent and isoform-selective PRMT inhibitors for translational and clinical research. Moreover, the existing assays for PRMT activity measurement have certain disadvantages, such as cannot achieve continuous measurement, generation of radioactive waste, or complication of the results due to other enzymes and reagents introduced to the assay. Therefore, novel assay methods are needed to further promote PRMT inhibitor discovery.

We set out to test the hypothesis that PRMT inhibitors are potential therapeutic drugs and useful chemical probes for cancers, and to address the remaining challenges in the field of PRMT drug discovery. This work is aimed at discovering novel chemical entities for potent and isoform-selective inhibition of PRMTs in cancers, focusing on the identification and evaluation of small molecule inhibitors for PRMT1 and PRMT5; and also, this work is aimed to develop novel assays for PRMT inhibitor characterization.
CHAPTER 2
DISCOVERY OF DIAMIDINE COMPOUNDS AS ISOFORM-SELECTIVE INHIBITORS FOR PRMT1

2.1 Introduction

PRMT1 is the predominant mammalian type I enzyme responsible for more than 50% of the asymmetric arginine methylation in mammalian cells. The crystal structures of the PRMTs (PRMT1, PRMT3, CARM1, PRMT5, PRMT6, PRMT7 and PRMT8) revealed a two-domain architecture (Figure 1.1 and 1.2): a conserved SAM binding domain and a barrel-like domain, while the active site situated between these two domains. Given that the amino acid sequences of the SAM binding region in PRMTs are mainly identical, substrate protein binding likely plays a key role in the selective methylation. It is not surprising that SAM mimics such as methylthioadenosine and sinefungin are pan inhibitors for all PRMT members. The possibility for PRMT inhibitors to accomplish isoform-selectivity remains in interfering or exploring the interactions of critical amino acid residues within the substrate binding site.

According to our reviews on the reported PRMT1 inhibitors, stilbamidine (Figure 2.1) has drawn our attention due to the resemblance of the amidine group to the guanidine group in substrate arginine residue. This compound was reported as a PRMT1 inhibitor with micromolar potency, but no follow up studies exploring its structure activity relationship with PRMT inhibition. Stilbamidine represents a group of compounds named diamidines, and their uses as therapeutic agents are previously known. For example, stilbamidine was widely studied as an antifungal agent to treat blastomycosis and as an antiparasitic agent to treat Kala-Azar.
Furamidine (Figure 2.1) is a potent antiparasitic agent shown to concentrate in the cell nucleus and delay parasite maturation\textsuperscript{215-218}. It is also antimicrobial due to its ability to disrupt mitochondrial membranes\textsuperscript{219-220}. Pentamidine (Figure 2.1) was reported to be a DNA binder and has been clinically used as an antiparasitic drug in a similar manner to furamidine\textsuperscript{217, 221}. Despite such wide applicability, their mode of action as PRMT inhibitors has not been identified.

Based on the inhibitory activity of stilbamidine on PRMT\textsuperscript{145} and the structure similarity of the amidine group to guanidine, we proposed that diamidine structures possess important pharmacophore as PRMT inhibitors. This chapter describes the discovery, development and evaluation of diamidine-type compounds as potent and PRMT1-selective inhibitors, using a combinatorial and comprehensive strategy including biochemical characterization, molecular modeling and cellular activity assessment. The results suggest that diamidines target the catalytic pocket of PRMT1 to inhibit its enzymatic activity. Our cellular studies showed that these compounds were cell permeable and inhibited PRMT1 activity in various cancer cell lines to cause anticancer effects\textsuperscript{150}.

![Figure 2.1 Reported diamidine drugs](image-url)
2.2 Materials and Methods

2.2.1 Protein expression of recombinant methyltransferases.

Recombinant His-tagged rat PRMT1, PRMT3, PRMT8 and G9a were expressed in E. coli. In brief, the corresponding pET28b plasmid was transformed into BL21(DE3) (Stratagene) by heat shock method. Transformed bacteria were incubated in LB media at 37°C for growth and then at 16 °C for protein expression with 0.3 mM IPTG induction. Cells were harvested by centrifuge and lysed by microfluidics cell disrupter. The supernatant containing target protein was loaded onto the Ni-charged His6x-tag binding resin (Novagen) in equilibrium buffer (25 mM Na-HEPES, pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM PMSF, 10% glycerol (v/v) and 30 mM imidazole). Beads were washed thoroughly by washing buffer (25 mM Na-HEPES, pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM PMSF, 10% glycerol (v/v) and 70 mM imidazole), and protein was eluted with elution buffer (25 mM Na-HEPES, pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM PMSF, 100 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol (v/v) and 200 mM imidazole). Recombinant GST tagged CARM1 and PRMT7 on pGEX2T or 4T plasmid were expressed in E. coli. The supernatant containing target protein was loaded onto the Glutathione Sepharose 4B resin (GE Healthcare) in column buffer (25 mM Na-HEPES, pH 7.0, 250 mM NaCl, 1 mm EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, and 0.1% (v/v) Triton x100). Beads were washed thoroughly on a column, and protein was eluted with elution buffer (50 mM Na-HEPES, pH 7.0, 10 mM reduced glutathione and 1 mM PMSF). All the eluted protein solutions were dialyzed into buffer containing 25 mM Na-HEPES, pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol (v/v) and 1 mM DTT. Protein purity were checked by 12% SDS-PAGE, and concentration was determined by Bradford assay.222
2.2.2 Peptide synthesis

All peptide substrates were synthesized using Fmoc [N-(9-fluorenyl) methoxycarbonyl]-based peptide synthesis protocol on a FOCUS XC peptide synthesizer (aapptec, Louisville, KY). The peptide sequences are as following: H4-20-biotin Ac-SGRGKKGGKGLGKGGAKRHRK(biotin), Biotin-H3-20 Biotin-ARTKQTARKSTGGKAPRKQL, SMD1-biotin Ac-AGRGRGRGGRGRG-biotin. Each amino acid was coupled to the solid phase with 4 equiv of amino acid/HCTU [O-(1H-6-chlorobenzotriazole-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate] (Novabiochem or Chempep). The Fmoc group was deprotected with 20% v/v piperidine/DMF, and the N-terminal amino acid was acetylated with acetic anhydride. The peptide was cleaved from the Wang resin by a cleavage solution consisting of 95% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), 2.5% H₂O, and 2.5% triisopropylsilane. It was then precipitated in cold ether and pelleted by centrifugation. Crude peptides were collected and purified using a Shimadzu liquid chromatography instrument equipped with a C18 reversed-phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) column, where 0.05% TFA containing water and 0.05% TFA-containing acetonitrile were two mobile phases used in gradient purification. The identity of peptides was confirmed with MALDI-MS.

2.2.3 Purchased materials

The PRMT5:MEP50 complex (part number: HMT-22-148) was purchased from Reaction Biology Corp. Cofactor ³H-SAM was purchased from Perkin Elmer (part number: NET155V001MC). DOT1L (part number: HMT-11-101) and nucleosomes (part number: HMT-35-123) were purchased from Reaction Biology Corp. Histone H3.3 was purchased form New England Biolabs (part number: M2507S).
2.2.4 Compound sources

The diamidine compounds were provided by Dr. David Boykin’s group at Georgia State University, with >95% purity based on CHN elemental analysis, $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR, and mass spectrometry. Diamidine analogs with link variations were synthesized by Dr. Jing Zhang, with >95% purity based on $^1$H NMR, $^{13}$C NMR, analytical HPLC and mass spectrometry. The compound library for the high throughput screening were ordered from National Cancer Institute DTP program.

2.2.5 High throughput screening (HTS) of small molecule libraries.

The HTS by scintillation proximity assay (SPA) method was performed on a 96-well plate format as previously described\textsuperscript{171}. This assay format has a Z value of 0.65 and a Z’ value of 0.80. Screening compounds were kept at 10 µM and 100 µM in the assay. The DMSO solution of the inhibitors were at 10 or 20 mM, then diluted with ddH$_2$O to 50 or 500 µM. Diluted inhibitor solutions (3 µL) were incubated with 9 µL mixture containing H4-20-Biotin peptide, [${^3}$H]-SAM and 2X reaction buffer, then the enzyme (3 µL) was added to initiate the reaction. The reaction buffer contains 50 mM HEPES, 10 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) at pH 8.0. The final concentrations of the enzyme, ${^3}$H-SAM, and H4-20-Biotin are 0.02, 0.5, and 1 µM, respectively. The concentrations of [${^3}$H]-SAM, and biotinylated H4 peptide in the assay were kept at balanced condition (≈ Km) to indiscriminately identify competitive, uncompetitive and noncompetitive inhibitors. The mixtures were incubated at room temperature for 8 min before it was quenched with 15 µL isopropanol. After mixing with 5 µL of 20 mg/mL streptavidin-coated SPA beads, the plates were incubated in dark for 30 min, and detected by a Microbeta2 scintillation counter (Perkin Elmer). The positive control was carried out with the
corresponding DMSO dilute surrogate, and the background control only contained $^3$H-SAM, H4-20-biotin peptide and DMSO. The reported data was based on the average of two experiments.

### 2.2.6 Biochemical selectivity assay

The single point screening and IC$_{50}$ values of inhibitor hits over a panel of methyltransferases were determined. The reaction condition for each enzyme is listed in the following table (Table 2.1). The reaction conditions for different enzymes were kept close to the balanced assay condition and the reaction time was controlled under initial rate conditions for reaction yields of less than 10%. The reaction buffer contains 50 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 10 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 0.5 mM DTT for all the PRMTs. The reaction buffer contains Tris 50 mM pH 9.0, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 4 mM DTT for all the lysine methyltransferases (G9a and DOT1L). The inhibitor solutions were made at 10 µM for single point screening or as a series of dilution for IC$_{50}$ determination. For the methylation reaction using biotinylated peptides, the procedure was similar to the SPA method described above. The methylation reaction using protein substrates was carried out by radioactive filter plate assay in a 96-well format. Protein substrate and [3H]-SAM were preincubated in the reaction buffer for 2 min prior to initiation of the methyl transfer reaction by adding the enzyme (30 µL total volume). The reaction was quenched by 10 µL of 30% TCA, followed by spotting the reaction mixture on a 96-well 0.2 µm filter plate (Multiscreen filter plates, Millipore cat. Number: MSFBN6B10). Then 40 µL of 10% TCA was added for protein precipitation and the plate was incubated at 4 °C for 20 min. After the plates were washed with 100 µL of 10% TCA for 4 times and 100 µL of 100% ethanol once, they were immersed in 50 µL of liquid scintillation mixture (Microscint PS, PerkinElmer). Scintillation counting was performed by a Microbeta2 to measure the amount of methylated product. The positive control was carried out with the corresponding DMSO dilute surrogate under the same
condition, and the background control only contained [3H]-SAM, protein substrate and DMSO. IC\textsubscript{50} values were obtained by quantification of formed product at various concentrations of inhibitors, and fit with equation 1. Relative activity of protein in presence of the inhibitor was normalized to the value of product formation without inhibitor present, n is hill coefficient. The reported data was based on the average of two experiments.

\[ \text{Relative Activity} = \frac{1}{1 + ([\text{Inhibitor}]/\text{IC50})^n} \]  

(1)

**Table 2.1 Conditions for selectivity profiling**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Cofactor</th>
<th>Reaction time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRMT1, 20 nM</td>
<td>H4-20-biotin, 1 uM</td>
<td>SAM, 0.5 uM</td>
<td>8 min, RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRMT3, 20 nM</td>
<td>SMD1-biotin, 1 uM</td>
<td>SAM, 0.5 uM</td>
<td>10 min, RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARM1, 20 nM</td>
<td>H3.3 protein, 0.4 uM</td>
<td>SAM, 0.5 uM</td>
<td>120 min, RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRMT5, 20 nM</td>
<td>H4-20-biotin, 1 uM</td>
<td>SAM, 0.5 uM</td>
<td>8 min, RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRMT6, 40 nM</td>
<td>SMD1-biotin, 1 uM</td>
<td>SAM, 0.5 uM</td>
<td>30 min, RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRMT7, 40 nM</td>
<td>SMD1-biotin, 1 uM</td>
<td>SAM, 0.5 uM</td>
<td>120 min, RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRMT8, 20 nM</td>
<td>H4-20-biotin, 1 uM</td>
<td>SAM, 0.5 uM</td>
<td>8 min, RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G9a, 20 nM</td>
<td>Biotin-H3-20, 1 uM</td>
<td>SAM, 0.5 uM</td>
<td>30 min, RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOT1L, 20 nM</td>
<td>Nucleosomes, 0.3 uM</td>
<td>SAM, 0.5 uM</td>
<td>45 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2.2.7 Enzyme kinetics assays for mechanism of inhibition determination**

Enzyme kinetics measurement by filter binding assay: filter binding assay was carried out in 0.65 mL plastic tubes with a 30 μL reaction volume at 30 °C. The reaction buffer, reagent and enzymes were used as the same as a SPA format described in 2.2.5. Typically, 6 μL of varied concentrations of each candidate inhibitor was added to the 18 μL of mixture composed of [3H]SAM and one of the PRMTs in reaction buffer. The 24 μL mixture was incubated at room temperature for 5 min before the reaction was initiated by adding 6 μL of H4–20 in distilled water. Reactions without candidate inhibitor were used as positive control. Reactions with neither H4(1–20) nor candidate inhibitor was used as negative control. After incubation, 20 μL of the reaction mixture was aspirated and spread onto anionic P81 filter paper disks (Whatman)
to quench the reaction, and the disks were dried in air for 2 h and washed with 600 mL of 50 mM NaHCO$_3$ (pH 9.0) solution for 15 min three times. Then the disks were dried in air overnight before being transferred into 3.5 mL vials full of scintillation oil, and the amount of the product was quantified by a scintillation counter (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA) or a MicroBeta2 (PerkinElmer) as CPM. CPM readouts were converted to rate of the reaction based on the readout of total $^3$HSAM added divided by incubation time. The $K_{cat}$ and $K_m$ of PRMT1 for H4(1–20) was obtained by measuring the initial velocity of reaction at different concentrations of H4(1–20) and fitting the kinetic data with Michaelis–Menten equation.

Enzyme kinetics measurement by SPA: experimental conditions for the SAM or peptide competitions in a 30-µL total volume in 96-well format were similar to those for the IC$_{50}$ experiments. The K280 concentration was a 5,000, 1,250 and 312.5 nM. $^3$H-SAM was serially diluted two-fold in assay buffer for a seven-point dilution series with a top concentration of 4 µM. Reactions were initiated by the addition of 20 nM enzyme and 1 µM peptide. For the peptide titration assay, H4-20-biotin was serially diluted two-fold in assay buffer for a seven-point dilution series with a top concentration of 4 µM. Reactions were initiated by the addition of 20 nM enzyme and 0.5 µM $^3$H-SAM. Reactions were incubated at room temperature for 60 min, and then quenched by the addition of 30 µL per well of isopropanol. CPM signals were converted to rate according to a calibration curve.

$K_i$ and $\alpha K_i$ were calculated using the noncompetitive inhibition model by equation 2:

$$
\frac{[P]}{t} = \frac{V_{max} [S]}{K_m (1+[I]/K_i)+[S](1+[I]/\alpha K_i)}
$$

(2)

Where: $[P]$ = product concentration, $[S]$ = substrate concentration, $[I]$ = inhibitor concentration, $V_{max}$ = maximum velocity, $K_m$ = Michaelis constant, $K_i$ = inhibitor constant for binding to enzyme, and $\alpha K_i$ = inhibitor constant for binding to enzyme-substrate complex.
Stopped flow assay: experimental detailed are described in Chapter 3.2.

2.2.8 Molecular docking

Docking was carried out with Discovery Studio 4.0. A previously described PRMT1 homology model\textsuperscript{150} was used as the receptor model for docking of furamidine and decamidine. The docking boxes were identified through the cavities of the protein structure in Discovery Studio 4.0. CDOCKER docking module was applied for molecular dynamic enforced optimization. Docking poses were ranked based on CDOCKER energy from the lowest to highest, and the lowest energy confirmation was chosen for binding interaction analysis.

2.3 Furamidine is a Lead PRMT1-Selective Inhibitor

2.3.1 Identification of furamidine as a PRMT-1 selective inhibitor

A single concentration (10 μM) screening assay was performed in Scintillation Proximity Assay (SPA) format to examine the inhibition of a diamidine series (DB compounds from Dr. David Boykin, Georgia State University) on PRMT1 and PRMT5, with PRMT1 representing type I enzyme while PRMT5 representing type II\textsuperscript{150}. Among all the compounds tested in the assay, DB75 (also known as furamidine, Figure 2.1) stood out due to the selective inhibition toward PRMT1, although it was not the most potent inhibitor for PRMT1 or PRMT5. Dose-dependent assay revealed that the IC\textsubscript{50} of furamidine was 9.4 ± 1.1 μM for PRMT1, while the IC\textsubscript{50} values for PRMT5, PRMT6 and CARM1 were 166 ± 2 μM, 283 ± 37 μM and >400 μM, respectively\textsuperscript{150}.

2.3.2 Mechanism of action studies of furamidine

Steady state kinetic characterization was conducted to elucidate the inhibition mode of furamidine against PRMT1, as described in 2.2.7. The initial velocities of PRMT1 were
measured at varying concentrations of the inhibitor over a range of substrate H4-20 peptide concentrations with fixed concentration of the cofactor SAM. Similarly, when the concentration of H4-20 was fixed, the initial velocities of PRMT1 were measured at varying concentrations of SAM and inhibitor. The data were plotted in double reciprocal format as shown in Figure 2.2. In H4-20 peptide titration assay, the linear curves were intersected closely in the second quadrant, indicating that furamidine is primarily competitive to the substrate peptide. In the SAM titration assay, the intersection is close to the X-axis, supporting a noncompetitive pattern to SAM. These inhibition patterns suggest that the inhibition of PRMT1 is likely achieved through the interference of substrate arginine binding in the catalytic pocket of the enzyme.

Figure 2.2 Kinetic analysis of PRMT1 inhibition by furamidine. The concentration of inhibitor was selected at 0 μM (▲), 10 μM (■), 20 μM (●), 30 μM (×), and 40 μM (○). In H4-20 titration assay (left), the concentration of SAM was fixed at 3 μM, and in SAM titration assay (right), the concentration of H4-20 was fixed at 15 μM.
Molecular docking analysis was performed to better understand the mode of action of furamidine, in which the SAM-binding site and substrate arginine site was included in the binding cavity (Figure 2.3). The amidine groups of furamidine is interacting (via salt bridge) with the acidic residues Glu129, Glu144, and Glu153 of hPRMT1 (Figure 2.3A and B). One amidine group is binding with Glu144 and Glu153, which are reported to be essential for substrate binding and catalysis. The second amidine group is binding with residue Glu129, which is slightly overlapping the SAM adenine binding. This binding mode can explain why furamidine showed mixed-type inhibition with a substrate-competitive feature. Additionally, extensive molecular dynamics (MD) simulations and molecular mechanics/Poisson–Boltzmann solvent-accessible surface area (MM-PBSA) calculations have shown that the amidine interactions with Glu129 and Glu144 has the most contribution to ligand binding (Appendix A Figure S2.1).
Figure 2.3 Proposed binding pose of furamidine in PRMT1 catalytic cavity. **A.** Furamidine (green) binding interactions in the catalytic cavity of PRMT1 (grey). Interacting residues of PRMT1 are in orange. **B.** Hydrophobic surface of PRMT1 in furamidine binding site (darker blue is less hydrophobic). **C.** 2D diagram of **A.** The above figures were generated using Discovery Studio 4.0.
2.3.3 Structure activity relationship of diamidines in PRMT inhibition

The original series of diamidines contains 40 compounds (DB compounds from Dr. David Boykin, Georgia State University) with multiple structural variations. Here we summarize the structure activity relationship of the 15 compounds bearing furan and amidine functional groups modifications (Table 2.3) in PRMT1 and PRMT5 inhibition. The PRMT1 inhibition of the diamidine compounds is sensitive to alkylation of the terminal amidine moiety. DB242, DB244, and DB249 have alkyl substituents, while DB256 and DB569 have phenyl substituents on the amidine all showed reduced activity. In accordance to the docking analysis, the salt bridges between the two amidines and the two glutamate residues, which contribute most in furamidine binding, is likely disturbed by the hydrophobic substituents (Figure 2.3A and C). Replacing the oxygen in the furan ring of furamidine with S or Se (DB351 and DB1213A) had minimal effect on PRMT1 inhibition, probably because their similar stereoelectronic properties. However, replacement with alkylated N in DB320A, DB1304, DB2235 and DB2236 caused bigger loss in activity with increasing bulkiness; possibly due to the steric hindrance with the small cavity surrounding that area (Figure 2.3B). The SAR of the diamidines for PRMT5 inhibition is quite different from that of PRMT1. The most striking feature is that bulky substitution in the amidine group seems to be preferred, based on the increased inhibitory activity of DB256, DB244 and DB249. This phenomenon may indicate that hydrophobicity is favored in PRMT5 bonding, and possibly a larger size of the molecule can better fit the cavity. Overall, our biochemical data suggest that PRMT5 prefers to bind molecules with higher hydrophobicity and more bulkiness. This difference could explain the selective inhibition of PRMT1 by a smaller and more polar compound like furamidine.
Table 2.2 SAR summary table of furan and amidine derivatives. Compounds were screened at 10 µM concentration. Remaining activity of PRMT1 and PRMT5 (R.A. P1 and R.A. P5) were presented relative to control without inhibitor presence. Results are the average of two experiments.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text{Compound} & \text{X} & \text{Y} & \text{R}_1 & \text{R}_2 & \text{R}_3 & \text{R}_4 & \text{R.A P1} & \text{R.A P5} \\
\hline
\text{DB1213A} & \text{Se} & \text{C} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & 0.17 & 0.38 \\
\text{DB75} & \text{O} & \text{C} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & 0.19 & 0.66 \\
\text{DB351} & \text{S} & \text{C} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & 0.21 & 0.61 \\
\text{DB256} & \text{O} & \text{C} & \text{H} & \text{O} & \text{H} & \text{H} & 0.31 & -0.058 \\
\text{DB244} & \text{O} & \text{C} & \text{H} & \text{C} & \text{H} & \text{H} & 0.43 & 0.39 \\
\text{DB1052} & \text{N} & \text{S} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & 0.48 & 0.78 \\
\text{DB320A} & \text{N} & \text{C} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & 0.49 & 0.44 \\
\text{DB690} & \text{O} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & 0.51 & 0.55 \\
\text{DB417} & \text{O} & \text{C} & \text{H} & \text{CH} & \text{H} & \text{CH} & 0.58 & 0.51 \\
\text{DB249} & \text{O} & \text{C} & \text{H} & \text{C} & \text{H} & \text{H} & 0.59 & 0.32 \\
\text{DB1304} & \text{N} & \text{C} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & 0.62 & 0.72 \\
\text{DB2236} & \text{N} & \text{C} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & 0.65 & 0.77 \\
\text{DB2235} & \text{C} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & 0.70 & 0.48 \\
\text{DB242} & \text{O} & \text{C} & \text{H} & \text{C} & \text{H} & \text{H} & 0.76 & 0.59 \\
\text{DB569} & \text{O} & \text{C} & \text{H} & \text{C} & \text{H} & \text{H} & 0.79 & 0.75 \\
\end{array}
\]
2.3.4 Inhibitory activity of furamidine in leukemia cells (this work was conducted through collaboration with Hairui Su and Dr. Xinyang Zhao)

PRMT1 is overexpressed in many kinds of tumors, including leukaemia. To verify whether furamidine can inhibit the PRMT1 enzymatic activity in cells, studies were conducted in leukemia cell lines as reported previously\(^\text{150}\). Asymmetric methylated arginine (ASYM24) antibody was used to detect the protein methylation status of GFP-ALY fusion protein in 293T cells. GFP-ALY was immunoprecipitated with GFP antibody, which showed that the level of the methylation was significantly reduced in presence of the 20 μM furamidine (Appendix A Figure 2.2A). This result confirmed that the drug is permeable to cell membrane and inhibits cellular PRMT1 activity. The cell viability of leukemia cell lines treated with 20 μM furamidine was examined. The result showed inhibited cell growth for the two leukemia cell lines CHRF and MOLM13 (Appendix A Figure 2.2B). These results agree with the expected role of PRMT1 in cell proliferation, and indicated that treatment of furamidine is inhibitory to the leukemia cells. Furamidine was further used as a chemical probe to elucidate the regulatory function of PRMT1 in RNA splicing through methylation of RBM15\(^\text{223}\).

2.4 Discovery of Decamidine as an Improved PRMT1 Inhibitor

2.4.1 Diamidines varying the linker length

The diamidine series (DB compounds from Dr. David Boykin, Georgia State University) of compounds had very limited variation in the linker modifications connecting the two phenylamidine functional groups. In the preliminary structural modification efforts, we found that linker variation in stilbamidine (Figure 2.1) was well tolerated, which inspired us to further explore the effect of middle linker lengths on these diamidine compounds. We synthesized amidine analogs with different hydrocarbon linkers between the two benzamidine functional
groups, and purchased pentamidine (Sigma) for this study\textsuperscript{224} (Table 2.4). The inhibitory activity of these compounds on PRMT1 and PRMT5 was measured with the scintillation proximity assay (SPA), using furamidine as a positive control. The IC\textsubscript{50} values were listed in Table 2.4, in which the most active compound being the 10-carbon linker molecule, decamidine. The improved potency of decamidine in PRMT1 inhibition was further validated by mass spectrometric analysis and radiometric gel assay (Appendix A Figure S2.3)\textsuperscript{224}.

Table 2.3 IC\textsubscript{50} values of diamidines for PRMT1 and PRMT5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>IC\textsubscript{50}, µM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRMT1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stilbamidine</td>
<td>52.3 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furamidine</td>
<td>21.6 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentamidine</td>
<td>81.0 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexamidine</td>
<td>52.2 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decamidine</td>
<td>12.7 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4.2 Binding pose analysis of decamidine in PRMT1

To decipher the structural basis for the linker length influence on the enhanced potency of decamidine, docking analysis was performed (Figure 2.4). The results show that the first amidine group occupies the SAM adenosine site via two hydrogen bonds to the backbone carbonyl group of Pro24 and Ala26, and a hydrogen bond with the side chain of Glu129. For the second amidine group, the flexible 10-carbon linker allowed stretching of the amidine into the SAM methionine binding site. In this orientation, the amidine forms hydrogen bonds with ASP76 side chain and the backbone carbonyl group of Ser79. The deep placement of the amidine in the cofactor pocket with multiple interactions accounts for the stronger binding with PRMT1.
Figure 2.4 Proposed binding pose of decamidine in PRMT1 catalytic cavity. A. Detailed view of decamidine displaying the key PRMT1 residues. Decamidine is shown in green sticks, PRMT1 residues involved in binding are labeled in orange. B. Shape of the binding cavity of PRMT1 (grey) with decamidine (green stick) shown as hydrophobic surface (darker blue is less hydrophobic). C. 2D diagram of A. All figures are generated with Discovery Studio 4.0.
2.5 Discovery of K313 as a Potent PRMT1 Inhibitor

2.5.1 Identification of K313 through combinatorial high throughput screening

In addition to the chemical modification-based lead optimization approach based on the furamidine structure, a ligand-based virtual screening was applied to gain better diversity of the diamidine moiety from the existing commercial compound libraries. The rigid, crescent and planar structure of the lead PRMT1 inhibitor furamidine was used as a structural template for both charge and shape similarity filtration in the virtual screening (Appendix A Figure S2.4). A furamidine structure-derived compound library containing the top 406 hits was generated, sourced from the NCI Diversity Set (total of 260071 compounds). 406 compounds (namely K1 to K406) from this smaller library were subject to a biochemical high throughput screening (HTS) against PRMT1 and PRMT5, using scintillation proximity assay (SPA). Since the IC50 of furamidine is 9.4 µM under the assay condition, a single concentration screening was performed at 10 µM concentration of the compounds. The top 33 compounds inhibited PRMT1 activity to less than 50% at 10 µM were identified as the biochemical screening hits (Figure 2.5A), which have better inhibition than furamidine. A parallel screening against PRMT5 (type II PRMT enzyme) was also conducted for preliminary selectivity assessment. Further characterization of these hits led to the lead inhibitor, K313 (Figure 2.6). Compound K313 possesses an IC50 value of 0.84 ± 0.14 µM, which is >10-fold more potent than furamidine (Figure 2.6B). To obtain more structure analogs of K313, we conducted a second-round search on the NCI Diversity Set using the established virtual screening method, based on the structure template of K313. The K313-derived library containing 89 compounds (K415 to K503) were screened at 10 µM and 100 µM, and 14 compounds inhibited PRMT1 activity to less than 50% at 10 µM (Figure 2.5B). Selected compounds from the K313-derived library were used for SAR analysis in 2.5.2.
Figure 2.5 Results of high throughput screening on compound libraries. A. Single concentration screening result of the furamidine-derived library against PRMT1 (blue) and PRMT5 (red). B. Two-concentration (10 µM, grey and 100 µM, blue) screening result of the K313-derived library.
Figure 2.6 Structures, IC₅₀ curves and potency comparison of K313 and furamidine.

Furthermore, to obtain the selectivity profile of K313, the inhibition potencies were determined by SPA using a protein methyltransferase panel containing seven PRMT isoforms (PRMT1, PRMT3, CARM1, PRMT5:MEP50, PRMT6, PRMT7 and PRMT8) and a lysine methyltransferase (G9a) (Figure 2.7). Single concentration screening at 10 µM of K313 showed that this compound significantly inhibited the activity of PRMT1, PRMT3 and PRMT8, which are type I PRMTs (Figure 2.7A). The dose-dependent selectivity profile indicates that K313 is a strong PRMT1 inhibitor with an IC₅₀ of 0.84 ± 0.14 µM, which is more potent than it is for the other methyltransferases in the panel (Figure 2.7B). Due to the poor fitting of a three-parameter logistic equation (Relative Activity = 1/(1+([Inhibitor]/IC₅₀))) to some target enzymes, the four-parameter logistic equation (Relative Activity = 1/(1+([Inhibitor]/IC₅₀)ⁿ)) was used to derive the Hill coefficient (n). Hill coefficients may give information on the number of interacting sites, and the Hill coefficients different from one are proof for multiple ligand binding, possibly inducing allosteric changes to the target protein. The Hill coefficient of K313 is close to 1 for PRMT1 and
PRMT5, while the values had a large variation among other proteins. This phenomenon suggested different binding modes of K313 on these protein targets.

**Figure 2.7 Selectivity of K313.** A. Single concentration screening at 10 µM of K313. B. IC$_{50}$ of K313 against a panel of protein methyltransferases.

The selectivity of less potent PRMT1 hits (K278, K309, K336 and K413) from the screening was also evaluated (Figure 2.8). K413 is selective against CARM1 with an IC$_{50}$ of 9.1 ± 0.9 µM, while is 4-fold less potent over PRMT5, 6-fold over PRMT3, 7-fold over PRMT1 and -6, 9-fold over G9a and more than 13-fold over PRMT1 and PRMT8. K336 showed selectivity against PRMT3 and CARM1, which is 2-fold less potent for PRMT1, 4-fold for PRMT8, 7-fold for PRMT6, more than 20-fold for PRMT7 and almost inactive against PRMT5. The IC$_{50}$ values of K309 for PRMT1, -3 and 6 are very close, while it is about 3-fold less potent for G9a, 5-fold for PRMT8, 10-fold for CARM1, 15-fold for PRMT7 and almost inactive for PRMT5. K278 is less selective for all the enzymes in the panel with IC$_{50}$ values range from 4.7 to 23.3 µM, in which the most potent for G9a. A large variation in the values of the Hill coefficient was observed, which suggested different binding modes exists for these small molecules inhibiting the protein targets.
Figure 2.8 Selectivity of other hits against the protein methyltransferase panel.
2.5.2 Structure activity relationship (SAR) of K313 analogues in PRMT1 inhibition

The 30 most structurally-related compounds from the furamidine-derived library and K313-derived library were chosen for SAR analysis, which were further categorized into three series according to their central frame structures (Figure 2.9, 2.10 and 2.11). In series 1, compounds share two indene-like cores connected by butene or butyl linkers. The substitution of the 5-membered ring affects the activity of the compounds, and K465 showed lowest IC$_{50}$ at 2.4 ± 1.0 µM among all (Figure 2.9A). The additional methyl group on the double-bond linker of K457 did not bring significant change on the potency, comparing to K467 (Figure 2.9A). The replacement of diamidine group with imidazole in K473 decreased its inhibition compare to K464 (Figure 2.9B), which is supportive to our previous SAR analysis in 2.3.3 that the amidine group is essential for ligand-protein binding by contributing hydrogen bonds. The cis conformation in K466 slightly reduced the potency than the trans compound K465 (Figure 2.9C). Flexible linker was generally not favored, comparing K461 to K465 or K466, and K458 to K469 (Figure 2.9D).
Figure 2.9 Structure activity relationship of the K313 analogs, series 1.

Figure 2.10. Structure-activity relationship of the K313 analogs, series 2.
The compounds in series 2 are featured with a benzene ring connected to an indene-like core (Figure 2.10). Similar to series 1, substitution of the 5-membered ring altered the potency of the inhibitors (Figure 2.10A), and the imidazole side group was not as favored as the amidine group (Figure 2.10B). It is likely that either the steric hindrance or hydrophobicity of the additional alkyl carbons can interrupt the hydrogen bonding from the amidine groups.

In series 3, the potencies of compounds bearing different lengths of the linkers are listed and compared (Figure 2.11). The shorter linker (K309 and K489) is preferred than the four-carbon diene linker (K475 and K481), which is again consistent with the linker variation studies in 2.4.1. The lengths of the linker mainly contribute to the overall ligand binding through positioning the amidine groups into the appropriate pocket where strong hydrogen bonds or salt bridges can form between the terminal amidines and amino acid residues.

![Structure-activity relationship of the K313 analogs, series 3.](image)

**Figure 2.11 Structure-activity relationship of the K313 analogs, series 3.**

**2.5.3 Mechanism of action studies of K313**

As soon as K313 was identified, the compound was sent to a collaborator expertized in protein crystallography (Dr. Joseph Ho, Academia Sinica, Taiwan) for co-crystallization
screening on PRMT1, PRMT3 and PRMT8. After condition optimization, yellow crystals were formed for PRMT3 with K313, which was likely from this yellow-colored ligand. The yellow color remained after back wash (soaked the crystal in the solution without K313), which suggested that K313 was bound to the protein. However, the density of the K313 was not observed in PRMT3. Docking of K313 in the PRMT1 pocket was performed, and this proposed binding model was overlapped with a rat PRMT3 structure in presence of SAH (SAM analogue) (PDB code 1F3L, Figure 2.12A). The two protein structures overlapped very well, and K313 (blue) clearly appeared in the SAM binding site overlapping with SAH (magenta), which suggested that K313 is probably competitive to SAM, but it could not exclude the possibility of being the mixed-type of inhibition. In order to obtain a docking structure of K313 in PRMT1, the previously described PRMT1 homology model was overlapped with the K313-PRMT3 cocrystal structure, and the docking site was defined by the position of K313 in PRMT3. The in situ ligand minimization protocol in Discovery Studio 4.0 was used to further dock K313 in PRMT1. The obtained results show that K313 was sitting in an overall hydrophobic environment, except the slightly hydrophilic residues surrounding the two terminal amidine groups (Figure 2.12B). From a more detailed view in Figure 2.12C and D, a hydrogen bond formed between the Glu129 and one amidine group of K313, which was also found in the case of furamidine. However, the other amidine group of K313 did not interact with Glu144 but rather extended to the SAM carbonyl binding site and formed hydrogen bond with Thr81. The middle aromatic rings in K313 formed several interactions with hydrophobic side chain residue, which helped positioning the ligand and tightened binding.
Figure 2.12 Proposed binding pose of K313 in PRMT1 catalytic cavity. A. Overlapped structures of K313 (blue) in PRMT1 (grey), with SAH (magenta) in PRMT3 (pink) (PDB: 1F3L). B. Hydrophobic surface of PRMT1 at K313 binding site (darker blue is less hydrophobic). C. Detailed interactions of K313 with PRMT1 catalytic pocket residues. K313 is shown in blue sticks, PRMT1 residues involved in binding are labeled in orange. D. 2D diagram of C. All figures are generated with Discovery Studio 4.0.
The mechanism of inhibition was carried out using stopped flow spectrometer (details about this assay is described in Chapter 4). The curve of Phase I rate with concentration of K313 showed an ascending trend rather than descending trend (Figure 2.13), which is similar the SAH titration result (Figure 4.5). However, the decreasing trend of the Phase I rate curve is steeper than SAH, which indicated it could also affect substrate binding. These results suggested a mix-type inhibition with primarily SAM competitive pattern, which agreed with the proposed docking model where K313 is interrupting the binding of the cofactor SAM.

![Figure 2.13 Stopped flow fluorescence assay of K313. A. PRMT1 methylation time curves in presence of different concentrations of K313. B. The relationship of Phase I slope values with varying concentrations of K313. The condition of the assay is as the following: [PRMT1] = 0.2 µM, [SAM] = 3.5 µM and [H4FL] = 0.4 µM.](image)

2.5.4 Cellular efficacy of K313

(This work was conducted through collaboration with Hairui Su, Dr. Xinyang Zhao on Leukemia cells; Sudeepti Kuppa, Lindsay Berman and Dr. Mandy Murph on Melanoma cells.)

The cellular efficacy of K313 in leukemia cells was tested through collaboration. Dose-dependent cell viability assay was performed on MEG01, CMK, K562, and HEL cells by K313 treatment, with DMSO as control (Appendix B Figure S2.5 A-B). Cell viability inhibition IC$_{50}$ was calculated after 3 days and 4 days of treatment (Appendix B Figure S2.5C). Immunoblots of asymmetric arginine methylation level of with or without K313 treatment in MEG01 cells was shown in Appendix B Figure S2.5D. The results validated the inhibition of PRMT1 by K313 in cells, according to the decreased methylation level of the PRMT1 substrates, including RBM15. K313 was potent enough to significantly inhibit ADMA levels at 100 nM and 200 nM after 24-hour treatment. K313 was also tested in melanoma MeWo cells. In a parallel growth inhibition assay, the IC$_{50}$ of K313 is 1.1 μM while the IC$_{50}$ of furamidine (DB75) is 13.5 μM (Appendix B Figure S2.6). The IC$_{50}$ result on MeWo cells is consistent with that of the leukemia cells, which also reflected the 10-fold lower potency of K313 than furamidine in biochemical assays.

2.6 Conclusions

The results of this chapter have demonstrated that diamidine compounds are capable of selectively inhibiting the methyl transfer activity of PRMT1 with varied potencies, evidenced by the identification and characterization of furamidine, decamidine and K313 (Table 2.5 and Figure 2.14).

Furamidine was identified as a potent and selective inhibitor for PRMT1 compared with the other PRMTs such as CARM1, PRMT5, and PRMT6. It effectively inhibited PRMT1 activity intracellularly, which also inhibited leukemia cell proliferation. The computational
studies of ligand-protein interactions suggested that the rigid crescent-shaped compound spans the adjacent substrate and cofactor binding sites, through strong salt bridges formed between the positively charged amidine functional group and glutamate side chains in the substrate site and cofactor site. This mode of inhibition was confirmed by enzyme kinetic results, wherein furamidine showed a primarily substrate competitive mode of inhibition and a noncompetitive inhibition toward the cofactor. The SAR analysis also supported that amidine residues are essential for the inhibitory activity of the compounds, in which any modification resulted the deprotonation or bulkiness on the amidine groups caused a decrease in inhibitory activity.

Following this work\textsuperscript{150}, a series of phenyl diamidines bearing different middle linker length were synthesized for a complimentary SAR study to the original set of compounds. Among the newly obtained analogs\textsuperscript{224}, the inhibition potencies increased as the linker length elongated, and the most potent inhibitor in this series was decamidine with a C10 linker. Compared to stilbamidine and furamidine, this compound showed moderately increased PRMT1 inhibition but slightly decreased selectivity against PRMT5. Molecular docking studies suggested that the increase inhibitory activity for PRMT1 could be attributed to the extension of the linker that positioned the amidine group to the far end of the SAM binding site. It appears that only a linker length over five methylene groups is long enough for transitioning from one binding mode (like furamidine) to the other (like decamidine).

K313 was identified using a combinatorial approach - furamidine was the template for ligand-based virtual screening to search for compounds with similar shape and charge in a diverse compound library, and ranked by similarity scores. A furamidine-derived library containing top hits from virtual screening was subjected to biochemical high throughput screening. A total of 30 compounds were found more potent than furamidine for PRMT1
inhibition, among which K313 was identified as the most potent inhibitor with 10-fold improved
IC$_{50}$ for PRMT1 compare to that of furamidine. Stopped flow fluorescence assay and
computational studies together indicated that K313 directly interfere with cofactor binding. K313
significantly decreased ADMA level in leukemia cells at concentration as low as 100 nM. The
IC$_{50}$ value of K313 for growth inhibition is 1 μM in leukemia cell and melanoma cell studies,
and for melanoma cells, K313 is at least 10-fold more potent than furamidine.

The SAR studies of the diamidines together demonstrated the importance of amidine
moiety in the inhibitory activity of compounds: the substitution on the amidines resulted in
decreased inhibition for PRMT1, while the linker lengths and structures could also affect the
PRMT1 inhibition. This conclusion is also supported by the computational studies of the three
inhibitors. The proposed binding mode of the three inhibitors in PRMT1 is shown in Figure
2.14. From the overlapped structure, the three compounds have a common binding region in the
SAM adenosine site (left side amidine of Figure 2.14), whereas furamidine and K313 interacted
with Glu129 while decamidine stretched a little further. On the other side, the amidine group of
furamidine pointed downward to interact with the arginine binding site residues Glu144 and
Glu153, while both decamidine and K313 extended in the SAM binding site. It is likely that the
larger molecule size and more hydrophobic middle linker of K313 and decamidine are more
suitable for the environment of the SAM binding site (Figure 2.4 B, Figure 2.12B). As for
furamidine, the relatively short distance between the two amidine groups allowed the
complimentary shape and space to interact with the three glutamate residues from SAM site
(Glu129) and substrate arginine site (Glu144 and Glu153) (Figure 2.3B). Interestingly,
furamidine has the best selectivity for PRMT1 inhibition (Table 2.5) among the three
compounds, which also had the most interactions to the arginine binding site residues. It is
possible that decamidine and K313 bind to the conserved SAM binding site of the PRMT isoforms and their selectivity was compromised, but the increased hydrophobic interactions enhanced the binding of the molecules.

In all, this work has provided several potent, selective and cell-active PRMT1 inhibitors for further structure optimization. These potent and selective inhibitors can be used as chemical probes to study the biological functions of PRMT1, and they are potential therapeutic agents for PRMT1 dysregulation-associated cancers.
Figure 2.14. Overlapped structure of furamidine, decamidine and K313 in PRMT1 catalytic pocket. PRMT1 is shown in grey, furamidine is in green, decamidine is in magenta and K313 is in blue.

Table 2.4. Comparison of PRMT1 inhibitors in Chapter 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>MW, g/mol</th>
<th>LogP</th>
<th>IC$_{50}$ for PRMT1, µM</th>
<th>IC$_{50}$ increase for PRMT5</th>
<th>Cellular activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Furamidine</td>
<td>304.35</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>9.4 ± 1.1</td>
<td>17.6-fold</td>
<td>Reduced ADMA level in 293T; inhibited growth of leukemia cells; cell viability IC$_{50}$ is 13.5 µM in MeWo (melanoma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decamidine, linker</td>
<td>410.56</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>12.7 ± 1.0 (furamidine: 21.6 ± 2.1)</td>
<td>3.4-fold</td>
<td>Not determined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K313</td>
<td>368.44</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.14 (furamidine: 12.7 ± 3.8)</td>
<td>8.1-fold</td>
<td>Reduced ADMA level in MEG01 cell (leukemia); cell viability IC$_{50}$ 1.03 µM in MEG01 and 1.08 µM in MeWo (melanoma)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
K280S IS A DUAL-TARGET INHIBITOR FOR PRMT5 AND MICROΤUBULIN IN PROSTATE CANCER


### 3.1 Introduction

PRMT5 is the predominant type II enzyme that symmetrically dimethylates arginine residues of histones and non-histone protein substrates ([Table 1.2](#))\(^{225}\). One of the major role of PRMT5 in epigenetics is to repress gene expression\(^ {101,226}\). PRMT5 also participates in various cellular processes by the symmetrically demethylation of critical signaling proteins involved in cell cycle progression, apoptosis and DNA repair\(^ {28,225}\). Recently, PRMT5 has garnered attention as a new molecular target in various diseases: PRMT5 is overexpressed in gastric, colorectal, lung cancer, lymphoma, leukaemia\(^ {21}\) and prostate cancer\(^ {114}\); it also plays regulatory roles in renal and cardiovascular disease\(^ {115}\), Huntington’s disease\(^ {116}\) and Alzheimer's disease\(^ {117}\) ([Table 1.2](#)). The elevated activity of PRMT5 was found to correlate with progression and poor prognosis in many cancers, and evidence suggests that PRMT5 functions as an oncogene to promote cancer development\(^ {46,108,225,227-231}\). The therapeutic potential of PRMT5 inhibition is supported by numerous studies. For example, silencing PRMT5 expression in lung cancer A549 cells reduced cell proliferation\(^ {228}\). In neuroblastoma, PRMT5 overexpression is intensely associated with
oncogene MYCN amplification\textsuperscript{232}. The growth inhibition of ER-negative breast cancer cell with 17-\(\beta\)-estradiol treatment is accompanied by decreased PRMT5 expression\textsuperscript{233}. PRMT5 relates to adaptive changes in several signaling pathways typical of prostate cancer type\textsuperscript{20,234}, and studies show that silencing PRMT5 in prostate cancer cells causes slow cell growth\textsuperscript{114}. These studies suggest that PRMT5 overexpression plays important roles in prompting cancer cell growth, and silencing or reduce expression of PRMT5 is a plausible way to prevent or slow down the development of cancer. Therefore, PRMT5 inhibitors are potential therapeutic agents to treat cancers that associated with elevated PRMT5 activity.

Despite the emerging need, to date, a very limited number of PRMT5 inhibitors were reported (refer to \textit{Chapter 1.6.6}). Compound CMP5 (\textbf{Figure 1.9, 44}) was used in cells but not much information about its selectivity profile and mode of action was reported\textsuperscript{199}. EPZ015666\textsuperscript{235} is a potent, selective and orally bioavailable PRMT5 Inhibitor with a biochemical \(K_i\) of 5 nM. It has \(>20,000\)-fold selectivity over a panel of protein methyltransferases. Enzyme kinetics studies showed competitive inhibition to the peptide substrate, and uncompetitive to SAM. The crystal structure of EPZ015666 with SAM in PRMT5:MEP50 (PDB: 46X1) explained this mechanism of inhibition. The compound was found to bind in the peptide-binding site, interacting directly with many of the residues for peptide binding and catalytic reaction. Interestingly, it forms a \(\pi-\pi\) stacking interaction with Phe327, which is postulated to be a crucial residue that differentiates type I and type II activity\textsuperscript{40,236}. This unique interaction can also explain why this compound is extremely selective for PRMT5 – based on the known crystal structures of PRMTs, PRMT5 is the only enzyme that has this residue in arginine binding site\textsuperscript{26}. Treatment of MCL cell lines with EPZ015666 resulted in inhibition of SmD3 methylation and decreased cell proliferation. However, the treatment time lasted for 12 days for the nanomolar potency in proliferation
inhibition, which suggested that the treatment onset of EPZ015666 was slow in MCL cells. Oral dosing with EPZ015666 demonstrated dose-dependent antitumor activity in multiple MCL xenograft models. In all, EPZ015666 is a validated chemical probe to study PRMT5 biological function in cancer and other diseases.

In this chapter, the inhibitory activity of 1,2-dihydropyrido[3,4-b]pyrazine compounds on PRMT5 was identified and characterized. This group of compounds were previously reported as tubulin binders. This inhibitor is more potent than EPZ015666 in inhibiting prostate cancer cell growth. Our studies suggested that the synergetic effect of K280 inhibiting PRMT5 activity and microtubule formation is beneficial to cancer treatment.

3.2 Materials and Methods

3.2.1 Materials

Experimental or purchasing details are described in Chapter 2: protein expression of Recombinant methyltransferases refer to 2.2.1; peptide synthesis refer to 2.2.2; purchased materials refer to 2.23.

3.2.2 Compound sources

Compound library for high throughput screening were ordered from National Cancer Institute DTP program. K280 and K280 derivatives were synthesized and purified by Dr. Jing Zhang, with >95% purity based on $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR, analytical HPCL and mass spectrometry, with an exception of hydrogenated K280 (K280+2H) with 90% purity due to the instability nature of this compound.
3.2.3 Biochemical assays

Experimental details are described in Chapter 2: high throughput screening (HTS) of small molecule libraries refer to 2.2.5; biochemical selectivity assay refer to 2.2.6; mechanism-of-inhibition studies refer to 2.2.7.

3.2.4 Molecular docking

Docking was carried out with Discovery Studio 4.0. The reported PRMT5:MEP50 crystal structure (PDB: 4GQB) was used as the receptor model for docking of K280. The docking boxes were identified through PDB recorded catalytic pocket of the protein structure in Discovery Studio 4.0. CDOCKER docking module was applied for molecular dynamic enforced optimization. Docking poses were ranked based on CDOCKER energy from the lowest to highest, and the lowest energy confirmation was chosen for binding interaction analysis.

3.2.5 PRMT5:MEP50 protein complex expression using Bac-to-bac baculovirus expression system

Recombinant human PRMT5:MEP50 complex was expressed in baculovirus-infected SF9 cells. Full-length protein arginine methyltransferase 5 (PRMT5) including residues 1–637 with an amino terminal his-TEV tag (MGHHHHHHSSGVLDTENLYFQ*SM, *is TEV cleavage site) was cloned into pFastBac vector between Lic205 and Bse221. Full-length MEP50 including residues 1–342 was cloned into the same vector. The two bacmids were extracted and purified using form the two pFastBac plasmids inoculated culture of DH10Bac E coli, using PureLink HiPure DNA midiprep Kit (life technologies). Insertion of the target genes in the two bacmids was verified by PCR using pUC/M13 primers. The two bacmids were then transfected separately in SF9 adherent culture on a 6-well plate, cultured in SF900II serum free medium. PRMT5 and MEP50 were harvested 10 days after transfection, when > 80% cell death was
observed. The two viruses were used to infect more SF9 cells in adherent culture or suspension culture to generate P2, and the titer of P2 viruses was determined by a modified baculovirus rapid titer protocol (Clontech). The infection condition was optimized in a 12-well or 24-well assay varying MOI from 1 to 10 and infection time from 24 hr to 72 hr. An optimal condition was determined to be MOI = 1 for both viruses with 72 hr infection. The 250 mL suspension cultures of SF9 cells were then infected at MOI = 1 for 72 hr before the cell pellets were harvested. Purification of the PRMT5:MEP50 complex was carried out at 4 °C. Cell pellets were lysed by resuspension in buffer containing 50 mM Tris pH 8, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole pH 8, 1 mM TCEP, 10% (vol/vol) glycerol, 0.1% (vol/vol) Triton X-100, and freshly added 1 mM PMSF followed by centrifugation for 30 min at 39,191 g. The clarified lysate was mixed with Ni-NTA resin (Qiagen) pre-equilibrated in the equilibrium buffer containing 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 250 mM NaCl, 10% (vol/vol) glycerol, 1 mM TCEP, 30 mM imidazole and freshly added 1 mM PMSF. Resin was sedimented and washed with the washing buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 250 mM NaCl, 10% (vol/vol) glycerol, 1 mM TCEP, 80 mM imidazole and freshly added 1 mM PMSF), and eluted in buffer supplemented with 300 mM imidazole pH 8.0. Concentrated protein was dialyzed and then loaded onto a Superdex 200 size-exclusion column (GE Healthcare LifeSciences) in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 250 mM NaCl, 10% (vol/vol) glycerol, and 1 mM TCEP. FPLC fraction of the complex was collected, and the purity of the protein complex was checked by SDS-PAGE and western blot. The enzyme activity was confirmed by methylation time courses measured by SPA, in comparison to the purchased PRMT5:MEP50 complex from Reaction Biology Inc. (part number: HMT-22-148).
3.2.6 Crystallization of PRMT5:MEP50 protein with MTA and histone H4-20 peptide, or K280S

MTA (5'-Methylthioadenosine) or K280S was solubilized at 100 mM in DMSO. H4-20 peptide was dissolved in sterilized distilled water at 20 mM. MTA and H4-20 or K280S at a final concentration of 1 mM were mixed with PRMT5:MEP50 complex at 10.5 mg/mL, and the samples were incubated on ice for 1 hour. Hanging drop vapor-diffusion methods was used in a 0.5-mL reservoir 24-well tray for protein crystallization. Typically, 2 μL protein was added to 2 μL reservoir solution containing 20% - 30% PEG3350 and 200 mM ammonium sulfate. Trays were incubated at 8 °C for two weeks before the pictures of the needle-shaped crystal were taken using a camera attached to the microscope.

3.3 Identification of K280 as a Potent and Selective PRMT5 Inhibitor

To identify novel PRMT5 inhibitors, a ligand-based virtual screening (Appendix A Figure S1) was performed based on the reported PRMT1 inhibitor furamidine (Figure 1.7, 20). The NCI Diversity Set was used as the library compound source, which contains 260,071 compounds. Biochemical screening of the top 406 hits was conducted using the previously described scintillation proximity assay. The screening yielded 8 compounds based on a cut-off of 50% inhibition at 10 μM (Figure 2.5A), and one dihydropyrazine compound K280 (Figure 3.1A) was identified with potent PRMT5 inhibition (IC₅₀ = 371 nM, Figure 3.1B).
Figure 3.1 K280 is a potent PRMT5 inhibitor. A. Chemical structures of K280. B. Representative IC\textsubscript{50} plots of K280, K280-2H, K280S and K280R in semi-log format measured by SPA.

Inhibitory activity of K280 was measured using a panel of PRMTs and lysine methyltransferases (Figure 3.2). At 10 µM concentration of K280, PRMT5 is inhibited to 10% remaining activity, while other enzymes are almost not inhibited; At 100 µM concentration of K280, PRMT5 is fully inhibited and G9a has 2% remaining activity, while inhibition of other enzymes is minimal (Figure 3.2A). The IC\textsubscript{50} values of K280 for each tested protein methyltransferases are shown in Figure 3.2B. The IC\textsubscript{50} value of K280 (0.37 µM) is 150-fold lower than G9a (IC\textsubscript{50} = 55.8 µM) and more than 300-fold lower than the other enzymes. These results together suggested that K280 was highly selective for PRMT5.
Figure 3.2 K280 selectively inhibits PRMT5 but not other PRMTs and PKMTs. A. Single concentration (10 μM) selectivity screening of K280 against protein methyltransferases. B. Summary table of K280 inhibition on protein methyltransferases. The corresponding substrates used in the assays, remaining activity of the enzymes in presence of 10 μM or 100 μM of the inhibitor and the IC\textsubscript{50} values are listed.

Since K280 is known to bind to the colchicine binding site of tubulin\textsuperscript{237-239}, we also tested the activity of colchicine, which has a completely different chemical scaffold (Figure 3.3B), on the PRMT5:MEP50 enzyme complex. As shown in Figure 3.3A, colchicine showed very weak inhibition against PRMT5 (IC\textsubscript{50} of ~1 mM), indicating that PRMT5 inhibition specificity is unique for the dihydropyrazine scaffold.
Figure 3.3 Colchicine is not a PRMT5 inhibitor. A. IC\textsubscript{50} plot of colchicine in semi-log format measured by SPA. B. Chemical structure of colchicine.

![IC\textsubscript{50} plot of colchicine in semi-log format measured by SPA](image)

![Chemical structure of colchicine](image)

Figure 3.4 IC\textsubscript{50} values of EPZ015666 and K280 against PRMT5:MEP50. A. Under the reported assay condition: [PRMT5:MEP50] = 4 nM, [\textsuperscript{3}H-SAM] = 75 nM, [H\textsubscript{4}-20-BTN] = 40 nM, room temperature, 2 hr, n = 2; B. under our assay condition: [PRMT5:MEP50] = 20 nM, [\textsuperscript{3}H-SAM] = 0.5 µM, [H\textsubscript{4}-20-BTN] = 1 µM, room temperature, 30 min, n = 2.

The PRMT5 inhibitory activity of K280 was compared with that of PRMT5-specific probe EPZ015666 under the reported assay\textsuperscript{335} and current assay conditions (Figure 3.4). Under the reported assay condition, the IC\textsubscript{50} value of K280 was measured as 369 nM, which is very close to the value of 371 nM measured under our assay condition. Interestingly, compound
EPZ015666 showed two distinctive inhibition profiles. Under the reported condition, the IC$_{50}$ of EPZ015666 was measured as 11.4 nM, close to the reported IC$_{50}$ value of 22 nM. However, under our assay condition, its IC$_{50}$ increased to 10.2 µM. The weakened PRMT5 inhibitory potency of EPZ015666 in response to increased substrate concentration may be explained by its inhibitory mechanism as peptide competitive and cofactor dependent$^{235}$. In other words, the higher concentration of H4-20 in our assay (1 µM) and a relatively lower SAM concentration (0.5 µM) may increase the IC$_{50}$ of EPZ015666, because more peptide is competing with the compound and less cofactor is available for the inhibitor binding under this condition.

3.4 K280S Showed Improved Stability Compared to K280

In the follow-up biochemical tests and chemical characterization, we found that K280 was easy to degrade over time (Figure 3.5A and Appendix B Figure S3.1), and this degradation dramatically reduced its ability to inhibit PRMT5 (Figure 3.5B). The degradant was confirmed to be aromatized K280 (hereafter referred to as K280-2H) by NMR (Appendix B Figure S3.2) as a result of oxidative aromatization of dihydropyrazine ring. Since K280-2H was a very weak PRMT5 inhibitor (IC$_{50} = 106$ µM, Figure 3.1B), we further performed structural modifications of K280 to improve its chemical stability (Table 3.1). This led to the identification of (S)-2-methyl-K280 (referred to as K280S) as a chemically stable PRMT5 inhibitor. K280S displayed improved stability with no sign of degradation over 1 month (Figure 3.5). This enhanced stability likely results from the steric shielding of the added methyl group. It should also be noted that K280S had been previously studied as a Phase II clinical candidate, named as CI-980$^{240-241}$.
3.5 Structure Activity Relationship of K280 Derivatives in PRMT Inhibition

Table 3.1 summarizes the SAR of the synthesized K280 derivatives in PRMT5 inhibition. The IC$_{50}$ plots of the representative dihydropyrazine compounds are also shown in Figure 3.1B. Compound K280 displayed the most potent PRMT5 inhibition with IC$_{50}$ of 371 nM, followed by K280S (IC$_{50}$ = 818 nM) and K280R (IC$_{50}$ = 1.8 µM). The R$_1$ hydrogen is essential for the activity: the two derivatives replacing the hydrogen with methyl or Boc significantly decreases the inhibitory activity; and the aromatized form (K280-2H) without this hydrogen also loses activity. The R$_2$ position can tolerate a single methyl substitution but not double methylations, probably due to the steric hindrance from the two methyl groups. Interestingly, the inhibitory activity of S form is better than the R form, which suggested the microenvironment in this region favors the S conformation over R. Bulkier R3 groups such as 4’-Cl-phenyl and 4’-biphenyl resulted in decreased inhibition, which may also due to the steric hindrance. Similar to R$_1$, the R$_4$ hydrogen is also very important, wherein replacing with acetyl
or Boc led to a significant decrease in inhibition. This position may form another hydrogen bond crucial for the inhibitor binding to the protein. The variance in R₅ resulted in several potent derivatives with submicromolar potencies, all bearing subtle extended alkyl groups connecting to the amide bond, which suggested that there is extra space in that area for further exploration. However, the hydrogen on the amide nitrogen is not replaceable (both N(Me)CO₂Et and N(Ac)CO₂Et lost activity). The carbonyl group is also essential, for which replacing with NH₂ decreases activity. In all, the above SAR suggested the importance of several positions that may potentially contribute to hydrogen bonds: the R₁ and R₄ hydrogens, R₅ amide hydrogen and the carbonyl group oxygens.
Table 3.1 SAR of K280 derivatives in PRMT5 inhibition

![Chemical structure of K280 derivatives]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R₁</th>
<th>R₂</th>
<th>R₃</th>
<th>R₄</th>
<th>R₅</th>
<th>IC₅₀ (µM, PRMT5)</th>
<th>Relative activity at 10 µM PRMT5</th>
<th>Relative activity at 100 µM PRMT5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>NHC₂OEt</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>130%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boc</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>NHC₂OEt</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>103%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>(S)-Me</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>NHC₂OEt</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>(R)-Me</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>NHC₂OEt</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>di-Me</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>NHC₂OEt</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>4'-Cl-phenyl</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>NHC₂OEt</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>4'-biphenyl</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>NHC₂OEt</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>NHC₂OEt</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>123%</td>
<td>119%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Boc</td>
<td>NHC₂OEt</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N'H₂</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N'HCONHCH₃Ph</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N'HCONHCH₃H⁺</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N'HCONHCH₃CH₂OH</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Aromatized K280 (K280 – 2H)

Hydrogenated K280 (K280 + 2H)¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Relative activity at 10 µM PRMT5</th>
<th>Relative activity at 100 µM PRMT5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PRMT5</td>
<td>PRMT1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹The purity of this compounds is 90%.
3.6 Mechanism of Action studies of K280

A molecular docking model was conducted to predict the binding mode of K280 to PRMT5 catalytic pocket and to explore mechanism underlying selective binding of K280 to PRMT5 (Figure 3.6). The protein sequence and crystal structure of PRMT5:MEP50 with a cofactor analog and the peptide substrate revealed that PRMT5 had a two-domain structure (Figure 1.1 and 1.2). The catalytic domain adopts the canonical arginine methyltransferase tertiary structure similar to type I PRMTs, with an AdoMet binding domain containing the nucleotide binding Rossmann fold, followed by a barrel-like domain involved in substrate binding (Figure 1.1, PRMT5 in blue, cofactor in yellow and peptide in magenta). However, the N-terminal domain (residues 13–292) of PRMT5 is a TIM barrel, which directly interacts with the MEP50 protein (Figure 1.1, MEP50 in green). The SAM-binding site and substrate arginine site were included for docking. Figure 3.6B showed the interaction mode of K280 with PRMT5. In this model, the amino groups of the dihydropyrazine ring (R₁ and R₄) are stabilized by hydrogen bonds to the side-chains of Glu392 and Glu435 (Figure 3.6D). The residue Ala366 stabilizes K280 by accepting a proton from the amino group of NHCO₂Et (R₅), while the residue Arg368 donates a proton to the carbonyl group of NHCO₂Et (R₅) to form another hydrogen bond. The far end phenyl ring is in a hydrophobic environment surrounding by Pro314, Leu315 and Cys449 (Figure 3.6C), further enhanced the binding of K280. This docking model suggested the importance of the hydrogen bonding between R₁, R₄ and R₅ to the receptor protein, which is consistent with the SAR studies.
Figure 3.6 Proposed binding pose of K280 in PRMT5 catalytic cavity. A. Overlapped structures of K280 (orange) with cofactor analog (green) and substrate arginine (purple) in PRMT5 (grey, PDB: 4GQB) catalytic pocket. B. Detailed interactions of K280 with PRMT5 catalytic pocket residues. K280 is shown in blue sticks, PRMT5 residues involved in binding are labeled in orange. C. Hydrophobic surface of PRMT5 at K280 binding site (darker blue means less hydrophobic). D. 2D diagram of B. All figures are generated with Discovery Studio 4.0.
Further mechanistic study using enzyme kinetics indicated that compound K280 displayed a mixed type inhibition (Figure 3.7). The values of the $K_{i}/K_{m}$ parameter $\alpha$ (Figure 3.7, A. $\alpha = 0.19$ for peptide and B. $\alpha = 0.34$ for cofactor) were less than 1, which suggested that K280 uncompetitive feature of inhibition with respect to peptide and cofactor. This finding is consistent with the docking model, which shows that K280 partially overlaps with the SAM pocket and further extends toward the substrate arginine binding site (Figure 3.6A).

Figure 3.7 Mechanism of inhibition studies of K280. K280 displayed a mixed type inhibition, which is predominantly uncompetitive to the peptide ($\alpha = 0.19$, A) and also predominantly uncompetitive to the cofactor ($\alpha = 0.34$, B).

To obtain the structural information of this group of inhibitors binding to PRMT5 and to further validate the mode of action, attempts have been made to generate the co-crystal structure of K280S with PRMT5:MEP50 complex. Baculovirus protein expression system was adapted to allow large-scale production of PRMT5:MEP50 complex. Recombinant human PRMT5 [His-PRMT5 (1-637)] and MEP50 [His-MEP50(2-342)] baculoviruses were generated according to the Bac-to-bac protocol (Invitrogen) to co-infect SF9 cells. The harvested protein complex was purified on Ni-column and then size exclusion FPLC (Fast protein liquid chromatography), before it was concentrated to 10.5 mg/mL. The purity of the obtained complex is shown in Figure S3.3A. The enzymatic activity of the obtained protein complex is the same as the commercially available product from Reaction Biology Corp. (Figure S3.3B). In our preliminary
hanging-drop vapor diffusion experiment of the purified PRM5:MEP50 complex with 1 mM MTA and 1 mM H4-20 peptide using 20% PEG3350 w/vol in the presence of 200 mM ammonium sulfate as reservoir solution, needle-shaped crystals were observed (Figure S3.3C). Unfortunately, this crystal would not render ideal X-ray diffraction. Further experiments are needed to optimize the condition of the K280S co-crystallization with the protein complex.

3.7 Target Validation and Anticancer Efficacy Assessment of K280S in Prostate Cancer Cells and TRAMP-C2 Induced Prostate Cancer Mice Model

(This work was conducted through collaboration with Nhat Quach, Wided Missaoui, Dr. Brian Cummings and Dr. Tai Guo.)

PRMT5 expression was increased in prostate cancer LNCap and PC-3 cells, as compared to non-cancerous prostate RWPE-1 cells (Appendix B Figure S3.3a). In order to validate that K280 and K280S can inhibit PRMT5 activity in vitro and in vivo, and to assess their anti-cancer efficacy, a series of experiments were conducted in prostate cancer cell lines and prostate cancer mice.

First, the anti-proliferative effects of the inhibitors were examined in prostate cancer cells. The growth inhibition potencies of K280 and K280S on RWPE-1 and PC-3 cells were compared, along with colchicine (Appendix B Figure S3.5a). Colchicine showed a strong toxicity to both non-cancer and cancer cells after 72-hr treatment, while K280S and K280 demonstrated a selectivity for PC-3 cells (Appendix B Figure S3.5a). The PRMT5 inactive analog, K280-2H, did not induce cytotoxicity in any cell line (Appendix B Figure S3.5a), which suggested minimal side effects were caused by this type of structures. The PRMT5-specific inhibitor EPZ015666 only exhibited cytotoxicity after a longer exposure time up to 14 days with a GI50 of ~500 nM (Appendix B Figure S3.5b). Further comparison of GI50 values from 24 to
72 hr showed that the effect of K280 derivatives on PC-3 cell growth was escalated in the later time points (Appendix B Table S3.1). To further profile the PRMT5 inhibitory activity of K280 and K280S, PRMT5 knockdown PC-3 cells (PRMT5 KD cells) was generated using PRMT5-targeting shRNA (Appendix B Figure S3.3c). With PRMT5 KD cells as a positive control, a reverse correlation of K280S concentration and global SDMA level was observed, while the ADMA level was not affected (Appendix B Figure S3.4b). Additionally, inhibition of PRMT5 resulted in a decrease in SDMA formation for histone H4R3, which is one of the major PRMT5 target sites in histones (Appendix B Figure S3.4b). Similar results were obtained for compound K280 (Appendix B Figure S3.3d). The above results indicated that K280 and its derivatives could target both PRMT5 enzymatic activity in prostate cancer cells, and the anti-proliferative selectivity of K280 and K280S for prostate cancer cells with PRMT5 overexpression suggested that PRMT5 might contribute to prostate cancer cell growth.

Second, because K280 and K280S were reported as tubulin binder that can inhibit microtubule formation, their inhibitory activity on tubulin polymerization was assessed by observing the changes of tubulin structure in cells treated with K280 or K280S (Appendix B Figure S3.3d and Figure S3.4c). Exposure of cells to 25 nM of K280S resulted in microtubule fibers degradation. In contrast, microtubule fibers remained relatively intact in PRMT5 KD cells. The above results indicated that K280 and K280S could inhibit tubulin polymerization in prostate cancer cells, however, PRMT5 enzymatic activity might not directly associate to the microtubule structure change.

Third, the therapeutic potential of K280S was assessed by its ability to inhibit cancer cell migration, cell adhesion and spheroid formation. Decreased migration was observed in the transwell assay of both K280S treated PC-3 cells and shRNA-mediated PRMT5 KD cells (Appendix
Similar results were observed in cell adhesion assay (Appendix B Figure S3.6b). Further, we assessed spheroid formation of both 10 nM K280S-treated cells and shRNA-mediated PRMT5 KD cells, and showed that they reduced spheroids growth as compared with control cells (Appendix B Figure S3.6c). We correlated this to cell cycle using flow cytometry, and demonstrated that both K280S and PRMT5 KD induced a G2-M cell cycle arrest (Appendix B Figure S3.6d). Assessment of apoptotic markers (Bcl2 and Bax) showed that K280S did not alter their expression at a concentration of 25 nM, although changes were noticed at higher concentration (125 nM) (Appendix B Figure S3.6e).

To further verify the therapeutic potential of K280S in vivo, the ability of K280S to inhibit PRMT5 and tumor growth was examined using the xenograft C57BL/6 mice model induced with TRAMP-C2 cells. Prior to conducting the animal study, we confirmed that PRMT5 expression was increased in TRAMP-C2 cells, as compared with host mouse tissues (Appendix B Figure S3.8a). We also confirmed the in vitro efficacy of K280S against TRAMP-C2 cells with GI50 of 35 nM, while no reduction in cell growth was detected with K280-2H with a concentration up to 1 µM (Appendix B Figure S3.8b). Initial K280S dosing studies resulted in the identification of doses ranging from 0.25 to 0.5 mg/kg. Doses higher than these tended to induce toxicity as indicated by reduced weight gain and morbidity when delivered via i.p. injection every 4 days. Increased tolerance was observed when a variable dose regimen was used, especially at the higher dose of 0.5 mg/kg. This resulted in the use of 3 dose regimens (0.25 mg/kg, 0.35 mg/kg and 0.5-0.25 mg/kg) (Appendix B Figure S3.7a and Figure S3.8c). Compared with the vehicle group, the dosing of 0.35 mg/kg and 0.5-0.25 mg/kg K280S markedly lowered tumor volume (Appendix B Figure S3.7c) and tumor weight (Appendix B Figure S3.7d) with no significant effect on body weight (Appendix B Figure S3.7b). Further,
western blot analysis of tumor samples showed dramatic reductions in total SDMA and H4R3 SDMA levels, as compared with vehicle control (Appendix B Figure S3.7e), which demonstrated that K280S had similar effect on PRMT5 inhibition and anti-cancer efficacy in vivo and in vitro.

3.8 Conclusions

Together, the work in this chapter demonstrated that compound K280 and K280S featuring the 1,2-dihydropyrido[3,4-b]pyrazine scaffold are potent PRMT5 inhibitors in vitro and in vivo. The biochemical potency of K280 is 371 nM for PRMT5:MEP50, while a more stable compound K280S is 818 nM. Enzyme kinetics analysis of K280 showed a mixed-type inhibition pattern, which uncompetitive feature to both substrate and cofactor. The proposed binding pose of K280 also supported this mode of inhibition. The SAR and docking analysis results suggested a few important interactions formed by the amine hydrogens and carbonyl group of K280 to the receptor catalytic pocket residues. K280 and K280S showed strong anticancer efficacy selective to the prostate cancer cells, and K280S reduced tumor growth in prostate cancer mice.

These compounds possess interesting profile targeting both PRMT5 and tubulin in cancer treatment. The previous pre-clinical studies of its representative compound K280S (CI-980) had showed significant activity against a broad spectrum of tumors including multidrug resistance tumors, and favored a prolonged treatment. Interestingly, cellular studies of K280S showed a discrepancy with its ability to inhibit microtubule formation, which led to the suspicion that K280S had “multiple and independent mechanisms of action”. Our data demonstrated that one of these mechanisms might be PRMT5 inhibition. In previous clinical studies, K280S was generally well tolerated. The main obstacle for its advance was the limited efficacy, and the reason is not clear yet. Based on our data and the prior study that PRMT5 inhibition may favor a
long-term treatment\textsuperscript{235}, we think drug schedule could be one possible reason. In Phase II clinical trials, CI-980 was delivered as a 72-hour continuous intravenous infusion every three weeks. Under this circumstance, it is likely that the PRMT5 inhibitory activity was not fully executed due to the rapid clearance of this compound. Therefore, alternate schedule or formulation favoring sustained long-term delivery would be more beneficial for tumors with PRMT5 overexpression. Another reason could be the misregulation of PRMT5 is not the same in different cancers, meaning some cancer types may not be sensitive to PRMT5 inhibition. Regardless, this combinatory inhibition of PRMT5 and tubulin is unique and warrants further study. Although the interaction and coordination of these two targets are not clear at this moment, both targets are intensively involved in carcinogenesis in their own respective. Further tailoring the potency of K280S for these two targets may enhance optimal synergistic benefits while minimizing the side effects of drug.
CHAPTER 4

DETECTION OF PRMT INHIBITORS WITH STOPPED FLOW FLUORESCENCE

This work was submitted to Signal Transduct. Target Ther. as: Qian, K., Hu, H., Xu, H., Zheng, Y.G. (2017) Detection of PRMT1 Inhibitors with Stopped Flow Fluorescence.

4.1 Introduction

Protein arginine methylation as one of the universal posttranslational modifications (PTMs) plays substantial biological roles in eukaryotic organisms, which is mediated by the family of protein N-arginine methyltransferases (PRMTs)\textsuperscript{14}. Thus far, nine PRMT members are found in mammalian cells\textsuperscript{29}, which are classified into three major types: type I, type II and type III PRMTs. Type I enzymes (PRMT1, -2, -3, -4, -6, and -8) convert arginine residue to monomethyl arginine (MMA) and further to asymmetric dimethyl arginine (ADMA); type II enzymes (PRMT5 and PRMT9) produce MMA and symmetric dimethyl arginine (SDMA); PRMT7 is the only type III enzyme that generates MMA. The global arginine levels in the mouse embryo fibroblast (MEF) cells are found to be 1500:3:2:1 for Arg:ADMA:MMA:SDMA, while PRMT1 is the major type I enzyme that accounts for 50\% of ADMA formation\textsuperscript{2, 13}. During PRMT catalysis, one or two hydrogen atom(s) on the $\omega$-N$^G$ of arginine substrate is (are) replaced by the methyl group from S-adenosylmethionine (SAM or AdoMet), generating methylated arginine and leaving S-adenosyl homocysteine (SAH or AdoHcy) as the side product\textsuperscript{29}. PRMTs methylate numerous protein substrates in nucleus, cytoplasm, and membranes\textsuperscript{14}. Studies reveal the diverse function of PRMTs in signal transduction, transcriptional coactivation, RNA splicing and DNA repair, while its many other roles remain unclear\textsuperscript{36}. Moreover, misregulation or aberrant expression of PRMTs are
associated with various pathological conditions. For example, PRMT1 was found overexpressed or aberrant in breast, prostate, lung, colon, and bladder cancers, and leukemia. It is also upregulated in pulmonary diseases such as pulmonary fibrosis, pulmonary hypertension, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and asthma. Further, PRMT1 plays regulatory roles in cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and renal diseases. Therefore, development of PRMT inhibitors has become an emerging and imperative task for their potential use as novel therapeutic agents to treat diseases and as tools to investigate biological functions of PRMTs.

In the past decade, both academic and industrial laboratories have invested numerous efforts to discover and develop PRMT inhibitors possessing adequate potency and isoform selectivity. Discovery of PRMT inhibitors relies on efficient and effective biochemical assays for PRMT activity measurement and inhibitor characterization. Radiometric assays are the gold standards for biochemical methyltransferase activity measurement of PRMTs due to their high sensitivity and reliability. In this type of assay, the radioisotope-labeled methyl group from cofactor ([{}^{3}\text{H}]\text{-SAM or } [{}^{14}\text{C}]\text{-SAM}) is transferred to a peptide or protein substrate during the enzymatic reaction. Then the products are separated from unreacted SAM and quantified by autoradiography or liquid scintillation counting. The scintillation proximity assay (SPA) is able to achieve mix-and-measure procedure without product separation, in which the signal is induced through micrometer proximity of the biotinylated substrates with \(^{3}\text{H}\) labeled methyl group to the streptavidin-coated scintillants, while the excessive SAM molecules in solution are too far away to produce signals. This format can be applied to high-throughput library compound screening. However, one obvious drawback of this type of assays is the involvement of radioactive reagents, which requires strict environmental safety regulation. Another type of assays is antibody-based, represented by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.
(ELISA), in which a methylarginine-specific antibody is used to recognize products of the reaction and a secondary antibody is used as probe for signal detection. A few enzymatically-coupled assays have also been developed to detect generation of the side product SAH for PRMT activity measurement, which often convert SAH into derivatives bearing colorimetric, fluorescent, or luminescent properties for the measurement. These assays are nonradioactive and sensitive, however, introducing additional components in the assay potentially complicate the results. Especially for inhibitor screening, the coupling components could possibly interact with the inhibitors and lead to false positives. Furthermore, due to the limitation of detection methods, all of the above assays require quenching the reaction at certain time points and convert products into other chemical species for signal generation, therefore difficult to monitor the reaction progression in situ. In this article, we have developed a stopped flow fluorometric platform to detect and characterize PRMT1 inhibitors, which possesses advantages of being homogeneous and nonradioactive, and can be implemented through simply mix-and-measure procedure. To our knowledge, this is the first continuous assay for PRMT reaction detection and inhibitor characterization.

4.2 Materials and Methods

4.2.1 Protein expression

Recombinant His-tagged rat PRMT1 was expressed in E. coli and purified with Ni-charged His6x-tag binding resin as reported previously. In brief, the mouse PRMT1-pET28b plasmid was transformed into BL21(DE3) (Stratagene) by heat shock method. Transformed bacteria were incubated in LB media at 37°C for growth and then at 16°C for protein expression with 0.3 mM IPTG induction. Cells were harvested by centrifuge and lysed using a microfluidics cell disrupter. The supernatant containing PRMT1 protein was loaded onto the Ni-charged His6x-tag binding
resin (Novagen) in equilibrium buffer (25 mM Na-HEPES, pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM PMSF, and 30 mM imidazole). Beads were washed thoroughly by washing buffer (25 mM Na-HEPES, pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM PMSF, and 70 mM imidazole), and protein was eluted with elution buffer (25 mM Na-HEPES, pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM PMSF, 100 mM EDTA, and 200 mM imidazole). Protein purity was checked using a 12% SDS-PAGE, and concentrations were determined by the Bradford assay.  

4.2.2 Peptide synthesis  

In all the stopped flow fluorescence assays, H4FL peptides (N-terminal 20 amino acids of histone H4, fluorescein labeled on Lys-10 substituting for Leu-10) were used as probes. H4FL was synthesized using Fmoc-based solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) protocol on a PS3 peptide synthesizer (Protein Technology, Tucson, AZ) as described previously. Each amino acid was coupled to the solid phase with 4 equiv of amino acid with HCTU [O-(1H-6-chlorobenzotriazole-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate] (Novabiochem, Darmstadt, Germany). The Fmoc group was deprotected with 20% v/v piperidine/DMF, and the N-terminal amino acid was acetylated with acetic anhydride. The peptide was cleaved from the Wang resin by a cleavage solution consisting of 95% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), 2.5% H₂O, and 2.5% triisopropylsilane. It was then precipitated in cold ether and pelleted by centrifugation. Crude peptides were collected and purified using a Varian Prostar instrument equipped with a C18 reversed-phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) column, where 0.05% TFA containing water and 0.05% TFA-containing acetonitrile were two mobile phases used in gradient purification. The identity of peptides were confirmed by MALDI-MS. Concentrations of the peptides were calibrated according to the absorption of fluorescein at 492 nm.
4.2.3 Stopped-flow fluorescence assay

In a stopped flow fluorescence assay, binding of H4FL to PRMT1 (or PRMT1–cofactor complex) quenches the peptide fluorescence, while release of the peptide restores the fluorescence. The fluorescence signal change was detected at room temperature on an Applied Photophysics Ltd (UK) stopped flow equipment using an excitation wavelength of 495 nm and a long pass emission filter centered at 510 nm. The widths of the entrance and exit slits of the monochromator were set to 0.5 mm. An equal volume of samples from two syringes was driven into the observation cell for mixing measurement. The H4FL concentration of all experiments were 0.4 μM. Typically, the enzyme PRMT1 was pre-mixed with H4FL and loaded into one syringe, while the mixture of SAM and H4FL with or without the inhibitor was loaded into the other syringe. For inhibition assay measurements, the enzyme and H4FL solution were mixed with the SAM, H4FL and inhibitor solution at the following final concentrations: 0.2 μM PRMT1, 0.4 μM of H4FL, 3.5 μM of SAM, with increasing concentration of different inhibitors. The fluorescent signal was recorded up to 900 seconds, with a total data points of 10000. Data of four to six drives were collected and averaged for each curve.

After averaging the shot data, the association time courses were fitted to a double-exponential function (equation 2) using GraphPad Prism. The methylation time course exhibited two distinct kinetic phases. F is the fluorescence intensity at time t, $k_1$ and $k_2$ are the rate constants for Phase I and Phase II, $a$ is the amplitude of the fluorescence change for $k_1$, and $b$ is the amplitude of the fluorescence change for $k_2$. Simulation curves based on the values of $a$, $b$, $k_1$ and $k_2$ at fixed $c = 1$ were produced by Matlab. The IC$_{50}$ value of inhibitors is determined by equation 1 using GraphPad Prism. The equation 1 and 2 are shown below:

Relative Activity = $\frac{1}{1 + (\frac{[\text{Inhibitor}]}{\text{IC}_{50}})}$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Simulation curves based on the values of $a$, $b$, $k_1$ and $k_2$ at fixed $c = 1$ were produced by Matlab.
\[ F = a \cdot \exp(-k_1 \cdot t) + b \cdot \exp(-k_2 \cdot t) + c \]  

(2)

4.2.4 Radiometric filter-binding assay

Peptide substrate, inhibitor and \[^3\text{H}\]-SAM were preincubated in the reaction buffer for 2 min prior to initiation of the methyl transfer reaction by adding the enzyme (30 µL total volume) at room temperature. The final concentrations of PRMT1, \[^3\text{H}\]-SAM, and H4 peptide are 0.02, 0.5, and 1 µM, respectively. The reaction buffer contains 50 mM HEPES (pH 8.0), 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 0.5 mM DTT. The reaction was quenched by 30 µL of isopropanol, followed by spotting the reaction mixture on separate squares of P81 Ion Exchange Cellulose Chromatography Paper (Reaction Biology Corp, item number: IEP-01). Then the paper was air dried for 30 min before washed with 50 mM NaHCO₃, pH 9 for 3 times. Then the paper squares were dried in air overnight before transferred into 3.5 mL vials full of scintillation oil, and the amount of methylation was quantified by scanning the vials on a scintillation counter (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA). The background control only contained \[^3\text{H}\]-SAM and the substrate. Reaction sample readouts subtracted by the background were normalized with the reaction without inhibitor and fitted by equation 1 to obtain IC\(_{50}\) values. The reported value was calculated based on the average of two experiments and shown with standard deviations.

4.3 Fluorescent Changes of Fluorescein-Labeled Histone H4 Peptide during PRMT1 Catalysis

PRMT1 is the major type I enzyme responsible for asymmetric arginine dimethylation. PRMT1 transfers the methyl group from SAM to a guanidine nitrogen of arginine to form MMA, which can be further methylated into ADMA (Figure 4.1A). Stopped-flow is a powerful technique to study transient kinetics of enzymes. Recently, by detecting intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence changes of PRMT1 together with global fitting analysis, we elucidated the major
kinetic steps of PRMT1 catalysis with resolved rate constants \( k_{on} \) and \( k_{off} \) for individual steps, which provided important mechanistic insights of how PRMTs interact with their substrates and catalyze the methyl transfer reaction\(^{21}\). In addition, we designed and synthesized fluorescein-labeled substrate peptides as fluorescent reporters to probe arginine methylation reaction\(^{16}\). One such probe is a fluorescein-labeled 20-residue histone H4 N-terminus tail peptide: acetyl-SGRGKGGKGK(FL)GKGGAKRHRK (abbreviated as H4FL), which the methylation site resides on arginine-3 and fluorescein group is attached to the side chain of residue lysine-10 (Figure 4.1B). The kinetic parameters\(^{17}\) of the fluorescent peptide are comparable to the natural substrate, the 20 residue H4 N-terminus tail peptide (H4-20: SGRGKGGKGKGGAKRHRK). The apparent \( K_m \) and \( k_{cat} \) values for H4-20 are 0.64 ± 0.04 µM and 0.81 ± 0.01 min\(^{-1}\), while the \( K_m \) and \( k_{cat} \) values for H4FL are 0.50 ± 0.05 µM and 0.43 ± 0.01 min\(^{-1}\). In the previous study\(^{17}\), we observed a biphasic progression curve of PRMT1-catalyzed methylation of H4FL under the condition that the cofactor SAM is saturating the enzyme ([PRMT1] = 2 µM, [SAM] = 100 µM, [H4FL] = 0.4 µM). In this study, we measured the stopped flow fluorescence curve of PRMT1 catalysis under a different condition ([PRMT1] = 0.2 µM, [SAM] = 3.5 µM, [H4FL] = 0.4 µM) and the same pattern of progression curves was observed, in which there was a decay phase (Phase I) until a minimum (the lowest point) was reached, followed by an increasing phase (Phase II) until it approached to a plateau, as illustrated in Figure 4.2.
Figure 4.1 Arginine methylation by PRMT1. A. PRMT1-mediated methylation reaction. B. Symmetric dimethylation of the fluorescent peptide H4FL.
Figure 4.2 Time course of PRMT1 methylation (1 s to 900 s). The raw data (total of 10,000 points) are shown in blue dots. The curve fitted by equation 2 is shown in solid black line, which is an average of 4 or 5 replicates. An amplified view of 1 s - 100 s is shown on the side. The concentrations of the enzyme, cofactor and substrate are as following: [PRMT1] = 0.2 µM, [SAM] = 3.5 µM, [H4FL] = 0.4 µM.
A critical question is regarding the mechanism underneath the biphasic phenomenon of the progression curve of H4FL methylation (i.e. the fluorescence first decreased and then went up). During the progression of methylation reaction, the substrate peptide H4FL, monomethylated peptide H4FLme₁ (acetyl-SGRmeGKGGK(FL)GKGGAKRHK), asymmetric dimethylated peptide H4FLme₂ (acetyl -SGRme₂GKGGK(FL)GKGGAKRHK) and many intermediate species of binary or ternary complexes were involved. Based on our established PRMT1 kinetic model, we used KinTek Explorer 5.2 to simulate the concentration changes of the relevant species during the methylation time course under the condition of [PRMT1] = 0.2 µM, [SAM] = 3.5 µM and [H4FL] = 0.4 µM (Figure S4.1A). In the very beginning of the reaction, substrate H4FL quickly forms enzyme-H4FL complex (E•H4) and enzyme-cofactor-H4FL complex (E•SAM•H4), and undergoes a conformational change (F•SAM•H4), which overall reflected as a sharp decrease in total free H4FL concentration. Later, the F•SAM•H4 ternary complex turns into mono-methylated product (H4FLme₁), and the concentration of free H4FLme₁ increases while the concentration of free H4FL decreases. H4FLme₁ can form binary or ternary complexes with the enzyme and the cofactor during the process. The mono-methylated peptide is further converted into the dimethylated product, H4FLme₂. As the reaction moves forward, substrate H4FL and H4FLme₁ concentrations continues to decrease while the H4FLme₂ concentration increases. The concentrations of all the other binary or ternary intermediates also decreases, except for E•H4me₂, E•SAM•H4me₂ and F•SAM•H4me₂. Overall, the expected conversion of the substrate H4FL to the intermediate H4FLme₁ and to the product H4FLme₂ is observed.

The key to deconvolve such biphasic fluorescent signal changes is the relative fluorescence intensity values of the free peptides and the related complexes. In the binary binding assay of the fluorescein-labeled peptides (H4FL, H4FLme₁ and H4FLme₂) and PRMT1, we noticed that the
fluorescent signal decreased over time\textsuperscript{17}. The observed overall fluorescence intensity can be defined as \( f_p \cdot [\text{H4FL}] + f_c \cdot [E \cdot \text{H4FL}] \), in which the fluorescence factor is \( f_p, \mu M^{-1} \) for the free peptide and \( f_c, \mu M^{-1} \) for the complex. In the stopped flow assays, we mixed excess amounts of PRMT1 in three concentrations (2, 4 or 6 \( \mu M \)) with H4FL, H4FLme\textsubscript{1} and H4FLme\textsubscript{2} peptides at 0.4 \( \mu M \). The raw data in Figure S4.2, Figure S4.3 and Figure S4.4 were analyzed by global fitting function of KinTek explorer 5.2 software based on the reported \( k_{on} \) and \( k_{off} \) values\textsuperscript{17} of each fluorescent peptide. For H4FL, the values of \( f_p \) and \( f_c \) are 6.4 \( \mu M^{-1} \) and 5.6 \( \mu M^{-1} \); for H4FLme\textsubscript{1}, the values of \( f_p \) and \( f_c \) are 5.4 \( \mu M^{-1} \) and 3.8 \( \mu M^{-1} \); for H4FLme\textsubscript{2}, the values of \( f_p \) and \( f_c \) are 5.9 \( \mu M^{-1} \) and 3.9 \( \mu M^{-1} \). Not surprisingly, \( f_p \) is always larger than \( f_c \). The \( f_p \) values of the three fluorescent peptides are similar, possibly because the small size of the methyl group and the long distance between the methylation site arginine-3 and the fluorescein on lysine-10 have minimized the effect of methylation on the fluorescence. Upon binding with PRMT1, the fluorescein group on the peptide substrate is likely exposed to a different physicochemical environment, which results in reduced fluorescence from the complexes.

Based on the above observations and analysis, we propose that the two phases of the H4FL methylation time course is an overall result of the concentration changes of the species involved in the methylation process and their differences in fluorescence intensity. The fluorescence intensity of the free peptides are relatively higher than their corresponding complexes; consequently, when there are more free peptides in the mixture, the overall fluorescence intensity is higher. Similarly, if there are less free peptides, the overall fluorescence intensity will be lower. Therefore, upon mixing the reaction components, H4FL first forms binary or ternary complexes with E and SAM while the amount of the free H4FLme\textsubscript{1} or H4FLme\textsubscript{2} is very small, which leads to a decreased total concentration of free peptides reflected as overall decreasing curve in Phase I.
In the later stage, as more substrates have been reacted, the methylated products are formed and released from the enzyme due to its intrinsic low affinity\(^{21}\), and the total concentration of the free peptides increases, which is reflected as the increasing curve of Phase II. As a result, the methylation process of the fluorescein-labeled substrate is represented by the overall fluorescence intensity change, and Phase I is likely related to peptide substrate binding while Phase II is likely related to peptide product formation.

4.4 Use of the Stopped Flow Fluorescence Assay for PRMT1 Inhibition Measurement

Compared to non-continuous methods, stopped flow spectroscopic measurement can rapidly mix two components within a few milliseconds. This technology allows to measure the fluorescent signals at any stage of the reaction and to continuously monitor the entire process. The total progression time curve can provide mechanistic and quantitative information of how an enzyme regulator, such as a small molecule inhibitor, affects the enzymatic reaction. In the stopped flow fluorescence assay, we chose the balanced condition ([PRMT1] = 0.2 μM, [H4FL] = 0.4 μM and [SAM] = 3.5 μM), which the concentration of substrate H4FL and cofactor SAM is close to their Km values (Km of H4FL is 0.50 ± 0.05 μM, Km of SAM on H4FL is 3.1 ± 0.46 μM\(^{17,24}\), in order to indiscriminately characterize competitive, uncompetitive and noncompetitive PRMT1 inhibitors. Again, the methylation time course of H4FL peptide showed a biphasic pattern: the fluorescence first decreased until the minimum (at 40 seconds), then raised up to a plateau (Figure 4.2). This biphasic time course was analyzed using a double exponential equation (equation 2), which resulted in five parameters: \(a = 0.06974 \pm 1.90E-04\), \(k_1 = 0.06161 \pm 3.34E-04\) s\(^{-1}\), \(b = -0.1115 \pm 9.25E-05\), \(k_2 = 0.004804 \pm 1.15E-05\) s\(^{-1}\) and \(c = 2.501 \pm 1.03E-04\) (Table S4.1A). The first part of the equation \(F1 = a \cdot exp(-k_1 \cdot t)\) is dominant in Phase I (the decay phase), the amplitude parameter \(a\) and the rate constant \(k_1\) (s\(^{-1}\)) together describe the decreasing trend of the
curve. The second part of the equation $F_2 = b \cdot \exp(-k_2 \cdot t)$ is dominant in Phase II (the increasing phase), while the amplitude parameter $b$ and rate constant $k_2 \text{ (s}^{-1})$ together describe the increasing trend of the curve. The plateau of the curve represents the endpoint when no more methylated product is generated. The parameter $c$ is the fluorescence intensity of the endpoint. The value of $c$ can be normalized to any number without affecting the fluorescence amplitude values ($a$ and $b$) and the rate constant values ($k_1$, $k_2$).

To obtain the IC$_{50}$ of a specific inhibitor, the relative activities of the enzyme in presence of different concentrations of the inhibitor are required (equation 1)$^{11}$. In a typical non-continuous assay (e.g. radiometric filter binding assay), we need to determine a time course under the desired experimental condition for optimal reaction time. The chosen reaction time should stay within the initial condition to ensure the relationship between the readouts, i.e., counts per minute (CPM), and the time ($t$) is linear. Within this period, the concentration of the product has minimal influence on the rate of the reaction, and the reaction time course can be described as $y = K \cdot t$, where $K$ is the rate of the reaction (e.g. $\mu$M $\cdot$ s$^{-1}$) as well as the slope value of the linear curve. By adding different concentrations of the inhibitor to the reaction mixture, the relative activity of the enzyme can be obtained by normalizing $K$ with the reaction rate without the inhibitor, and calculate the IC$_{50}$ value using equation 1. In our stopped flow fluorescence assay, the reaction rate of Phase I and Phase II can be obtained from approximation and derivation of the double exponential equation (equation 2). In Phase I, during a very short period of time ($t$ is small), the curve is nearly linear and the exponential equation can be approximately described as $F_1 = a \cdot (-k_1) \cdot t$. Therefore, the derivation of the function $F_1$ equals to $-k_1 \cdot a$, which is the slope value of Phase I. Similarly, the curve of Phase II at early stage can be approximately described as $F_2 = b \cdot (-k_2) \cdot t$. The derivation of this function $F_2$ equals to $-k_2 \cdot b$, which is the slope value of Phase II. The slope
values at various inhibitor concentrations are normalized to the slope value without the inhibitor present to obtain the corresponding relative enzyme activity, which can be used to calculate the potency value (IC$_{50}$) of the inhibitor using equation 1.

### 4.5 Effect of Enzyme Concentrations on the Stopped Flow Time Courses

Firstly, to observe the effects of enzyme on the stopped flow fluorescence response, we measured the time courses at different concentrations of PRMT1 from 0.05 µM to 0.4 µM. The obtained progression curves are shown in Figure 4.3A and Figure 4.3B, which were fitted by equation 2. The calculated $a$, $b$, $k_1$, $k_2$ values are summarized in Table S4.1A. Since the concentration of the substrate H4FL was fixed for all the reactions, we can arbitrarily normalize the plateau fluorescence intensity value $c$ to 1 (Figure 4.3B). When the enzyme concentration increased, the minima shifted from 65 s to 24 s and the shape of the curve near the minimum became sharper (Figure 4.3B), which indicated that the rates of both Phase I and Phase II increased when more PRMT1 was present in the reaction mixture. Indeed, the values of $a$, $k_1$, $|b|$ and $k_2$ increased with increasing concentration of PRMT1: $a$ increased from 0.01079 to 0.09437, $k_1$ increased from 0.04187 to 0.09452 s$^{-1}$, $|b|$ increased from 0.01117 to 0.156 and $k_2$ increased from 0.00287 to 0.006924 (Table S4.1A). The calculated slope values of Phase I increased from 4.52E-04 to 8.92E-03 s$^{-1}$, and the slope values of Phase II increased from 4.52E-04 to 8.92E-03 s$^{-1}$ (Table S4.1B). When we plotted the $a\cdot k_1$ or $-b\cdot k_2$ values with respect to the PRMT1 concentrations, a linear relationship was observed (Figure 4.3C and 4.3D). This result indicated that the initial rates, reflected by the slope values, of Phase I and Phase II was linearly proportional to the concentration of PRMT1 under the assay condition.
Figure 4.3. Time course of PRMT1 methylation by varying the enzyme concentrations. A. The curves are fit with equation 2 to generate values in Table S4.1A. Each fitting curve used 10,000 data points, but only 50 data points are shown. Each curve is an average of 4 to 6 replicates. B is the simulation results from values in Table S4.1A at fixed $c = 1$. C and D represent the relationship of slope values ($a \cdot k_1$ and $b \cdot k_2$) with varying concentrations of PRMT1 (values listed in Table S4.1B). The linear fitting curves are shown in solid black line. The concentrations of the cofactor and the substrate are fixed at [SAM] = 3.5 µM, [H4FL] = 0.4 µM in these experiments.
4.6 Effect of Cofactor SAM Concentrations on the Stopped Flow Time Courses

After we measured the effects of enzyme on the reaction, we measured the stopped flow fluorescence responses at varied SAM concentrations (1.5 μM, 3.5 μM, 7.5 μM and 15 μM). The obtained progression curves were shown in Figure 4.4A and Figure 4.4B, and the calculated $a$, $b$, $k_1$, $k_2$ values were summarized in Table S4.2A. For clarity, again we normalized the plateau fluorescence intensity value $c$ to 1 (Figure 4.4B). The time reaching the minimum was shortened as the SAM concentration increased (the minima were shifting to the left). It was also clear that when the concentration of SAM went higher, the slopes of the curve near the minimum became sharper. The values of all the parameters, $a$, $k_1$, $|b|$ and $k_2$, increased with increasing concentration of SAM: $a$ increased from 0.0482 to 0.1992, $k_1$ increased from 0.04402 to 0.2476 s$^{-1}$, $|b|$ increased from 0.07363 to 0.2664 and $k_2$ increased from 0.002713 to 0.01175 (Table S4.2A). We plotted the values of $a \cdot k_1$ or $-b \cdot k_2$ against the SAM concentrations (Figure 4.4C and Figure 4.4D). The slope values of Phase I increased from 2.12E-03 to 4.93E-02 s$^{-1}$ and the slope values of Phase II increased from 2.00E-04 to 3.13E-03 s$^{-1}$ (Table S4.2B). The above results indicated that the slope values of Phase I or Phase II and the concentrations of SAM are in a linear relationship.
Figure 4.4. Time course of PRMT1 methylation by varying the cofactor concentrations. A. The curves are fit with equation 2 to generate values in Table S4.2A. Each fitting curve used 10,000 data points, but only 50 data points are shown. Each curve is an average of 4 to 6 replicates. B is the simulation results from values in Table S4.2A at fixed c = 1. C and D represent the relationship of slope values (a·k₁ and b·k₂) with varying concentrations of SAM (values listed in Table S4.2B). The linear fitting curves are shown in solid black line. The concentrations of the enzyme and the substrate are fixed at [PRMT1] = 0.4 µM, [H4FL] = 0.4 µM in these experiments.
4.7 Detection of PRMT1 Inhibition by cofactor-Competitive Inhibitors SAH and Sinefungin

With the assay condition defined ([PRMT1] = 0.2 μM, [H4FL] = 0.4 μM and [SAM] = 3.5 μM), we examined changes of the stopped flow fluorescence time course in response to different PRMT1 inhibitors. First we tested the inhibition of the SAM analog, SAH (Figure 4.5A), on the methylation time course. With a series of concentrations of SAH added to the mixture, the obtained progression curves showed the reaction was inhibited by SAH in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 4.5B). When the concentration of SAH was at 0.1 μM, there was very little difference compared to the control group without SAH presence. When the concentration of SAH was up to 10 μM, the increasing trend of Phase II was almost abolished. Higher concentrations of SAH resulted in steeper decreasing curves in Phase I and milder increasing curves in Phase II (Figure 4.5B). The changes of the parameters, \( a, k_1, |b|, \) and \( k_2 \) did not follow a simple rule with SAH concentrations (Table S4.3A). The relationship between the slope values \( a \cdot k_1 \) and \(-b \cdot k_2\) with respect to the SAH concentrations is shown in Figure 4.5C and Figure 4.5D, and the corresponding values are listed in Table S4.3B. Interestingly, the \( a \cdot k_1 \) values of Phase I were boosted when the inhibitor concentrations were lower than SAM (from 0.1 μM to 2.5 μM); and when the inhibitor concentrations were higher than SAM, the \( a \cdot k_1 \) values started to decrease (Figure 4.5C and Table S4.3B). The initial slope values of Phase II, \(-b \cdot k_2\), decreased with more SAH in the reaction mixture (Figure 4.5D), which indicated a dose-dependent inhibition manner. We used the dose response of \(-b \cdot k_2\) to determine the IC\(_{50}\) of SAH to be 0.66 ± 0.07 μM, which falls into the range of the IC\(_{50}\) values reported in the literature\(^9\).

We performed similar stopped flow study of PRMT1 inhibition by sinefungin (Figure S4.5), another SAM analog and a universal methyltransferase inhibitor\(^{30,37}\). The obtained reaction
curves showed that sinefungin inhibited PRMT1 activity in a dose-dependent manner (Figure S4.5) very similar to the SAH inhibition (Figure 4.5). The relationship between $a \cdot k_1$ or $-b \cdot k_2$ and the sinefungin concentration is shown in Figure S4.5C and S4.5D. The values of all parameters are listed in Table S4.4. Similar to SAH inhibition, the $a \cdot k_1$ values of Phase I increased with higher concentration of sinefungin up to 3 µM, followed by a slight decrease (Figure S4.5C). The value $-b \cdot k_2$ decreased with more inhibitor present, and the IC$_{50}$ of sinefungin was calculated to be 0.12 ± 0.08 µM, close to previously reported data$^{9,22,31}$. 
Figure 4.5. Stopped flow fluorescence assay of the cofactor-competitive inhibitor, SAH. A. Structure of SAH. In B, the curves are fit with equation 2 to generate values in Table S4.3A. Each curve used 10,000 data points, but only 50 data points are shown. Each curve is an average of 4 to 6 replicates. C and D represent the relationship of \( a \cdot k_1 \) or \( b \cdot k_2 \) with inhibitor concentrations (values listed in Table S4.3B). In D, the IC\(_{50}\) is calculated using equation 1. The reaction condition used for all the experiments is [PRMT1] = 0.2 \( \mu \)M, [SAM] = 3.5 \( \mu \)M, [H4FL] = 0.4 \( \mu \)M, with varying concentrations of SAH.
4.8 Detection of PRMT1 Inhibition by Substrate-Competitive Inhibitor, H4R3me2a

Next, we investigated the peptide-based product inhibitor, the asymmetrically dimethylated peptide, H4R3me2a (acetyl-SGRme2aGKGGKGLGKGGAKRHRKVL, Figure 4.6A). The obtained stopped flow fluorescence curves of the H4R3me2a inhibition assay are shown in Figure 4.6B. When the concentration of H4R3me2a was as low as 0.25 µM, no obvious difference was observed (Figure 4.6B). When the H4R3me2a concentration was above 0.5 µM, the shape of the curves changed significantly with increased minima and milder slopes in Phase II. Again, the changes of $a, k_1, |b|$ and $k_2$ did not follow a simple rule with respect to the inhibitor concentration (Table S4.5A). However, the slope values $a \cdot k_1$ and $-b \cdot k_2$ clearly showed a dose-dependent inhibition pattern. Different from the SAH or sinefungin assay, the Phase I was strongly inhibited by increasing concentrations of H4R3me2a (Figure 4.6C), and the $a \cdot k_1$ values were lessened from 1.10E-02 ± 5.14E-05 s$^{-1}$ to 1.79E-03 ± 1.72E-05 s$^{-1}$ (Table S4.5B). Phase II showed similar pattern to that of the SAM-competitive inhibition: $-b \cdot k_2$ values were reduced with more inhibitor present (Figure 4.6D and Table S4.5B). The IC$_{50}$ of H4R3me2a calculated from the $a \cdot k_1$ curve (Figure 4.6C) was 0.99 ± 0.12 µM, and 1.18 ± 0.17 µM from the $-b \cdot k_2$ curve (Figure 4.6D). The two IC$_{50}$ values are very comparable, and both are close to the IC$_{50}$ determined by a radiometric filter binding biochemical assay, which was 1.32 ± 0.20 µM (Figure S4.6).
Figure 4.6. Stopped flow fluorescence assay of the substrate-competitive inhibitor, H4R3Me2a. A. Illustration of H4R3Me2a. In B, the curves are fit with equation 2 to generate values in Table S4.5A. Each curve used 10,000 data points, but only 50 data points are shown. Each curve is an average of 4 to 6 replicates. C and D represent the relationship of a·k₁ or b·k₂ with inhibitor concentrations (values listed in Table S4.5B). In C and D, the IC₅₀ is calculated using equation 1. The reaction condition used for all experiments are [PRMT1] = 0.2 µM, [SAM] = 3.5 µM, [H4FL] = 0.4 µM, with varying concentrations of H4R3Me2a.
4.9 Detection of PRMT1 Inhibition by DB75

Next, we performed stopped flow characterization on two recently reported small molecule inhibitors of PRMT1. DB75 (furamidine) is a diamidine molecule with a rigid, crescent and planar scaffold (Figure 4.7A). According to our previous study\textsuperscript{35}, its IC\textsubscript{50} for PRMT1 was 9.4 ± 1.1 µM and it showed a favorable inhibition selectivity against PRMT1 over the other PRMT members: 42-fold over CARM1, 30-fold over PRMT6 and more than 15-fold over PRMT5. We tested DB75 using the stopped-flow fluorescence assay, and obtained methylation curves at a series concentrations of DB75 (Figure 4.7B). In general, as the inhibitor concentration went up, the minima of the curves leveled and the Phase I and Phase II slopes became less steep. When the concentrations of DB75 were higher than 10 µM, Phase II was almost fully inhibited. The relationship of $a\cdot k_1$ or $-b\cdot k_2$ with the inhibitor concentration is plotted in Figure 4.7C or Figure 4.7D with corresponding values listed in Table S4.6B. In this measurement, we observed that both the $a\cdot k_1$ of the first phase and $-b\cdot k_2$ of the second phase were strongly inhibited, in a pattern that was similar to the H4R3me2a inhibition, suggesting that DB75 was substrate-competitive. This conclusion is in good agreement with our previous steady-state kinetic analysis that DB75 is primarily substrate competitive\textsuperscript{35}. From the $a\cdot k_1$ curve, the IC\textsubscript{50} of DB75 was calculated to be 7.9 ± 0.2 µM; from the $-b\cdot k_2$ curve, the IC\textsubscript{50} was 9.1 ± 0.6 µM, consistent with the result from the radiometric filter binding assay, which was 9.4 ± 1.1 µM\textsuperscript{35}. 
Figure 7. Stopped flow fluorescence assay of DB75. A. Structure of DB75. In B, the curves are fit with equation 2 to generate values in Table S4.6A. Each curve used 10,000 data points, but only 50 data points are shown. Each curve is an average of 4 to 6 replicates. In C and D, the IC$_{50}$ is calculated using equation 1. The reaction condition used for all experiments are [PRMT1] = 0.2 µM, [SAM] = 3.5 µM, [H4FL] = 0.4 µM, with varying concentrations of DB75.
4.10 Detection of PRMT1 Inhibition by MS023

Lastly, we performed stopped flow florescence assay on another compound, MS023 (Figure 4.8A), a type I PRMT inhibitor recently discovered by Kaniskan et al, which showed an IC$_{50}$ of 30 nM against PRMT1$^{26}$. In this measurement, MS023 was titrated from 10 nM to 200 nM in the reaction mixture. From Figure 4.8B, we observed that the higher the concentration of MS023, the milder the slope of Phase II became. At 200 nM, Phase II was almost fully inhibited. The relationship of $a \cdot k_1$ or $-b \cdot k_2$ with the inhibitor concentration is shown in Figure 4.8C and Figure 4.8D, and values listed in Table S4.7B. For Phase II, the $-b \cdot k_2$ values decreased with more inhibitor present, and the calculated IC$_{50}$ of MS023 was 43 ± 8.9 nM (Figure 4.8D), close to what was reported (IC$_{50}$ = 30 nM)$^{26}$. Interestingly, for Phase I, the $a \cdot k_1$ values modestly decreased with the increasing MS023 concentration, and the calculated IC$_{50}$ from this curve was more than 200 nM (Figure 4.8C and Table S4.7B). This result is different from those of the substrate-competitive inhibitors (e.g. DB75, H4R3me2a) nor the cofactor-competitive inhibitors (e.g. SAH and sinefungin). The partial inhibition of MS023 on Phase I (Figure 4.8C) suggests that MS023 is possibly a mixed-type noncompetitive inhibitor, which is partially substrate-competitive. Indeed, the mechanism of action of MS023 was reported as noncompetitive with both the cofactor SAM and the substrate peptide$^{26}$; and according to the X-ray co-crystal structure of PRMT6 in complex with MS023, the inhibitor occupied the substrate arginine-binding site$^{26}$. 
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Figure 4.8. Stopped flow fluorescence assay of MS023. A. Structure of MS023. In B, the curves are fit with equation 2 to generate values in Table S4.7A. Each curve used 10,000 data points, but only 50 data points are shown. Each curve is an average of 4 to 6 replicates. In C and D, the IC_{50} is calculated using equation 1. The reaction condition used for all experiments are [PRMT1] = 0.2 µM, [SAM] = 3.5 µM, [H4FL] = 0.4 µM, with varying concentrations of MS023.
4.11 Conclusions

There is an emerging need of PRMT inhibitors for use as novel therapeutic agents to treat diseases and as tools to investigate biological functions of PRMTs. Development of PRMT inhibitors relies on robust biochemical assay to evaluate candidate inhibitors. We have designed and applied a stopped flow fluorescence platform for PRMT inhibitor characterization utilizing a fluorescein-labeled peptide as the substrate. According to our study on PRMT1 inhibitors, this assay can provide more information of an inhibitor than just potency: the relationship of the Phase I initial rates ($a_1 k_1$) with respect to inhibitor concentrations can cast light on the mode of action. A decrease in $a_1 k_1$ with increasing inhibitor concentration in a dose-dependent manner similar to the Phase II inhibition, such as the H4R3me2a and DB75 curves (Figure 4.6C and Figure 4.7C), and a consistent IC$_{50}$ values calculated from Phase I and Phase II rate inhibition curves, suggests that the inhibitor is substrate-competitive. However, a pattern similar to SAH or sinefungin, which a moderate inhibition in Phase I (Figure 4.5C and Figure S4.5C) was observed, suggests that the inhibitor is cofactor-competitive. The noncompetitive inhibitor MS023 slightly decreases the Phase I initial rates, not as evident as the substrate-competitive inhibitors, and the resulted IC$_{50}$ from Phase I rate inhibition curve is much higher than that of the Phase II, suggests that it is a mixed-type inhibitor that partially substrate-competitive. In conclusion, the stopped flow fluorescence assay is effective to characterize the potency (IC$_{50}$) of the PRMT1 inhibitors and provide mechanistic insights for the mode of action. This assay bears the advantages of being homogeneous, nonradioactive, mix-and-measure featured, and allowing for continuous measurement of methylation inhibition. This assay format can be potentially adapted to detect and characterize inhibitors for other PRMTs and histone modifying enzymes using a fluorescent peptide substrate.
CHAPTER 5
SUMMARY AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Identification and characterization of potent and isoform-selective PRMT inhibitors have become a timely demand for their applications in clinical research as drug candidates and also for their potential use as chemical tools to dissect the underlying mechanisms of PRMT-dysregulation in diseases. Both academic laboratories and pharmaceutical companies have great interest in the field of PRMT inhibitor discovery, evidenced by the numerous high-quality articles published in prestigious journals and the various reports of small molecule or peptide inhibitors for PRMTs. Despite the emerging need, many of the reported compounds have limited application due to incomplete characterization in isoform-selectivity, mechanism of action and cellular efficacy. Challenges remain in the identification of inhibitors with nanomolar or lower potencies that achieve selective inhibition of the structurally conserved PRMT members for translating to clinical research. Furthermore, the existing assays for PRMT activity measurement have certain disadvantages, such as non-continuous measurement, generation of radioactive waste, or complication of the results due to introduction of other enzymes and reagents for assay detection. Therefore, novel assay methods are needed to further promote the field of PRMT inhibitor discovery.

To address the remaining challenges, this work aimed at discovering novel chemical entities for potent and isoform-selective inhibition of PRMTs in cancers, with a focus on the identification and characterization of small molecule inhibitors for PRMT1 and PRMT5; and also to develop novel biochemical assays for PRMT inhibitor evaluation. We focused on a
compounds that have two amidine functional groups named diamidines. Because of the similarity between amidine and guanidine in arginine residue, we proposed that diamidine compounds could be potential PRMT inhibitors with a similar binding manner to that of the substrate arginine in PRMT catalytic site. We discovered furamidine as a PRMT1-selective inhibito$^{150}$ from a diamidine compound library obtained from Dr. David Boykin. Because the existing diamidine compounds lack structure variation of the linker region, we designed and synthesized a series of diamidine analogs with various linker lengths, and discovered decamidine as an improved PRMT1 inhibitor$^{224}$. On the other hand, using the lead compound furamidine as a structure template, a combined approach including virtual screening, biochemical high throughput screening and various chemical modifications were applied to build PRMT-targeting small molecules with improved inhibition characteristics. The hits from the inhibitor screening were subjected to detailed biochemical characterization, in order to profile their selectivity over a panel of protein methyltransferases and investigate their mechanism of action in isoform-selective inhibition. With proper controls in each study, their ability to inhibit PRMT enzymatic activity as well as anti-proliferative activity were validated in PRMT dysregulation-associated cancer models. As a result, compound K313 was discovered as a PRMT1-selective inhibitor, which is 10-fold more potent than the initial lead compound furamidine in enzymatic activity inhibition of PRMT1 and in growth inhibition of leukemia and melanoma cells. Compound K280S was discovered as a dual-target inhibitor for PRMT5 and microtubule, which exhibits strong synergetic anticancer efficacy in prostate cancer cells and prostate cancer mice. Additionally, we designed a novel stopped flow assay platform that can determine the inhibition potency of PRMT inhibitor and distinguish their mechanism of inhibition simultaneously. To our knowledge, this is the first continuous assay for PRMT
inhibition measurement, which also possesses advantages of being homogeneous, nonradioactive, mix-and-measure featured. This assay can serve as a counter screening and validation method for PRMT inhibitor characterization in addition to the existing detection methods.

To conclude, this work presented several small molecule inhibitors for selective inhibition of PRMT1 and PRMT5, which can be used for structure modification to achieve further improvements. These compounds have significant anti-cancer efficacy in various PRMT-dysregulation associated cancer cell lines, and studies are required to further elucidate their mode of action. Moreover, this work provides an innovative assay based on the stopped flow method to promote the field of PRMT inhibitor discovery. The results and future directions of this work are further discussed in the following aspects:

(1) The structure features of the identified small molecules provide rich information for PRMT inhibitor design.

Initially, the investigation on the diamidine compounds was started due to the reasoning that the amidine group can mimic the substrate arginine to bind to the PRMTs. The SAR analysis and docking models demonstrated that the diamidine moiety is crucial for the ligand binding, but the binding interactions are not solely dependent on mimicking the substrate arginine. For furamidine, indeed one of the amidine groups interacted with two glutamate side chains (Glu144 and Glu153, Figure 2.3), which are conserved on the “double-E-loop” among PRMTs proposed to participate in positioning the guanidine group of arginine for methyl transfer. However, decamidine and K313 did not interact with the two glutamate side chains in the arginine binding site, instead, these two inhibitors stretched into the cofactor site. The three compounds had common interactions formed between one of the amidine groups and the Glu129 side chain of
PRMT1. Glu129 in PRMT1 is also conserved in other PRMTs, which is known to form hydrogen bonds with the adenine hydroxyl groups of the cofactor. PRMT5 also has the three conserved glutamate residues in its catalytic pocket: Glu392 in the cofactor site as well as Glu435 and Glu444 in the substrate arginine site. In the proposed binding model of K280, the two glutamate residues in the catalytic pocket of PRMT5 (Glu392 and Glu435) directly participated in hydrogen bonding with the amine groups of the inhibitor (Figure 3.6); and SAR analysis on K280 derivatives also supported that these interactions are essential for their inhibitory activity on PRMT5. The above results suggested that the conserved glutamate side-chain residues in the catalytic pocket of PRMTs can be utilized for specific binding of small molecule inhibitors, and the amidine groups represent an important pharmacophore interacting with the glutamate side-chain interactions.

Another interesting finding is that furamidine and K280 had better isoform selectivity than decamidine and K313. A common feature of furamidine and K280 was that they interact closely with the residues in the substrate arginine site, whereas the interactions of decamidine and K313 were relatively closer to the cofactor site. Given that PRMTs share a structurally preserved Rossman fold for cofactor-binding but the substrate binding pocket is significantly more diverse, it is possible that inhibitors interacting with residues in the substrate site have a higher probability to be a selective inhibitor. While several reported potent and isoform-selective inhibitors were substrate competitive, our results indicated that selective inhibitors do not necessarily follow this inhibition pattern. According to the enzyme kinetics studies, furamidine and K280 both showed mixed-type inhibition, while furamidine was primarily substrate competitive and K280 was uncompetitive to either substrate or cofactor. Their mechanisms of action are closely related to the binding poses in the enzymes: docking analysis showed that
furamidine and K280 interacted with residues from both cofactor and substrate site. Our observations in this study can help the rational design and structure modification of the PRMT inhibitors.

In all, this work provided important chemical entities for further optimization to achieve potent and selective inhibition of PRMTs: diamidine compounds for PRMT1 selective inhibition and 1,2-dihydropyrido[3,4-b]pyrazine compounds for PRMT5 selective inhibition. These lead inhibitors possess important structural features for specific binding to the PRMTs. The SAR and mechanism of action studies of these inhibitors provided critical information for further structure modifications and rational drug design. One limitation of the proposed binding models is that the molecular docking was performed based on an assumption that the inhibitors bind to the PRMT catalytic cavity. However, the inhibitors may potentially bind to an unexplored region of the protein to cause an allosteric effect to reduce enzymatic activity. Besides, cofactor, substrate and water molecules are not included in docking, but they might be potentially important for inhibitor binding. Therefore, the proposed binding models will be further verified using inhibitor co-crystallization with the target protein, and/or the site mutagenesis of the interacting residues in the enzyme catalytic site.

(2) Novel pharmacological mechanisms of the identified PRMT inhibitors are important for translating the compounds to clinical research.

Diamidine compounds are well-studied drugs for antifungal, antimicrobial and antiparasitic purposes. Their potential antitumor activity have also been reported; however, their inhibitory activity for PRMTs was never shown before this work. In our cellular studies, the two diamidine compounds, furamidine and K313 presented strong inhibition on PRMT1 enzymatic activity in cells. Their anti-proliferative activity was also validated in
leukemia or/and melanoma cell lines. These results suggested that the two diamidine compounds are cell membrane permeable with anticancer activities. Previous studies showed that these compounds can be transported into the mammalian cells and accumulate in the cancer cell nuclei. Given that PRMT1 distributes in both cytoplasm and nucleuses, and it is overexpressed in various cancers (Table 1.2), one mechanism for the diamidine compounds to inhibit cancer cell growth might be through reducing the abnormally elevated enzymatic activity of PRMT1. Additional studies are necessary to further elucidate this mechanism.

In another case, compound K280S was reported as a tubulin binder named as CI-980. This compound was a candidate chemotherapy agent used to treat a broad spectrum of cancers. Previous cellular studies of K280S showed a discrepancy in results, which led to suspicion that microtubule inhibition was not the only mechanism for the compound’s anticancer efficacy. Our studies demonstrated that one of these mechanisms might be PRMT5 inhibition. This new finding may help justify the limited efficacy showed in the previous clinical studies on K280S. Results in phase I clinical trials indicated that K280S had high systemic clearance and a well-tolerated toxicity. In phase II clinical trials, K280S was delivered as a 72-hour or 24-hour continuous intravenous infusion every three weeks at a dosage of 4.5 mg/m$^2$/day. The previous dosing regimen was likely scheduled based on the phase I results and previous experience with mitotic inhibitors, but might not be appropriate for PRMT5 inhibition. Recent pre-clinical studies together with our data suggested that PRMT5 inhibitors may favor a long-term treatment to fully exhibit their anticancer efficacy. Another reason for the limited efficacy seen in the K280S trials could be that some cancers are not dependent on PRMT5 regulation and thus may not be sensitive to PRMT5 inhibition. Therefore, we propose that the treatment schedule could be altered, or the formulation of drug could be adjusted to a sustained...
long-term delivery for tumors with elevated PRMT5 activity. Although it is not yet clear whether there is an interplay between PRMT5 and tubulin in cancer cells, these two targets individually are intimately involved in carcinogenesis. Our data suggested that the synergistic anticancer effect resulted from the combinatory inhibition of PRMT5 and tubulin is unique and warrants further investigation.

Overall, this work presented novel pharmacological mechanisms for previously studied drugs and drug candidates, which can help in repurposing the use of these previously known compounds to treat cancers. A significant advantage is that since these compounds have already passed a number of toxicity and PK/PD tests, their safety profiles are well-established, and hence the risk of adverse events are reduced. Our observations in the mode of action studies for these drugs and drug candidates can provide guidance for future preclinical and clinical research.

(3) Innovative and powerful assay methods are crucial for promoting the field of PRMT inhibitor discovery.

To identify PRMT inhibitors from the NCI Diversity Set library containing 260,071 compounds, we first conducted ligand-based virtual screening using furamidine as a template to prioritize the most likely hits from the lengthy list. The benefits of virtual screening include reduced time and cost for hit identification as well as increasing the hit identification rates\textsuperscript{257-259}. The top 406 compounds with high similarity scores to furamidine were selected for single concentration biochemical screening at 10 µM, which resulted in 33 PRMT1 inhibitor hits and 8 PRMT5 inhibitor hits with less than the 50% remaining enzyme activity. This combinatorial approach led to a hit identification rate of 8.1% for PRMT1 inhibitors and a rate of 2.0% for PRMT5 inhibitors, which are significantly higher than typical experimental high throughput screening methods (hit identification rates between 0.01% and 0.14%)\textsuperscript{257}. Since furamidine is a
PRMT1 inhibitor, it is reasonable that the rate of PRMT1 inhibitor identification is higher than that of PRMT5. The strategy of combining virtual screening and biochemical high throughput screening was proven to be efficient in this work, which have resulted in several potent and selective PRMT inhibitors. In the future, since we have obtained significant amount of SAR data of these inhibitors, it is worthwhile to generate a more defined pharmacophore model to help improve the hit identification rate for further virtual screening against other existing compound libraries.

Additionally, the scintillation proximity assay platform for PRMT activity measurement\textsuperscript{171} played a crucial role in the inhibitor discovery process. This 96-well assay format was adapted for target-based biochemical high throughput screening, dose-dependent enzyme activity measurement, inhibitor selectivity profiling, as well as the mechanism of inhibition determination by enzyme kinetics. Despite its robustness, a reliable secondary assay is necessary for counter screening and validation purposes. In this work, we developed a novel stopped flow assay platform for PRMT inhibitor characterization. In this assay, a fluorescein-labeled substrate peptide was applied to continuously probe the methylation process of PRMT1 over time, using the fluorescence changes of the substrate converting to the product. The obtained curves were analyzed based on a complete kinetic model of PRMT1 methylation\textsuperscript{260} to quantify the dose-dependent methylation inhibition caused by the PRMT inhibitors. Currently, this assay used PRMT1 as an example for inhibitor characterization. Given that the enzyme kinetics of the other PRMTs are similar\textsuperscript{83, 236, 261-263}, further studies are desired to adapt this assay for mechanistic and selectivity studies using other PRMTs. This format can also be potentially adapted to other histone writers or erasers using the fluorescein-labeled peptide substrates.
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Figure S2.1. Predicted binding modes of furamidine in PRMT1 and PRMT5 from docking (AutoDock 4.2) and molecular dynamics simulation (NAMD 2.8). Ligand−residue interaction energies from MM/PBSA energy decomposition for (A) PRMT1 and (B) PRMT5. (C, D) Binding modes of furamidine with (C) PRMT1 and (D) PRMT5. The best docking pose obtained from AutoDock in complex with the hPRMT1 homology model (based on 1F3L and 3SMQ) and X-ray hPRMT5 (4GQB) was selected for MD simulation. Dominant structures for the hPRMT1-furamidine and hPRMT5-furamidine complexes from the last 20 ns of MD trajectory clustering analysis were used for visualization. PRMT residues engaging the ligand are explicitly shown in ball and stick representation. The protein (in cartoon representation) is colored according to the residue contribution values in the free energy decomposition from red (negative) to blue (positive).
Figure S2.2 Furamidine was cell permeable and inhibited leukemia cell growth. A. Furamidine inhibited methylation of GFP-ALY in 293T cells. B. Furamidine inhibited the growth of CHRF and MOLM13 cells.

Figure S2.3 The comparison of PRMT1 inhibition by decamidine (2j) and furamidine. (a) Mass spectra of the reaction mixture with different concentrations of compounds 2j and furamidine. From spectra I to V: DMSO control, compound 2j (0.2 mM), compound 2j (0.5 mM), furamidine (0.2 mM), furamidine (0.5 mM). The concentrations of PRMT1, SAM, and H4-20 were 1, 200, and 50 μM, respectively. The mixtures were incubated at 30°C for 3.5 h. (b) Radiometric gel assay and its quantification. The concentrations of PRMT1, [14C]SAM, and H4-20 are 0.1, 20, and 100 μM, respectively. The reaction time was 30 min at 30°C before it was quenched with 5 × SDS-loading buffer, separated by 15% SDS-PAGE, dried under vacuum and visualized by storage phosphorimaging. The quantification was based on two experiments.
Figure S2.4. Flow chart overview of ligand-based virtual screening.
Figure S2.6 K313 inhibits proliferation of leukemia cell lines via blocking of PRMT1 activity. (A–C) K313 inhibited leukemic cell growth. Serial diluted K313 was added to the MEG01, CMK, K562, and HEL cell cultures and cell growth was measured by viability assay. As a control, the cells were treated with the same volume of DMSO. Sensitivity curves of day 3 (A) and day 4 (B) are plotted based on viability assay results and IC\textsubscript{50} was calculated (C). (D) Arginine methylation level of K313-treated MEG01 cells. MEG01 cells were cultured with the presence of DMSO or K313. Cell extract was harvested after 24 h of treatment, and samples were resolved by SDS–PAGE. Arginine methylation status was detected using anti-methyl-R antibody Asym24. PRMT1 activity was measured by the methylation status of substrate protein RBM15. Equal loading was confirmed by Ponsceau S staining.
Figure S2.7 K313 inhibits proliferation of melanoma cell line MeWo. Serial diluted K313 was added to the MeWo cell cultures and cell growth was measured by viability assay. Treatment lasted for 7 days with media change every 48 hours.
Figure S3.1 Compound K280 is unstable as revealed by $^1$H NMR spectrum. Top: K280-2H standard in d6-DMSO; Middle: 16 mM K280 in d6-DMSO after 16 day incubation at room temperature; Bottom: 16 mM K280 in d6-DMSO, day 0. The middle spectrum demonstrated a combinatory profile of top and bottom spectra, suggesting that the degradant is K280-2H. H$_2$O$_2$ was observed in the degradation progress probably as a byproduct of O$_2$-mediated oxidation.
Figure S3.2 (a) Synthetic routine for compound K280-2H. (b) $^1$H NMR comparison of separated K280 degradant (top, CDCl$_3$), synthetic K280-2H (middle, CDCl$_3$), and synthetic K280-2H (bottom, CDCl$_3$/CD$_3$OD). Note that the active hydrogens (carbamate and 5-NH$_2$) in the three spectra are circled in purple and red, respectively. And both disappeared due to hydrogen/deuterium exchange when CD$_3$OD was added (bottom panel of $^1$H NMR spectrum). The alignment of top and middle spectra indicated that the K280 degradant is K280-2H.
Figure S3.3 Crystallization of PRM5:MEP50. A. purity of expressed PRMT5:MEP50 complex shown in a Ponceau stained nitrocellulose membrane; B. time course of the purchased and expressed enzymes; C. the needle-shaped crystal from PRMT5:MEP50 with MTA and H4-20 peptide in a 24-well tray for hanging-drop vapor diffusion experiment.
Figure S3.4 (a) PRMT5 expression in non-cancer (RWPE-1) and cancer (LNCaP and PC-3) cells were determined by immunoblot analysis. (b) Effect of EPZ015666 on RWPE-1 and PC-3 cell growth. RWPE-1 and PC-3 cells were treated with EPZ015666 at various concentrations for 72 hours or 14 days. Crystal violet staining assays were used to determine cell density. (c) PRMT5 knockdown (PRMT5 KD) of PC-3 cells were established using PRMT5-targeting shRNA while scrambled shRNA was used as a control. (d) Effect of K280 on SDMA and ADMA formation. (e) Effect of K280 on tubulin polymerization was examined using fluorescent microscopy. Scale bar, 10 µm. Data were replicative of three independent experiments. Data is presented as mean ± SD.
Figure S3.5 K280S induces PRMT5 inhibition and tubulin disruption on prostate cancer cells. (a) Cytotoxic effects of K280, K280S, K280-2H and colchicine on non-cancer (RWPE-1) and bone-derived metastatic prostate cancer (PC-3) cells after 72 hours as measured by MTT assay. (b) Western blot analysis of total and specific SDMA and ADMA formation of PC-3 cells after 24-hour treatment of K280S and shRNA-mediated PRMT5 KD cells. (c) Representative fluorescence micrographs of microtubule depolymerization on PC-3 cells after 24-hour of K280S treatment and shRNA-mediated PRMT5 KD cells. Scale bar, 10 µm.
Figure S3.6 K280S inhibits migration, adhesion, cause cell cycle arrest and induce apoptosis in prostate cancer cells. (a, b) Cell migration quantification of K280S-treated group and shRNA-mediated PRMT5 KD group as determined by trans-well assays (a) and adhesion assays (b). (c) Representative 10-day spheroid formation with K280S treatment and shRNA-mediated PRMT5 KD. Left: phase contrast. Right, GFP. Scale bar, 200 μm. (d) K280S induced G2-M cell cycle arrest in PC-3 cells after 12-hour treatment. (i) Western blot analysis of apoptotic markers (BCl2 and Bax) in PC-3 cells of 24-hour drug treatment and shRNA-mediated PRMT5 KD cells.
Figure S3.7 Effect of K280S in TRAMP-C2 xenograft model. (a) Schematic illustration of in vivo treatment of TRAMP C-2 prostate xenograft models. Tumor cells were seeded 7 days prior to the experiment. The tumor-bearing mice were treated with vehicle, 0.25 mg/kg, 0.35 mg/kg and 0.5-0.25 mg/kg K280S via intraperitoneal injection every 4 days for four courses. (b-d) Changes in body weight (b), tumor volume (c) and tumor weight (d) with and without K280S treatment. (e) Immunoblot analysis of PRMT5, SDMA, ADMA from tumor samples of untreated and treated mice. Data is presented as mean ± SD, n = 6. *p < 0.05.
**Figure S3.8** (a) PRMT5 expression in TRAMP-C2 cells, host mouse brain and muscle tissue. (b) Effect of K280 analogues on TRAMP-C2 cells. TRAMP-C2 cells were treated with various concentration of the indicated compounds and incubated for 72 hours. MTT assays were used to measure cell density. Data is presented as mean ± SD with n = 3. (c) Top: representative photograph of mice bearing tumor; Bottom: representative photograph of dissected tumors. Groups 1-4 represent different treatments. 1: Control Vehicle; 2: 0.25 mg/kg; 3: 0.35 mg/kg; 4: 0.5 – 0.25 mg/kg.
Table S3.1 GI\textsubscript{50} (nM) of compounds on PC-3 cells at specific time points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>24 Hour</th>
<th>48 Hour</th>
<th>72 Hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K280</td>
<td>475.4 ± 1.221</td>
<td>23.58 ± 1.183</td>
<td>5.867 ± 1.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K280S</td>
<td>1036 ± 1.598</td>
<td>35.49 ± 1.286</td>
<td>6.938 ± 1.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K280R</td>
<td>1195 ± 1.651</td>
<td>34.92 ± 1.302</td>
<td>13.29 ± 1.362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colchicine</td>
<td>138 ± 1.221</td>
<td>18.79 ± 1.159</td>
<td>11.21 ± 1.171</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table S4.1A. Parameter values$^a$ of the enzyme-dependent time courses$^a$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[PRMT1], µM</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>$k_1$, (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>$k_2$, (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01079 ± 1.32E-04</td>
<td>0.04187 ± 1.05E-03</td>
<td>-0.01117 ± 8.97E-05</td>
<td>0.00287 ± 1.03E-04</td>
<td>0.9972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.03605 ± 1.42E-04</td>
<td>0.04810 ± 3.86E-04</td>
<td>-0.05688 ± 9.80E-05</td>
<td>0.002841 ± 1.99E-05</td>
<td>0.9898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.06974 ± 1.90E-04</td>
<td>0.06161 ± 3.34E-04</td>
<td>-0.1115 ± 9.25E-05</td>
<td>0.004804 ± 1.15E-05</td>
<td>0.7915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.09437 ± 2.85E-04</td>
<td>0.09452 ± 5.45E-04</td>
<td>-0.156 ± 1.35E-04</td>
<td>0.006924 ± 1.12E-05</td>
<td>0.9977</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$The standard deviation values of fitting with equation 2 are shown.

Table S4.1B. Slope values$^a$ of the enzyme-dependent time courses$^a$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[PRMT1], µM</th>
<th>a·$k_1$, (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>-b·$k_2$, (s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>4.52E-04 ± 1.26E-05</td>
<td>3.21E-05 ± 1.17E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.73E-03 ± 1.55E-05</td>
<td>1.62E-04 ± 1.16E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.30E-03 ± 2.61E-05</td>
<td>5.36E-04 ± 1.35E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>8.92E-03 ± 5.81E-05</td>
<td>1.08E-03 ± 1.99E-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$The standard deviation of the slope values are calculated from the fitting standard deviation values of a, b, $k_1$ and $k_2$. 
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Table S4.2A. Parameter values<sup>a</sup> of the cofactor SAM-dependent time courses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[SAM], µM</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>k&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;, (s&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>k&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;, (s&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>R&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0482 ± 2.31E-04</td>
<td>0.04402 ± 4.06E-04</td>
<td>-0.07363 ± 8.97E-05</td>
<td>0.002713 ± 1.28E-05</td>
<td>0.9905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.0846 ± 3.83E-04</td>
<td>0.08016 ± 6.88E-04</td>
<td>-0.1161 ± 2.14E-04</td>
<td>0.007565 ± 1.73E-05</td>
<td>0.9937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.1529 ± 1.79E-03</td>
<td>0.1778 ± 2.91E-03</td>
<td>-0.2054 ± 3.40E-04</td>
<td>0.006848 ± 1.85E-05</td>
<td>0.9883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.1992 ± 2.65E-03</td>
<td>0.2476 ± 4.40E-03</td>
<td>-0.2664 ± 5.21E-04</td>
<td>0.01175 ± 3.03E-05</td>
<td>0.9886</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>The standard deviation values of fitting with equation 2 are shown.

Table S4.2B. Slope values<sup>a</sup> of the cofactor SAM-dependent time courses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[SAM], µM</th>
<th>a·k&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;, (s&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>-b·k&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;, (s&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.12E-03 ± 2.20E-05</td>
<td>2.00E-04 ± 9.72E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>6.78E-03 ± 6.58E-05</td>
<td>8.78E-04 ± 2.58E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.72E-02 ± 5.47E-04</td>
<td>1.41E-03 ± 4.46E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.93E-02 ± 1.09E-03</td>
<td>3.13E-03 ± 1.01E-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>The standard deviation of the slope values are calculated from the fitting standard deviation values of a, b, k<sub>1</sub> and k<sub>2</sub>.
Table S4.3A. Parameter values<sup>a</sup> of the SAH inhibition curves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[SAH], µM</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>k&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;, (s&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>k&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;, (s&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>R&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1944 ± 4.03E-04</td>
<td>0.05093 ± 1.97E-04</td>
<td>-0.2213 ± 1.47E-04</td>
<td>0.003031 ± 6.73E-06</td>
<td>0.9972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2027 ± 4.00E-04</td>
<td>0.05995 ± 2.11E-04</td>
<td>-0.2201 ± 1.26E-04</td>
<td>0.003078 ± 5.86E-06</td>
<td>0.9978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.2214 ± 4.39E-04</td>
<td>0.06487 ± 2.18E-04</td>
<td>-0.2306 ± 1.33E-04</td>
<td>0.002434 ± 5.47E-06</td>
<td>0.9977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2658 ± 3.49E-04</td>
<td>0.06324 ± 1.36E-04</td>
<td>-0.2629 ± 3.89E-04</td>
<td>0.00134 ± 4.32E-06</td>
<td>0.9983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2726 ± 3.65E-04</td>
<td>0.06815 ± 1.45E-04</td>
<td>-0.2134 ± 6.03E-04</td>
<td>0.001095 ± 5.70E-06</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.2961 ± 3.73E-04</td>
<td>0.07177 ± 1.40E-04</td>
<td>-0.1862 ± 2.16E-03</td>
<td>0.0005933 ± 9.36E-06</td>
<td>0.9944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2962 ± 3.74E-04</td>
<td>0.05757 ± 1.17E-04</td>
<td>-0.3000 ± 3.18E-02</td>
<td>0.0001714 ± 1.98E-05</td>
<td>0.9919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2774 ± 5.02E-04</td>
<td>0.05889 ± 1.70E-04</td>
<td>-0.2999 ± 2.24E-01</td>
<td>7.42E-05 ± 5.74E-05</td>
<td>0.9836</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>The standard deviation values of fitting with equation 2 are shown.
Table S4.3B. Slope values\(^a\) of the SAH inhibition curves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[SAH], µM</th>
<th>(a \cdot k_1, \text{ (s}^{-1}))</th>
<th>(-b \cdot k_2, \text{ (s}^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.90E-03 ± 4.35E-05</td>
<td>6.71E-04 ± 1.55E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.22E-02 ± 4.90E-05</td>
<td>6.77E-04 ± 1.35E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.44E-02 ± 5.59E-05</td>
<td>5.61E-04 ± 1.30E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.68E-02 ± 4.24E-05</td>
<td>3.52E-04 ± 1.25E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.86E-02 ± 4.67E-05</td>
<td>2.34E-04 ± 1.38E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.13E-02 ± 4.93E-05</td>
<td>1.10E-04 ± 2.16E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.71E-02 ± 4.08E-05</td>
<td>5.14E-05 ± 8.08E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.63E-02 ± 5.57E-05</td>
<td>2.22E-05 ± 2.71E-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)The standard deviation of the slope values are calculated from the fitting standard deviation values of \(a, b, k_1\) and \(k_2\).
Table S4.4A. Parameter values\(^a\) of the sinefungin inhibition curves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[sinefungin], µM</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>k(_1), (s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>k(_2), (s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>R(^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1624 ±</td>
<td>0.06256 ±</td>
<td>-0.1869 ±</td>
<td>3.88E-03 ±</td>
<td>0.9970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.09E-04</td>
<td>2.85E-04</td>
<td>1.53E-04</td>
<td>7.52E-06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0375</td>
<td>0.1645 ±</td>
<td>0.0653 ±</td>
<td>-0.1699 ±</td>
<td>3.38E-03 ±</td>
<td>0.9975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.77E-04</td>
<td>2.62E-04</td>
<td>1.17E-04</td>
<td>6.86E-06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.2064 ±</td>
<td>0.05564 ±</td>
<td>-0.2170 ±</td>
<td>1.94E-03 ±</td>
<td>0.9972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.83E-04</td>
<td>1.79E-04</td>
<td>1.94E-04</td>
<td>5.83E-06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.2215 ±</td>
<td>0.05703 ±</td>
<td>-0.2165 ±</td>
<td>1.62E-03 ±</td>
<td>0.9964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.17E-04</td>
<td>1.83E-04</td>
<td>3.17E-04</td>
<td>6.43E-06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2200 ±</td>
<td>0.05374 ±</td>
<td>-0.1711 ±</td>
<td>1.23E-03 ±</td>
<td>0.9913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.51E-04</td>
<td>1.88E-04</td>
<td>6.89E-04</td>
<td>1.02E-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.2668 ±</td>
<td>0.05576 ±</td>
<td>-0.1045 ±</td>
<td>1.18E-03 ±</td>
<td>0.984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.02E-04</td>
<td>1.77E-04</td>
<td>8.19E-04</td>
<td>1.83E-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.287 ±</td>
<td>0.05722 ±</td>
<td>-0.1776 ±</td>
<td>4.06E-04 ±</td>
<td>0.9822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.51E-04</td>
<td>1.79E-04</td>
<td>8.27E-03</td>
<td>2.33E-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2925 ±</td>
<td>0.05659 ±</td>
<td>-4.517</td>
<td>9.13E-06 ±</td>
<td>0.9824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.44E-04</td>
<td>1.69E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.36E-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.2481 ±</td>
<td>0.05968 ±</td>
<td>-4.223</td>
<td>3.37E-06 ±</td>
<td>0.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.15E-04</td>
<td>1.97E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.82E-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.2592 ±</td>
<td>0.05599 ±</td>
<td>-0.5239</td>
<td>5.08E-06 ±</td>
<td>0.9798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.43E-04</td>
<td>1.89E-04</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.23E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)The standard deviation values of fitting with equation 2 are shown.
Table S4.4B. Slope values$^a$ of the sinefungin inhibition curves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[sinefungin], µM</th>
<th>a·k$_1$, (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>-b·k$_2$, (s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.02E-02 ± 5.29E-05</td>
<td>7.24E-04 ± 1.53E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0375</td>
<td>1.07E-02 ± 4.96E-05</td>
<td>5.74E-04 ± 1.23E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>1.15E-02 ± 4.27E-05</td>
<td>4.20E-04 ± 1.32E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.26E-02 ± 4.69E-05</td>
<td>3.51E-04 ± 1.48E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.18E-02 ± 4.79E-05</td>
<td>2.10E-04 ± 1.94E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.49E-02 ± 5.48E-05</td>
<td>1.23E-04 ± 2.15E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.64E-02 ± 6.01E-05</td>
<td>7.22E-05 ± 5.34E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.66E-02 ± 5.83E-05</td>
<td>4.12E-05 ± 1.52E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.48E-02 ± 5.77E-05</td>
<td>1.42E-05 ± 3.72E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.45E-02 ± 5.77E-05</td>
<td>2.66E-06 ± 2.74E-04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$The standard deviation of the slope values are calculated from the fitting standard deviation values of a, b, k$_1$ and k$_2$. 
Table S4.5A. Parameter values\(^a\) of the H4R3me2a inhibition curves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[H4R3Me2a], µM</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>k(_1), (s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>k(_2), (s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>R(^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1800 ±</td>
<td>0.06112 ±</td>
<td>-0.1961 ±</td>
<td>0.003422 ±</td>
<td>0.9967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.96E-04</td>
<td>2.52E-04</td>
<td>1.47E-04</td>
<td>7.36E-06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.1544 ±</td>
<td>0.06982 ±</td>
<td>-0.1795 ±</td>
<td>0.003946 ±</td>
<td>0.9967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.91E-04</td>
<td>3.27E-04</td>
<td>1.47E-04</td>
<td>7.72E-06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1589 ±</td>
<td>0.06665 ±</td>
<td>-0.1863 ±</td>
<td>0.003437 ±</td>
<td>0.9963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.16E-04</td>
<td>3.21E-04</td>
<td>1.43E-04</td>
<td>7.65E-06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1731 ±</td>
<td>0.05356 ±</td>
<td>-0.1960 ±</td>
<td>0.003104 ±</td>
<td>0.9963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.91E-04</td>
<td>2.31E-04</td>
<td>1.50E-04</td>
<td>7.73E-06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.1692 ±</td>
<td>0.05400 ±</td>
<td>-0.1883 ±</td>
<td>0.003253 ±</td>
<td>0.9953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.25E-04</td>
<td>2.60E-04</td>
<td>1.69E-04</td>
<td>8.83E-06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1282 ±</td>
<td>0.04557 ±</td>
<td>-0.1431 ±</td>
<td>0.003370 ±</td>
<td>0.9903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.32E-04</td>
<td>3.06E-04</td>
<td>2.10E-04</td>
<td>1.35E-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1156 ±</td>
<td>0.0355 ±</td>
<td>-0.1396 ±</td>
<td>0.001394 ±</td>
<td>0.9878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.30E-04</td>
<td>2.01E-04</td>
<td>5.20E-04</td>
<td>1.31E-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0723 ±</td>
<td>0.02475 ±</td>
<td>-0.07524 ±</td>
<td>0.001365 ±</td>
<td>0.9577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.03E-04</td>
<td>2.15E-04</td>
<td>5.65E-04</td>
<td>2.82E-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)The standard deviation values of fitting with equation 2 are shown.
Table S4.5B. Slope values$^a$ of the H4R3me2a inhibition curves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[H4R3Me2a], µM</th>
<th>$a \cdot k_1$, (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$-b \cdot k_2$, (s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.10E-02 ± 5.14E-05</td>
<td>6.71E-04 ± 1.53E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>1.08E-02 ± 5.74E-05</td>
<td>7.08E-04 ± 1.50E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.06E-02 ± 5.80E-05</td>
<td>6.40E-04 ± 1.51E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>9.27E-03 ± 4.52E-05</td>
<td>6.08E-04 ± 1.59E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>9.14E-03 ± 4.96E-05</td>
<td>6.13E-04 ± 1.75E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.84E-03 ± 4.38E-05</td>
<td>4.82E-04 ± 2.06E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.10E-03 ± 2.60E-05</td>
<td>1.95E-04 ± 1.96E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.79E-03 ± 1.72E-05</td>
<td>1.03E-04 ± 2.25E-06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$The standard deviation of the slope values are calculated from the fitting standard deviation values of a, b, $k_1$ and $k_2$. 

142
**Table S4.6A.** Parameter values\(^a\) of the DB75 inhibition curves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[DB75], µM</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>k(_1), (s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>k(_2), (s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>R(^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1445 ± 3.59E-04</td>
<td>0.06205 ± 2.82E-04</td>
<td>-0.1741 ± 1.41E-04</td>
<td>0.004015 ± 7.30E-06</td>
<td>0.9973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.1608 ± 3.86E-04</td>
<td>0.05913 ± 2.65E-04</td>
<td>-0.203 ± 1.66E-04</td>
<td>0.004151 ± 7.18E-06</td>
<td>0.9975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1114 ± 3.10E-04</td>
<td>0.06653 ± 3.34E-04</td>
<td>-0.14 ± 1.20E-04</td>
<td>0.004282 ± 7.68E-06</td>
<td>0.9971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.1285 ± 3.57E-04</td>
<td>0.04791 ± 2.52E-04</td>
<td>-0.1789 ± 1.33E-04</td>
<td>0.002865 ± 7.66E-06</td>
<td>0.9965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.06466 ± 3.90E-04</td>
<td>0.03706 ± 4.47E-04</td>
<td>-0.07628 ± 3.14E-04</td>
<td>0.004596 ± 2.82E-05</td>
<td>0.9754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.02855 ± 5.16E-04</td>
<td>0.02992 ± 8.36E-04</td>
<td>-0.01837 ± 5.38E-04</td>
<td>0.005985 ± 1.62E-04</td>
<td>0.6643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.009937 ± 5.73E-04</td>
<td>0.1335 ± 1.03E-02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.9104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)The standard deviation values of fitting with equation 2 are shown.

**Table S4.6B.** Slope values\(^a\) of the DB75 inhibition curves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[DB75], µM</th>
<th>a·k(_1), (s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>-b·k(_2), (s(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.97E-03 ± 4.64E-05</td>
<td>6.990E-04 ± 1.39E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>9.51E-03 ± 4.83E-05</td>
<td>8.427E-04 ± 1.61E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.41E-03 ± 4.25E-05</td>
<td>5.995E-04 ± 1.19E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.16E-03 ± 3.66E-05</td>
<td>5.125E-04 ± 1.42E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.40E-03 ± 3.23E-05</td>
<td>3.506E-04 ± 2.59E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.54E-04 ± 2.84E-05</td>
<td>1.10E-04 ± 4.39E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.33E-03 ± 1.28E-04</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)The standard deviation of the slope values are calculated from the fitting standard deviation values of a, b, k\(_1\) and k\(_2\).
Table S4.7A. Parameter values$^a$ of the MS023 inhibition curves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[MS023], µM</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>$k_1$, (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>$k_2$, (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1306 ± 3.23E-04</td>
<td>0.06923 ± 3.11E-04</td>
<td>-0.1598 ± 1.36E-04</td>
<td>0.004868 ± 7.47E-06</td>
<td>0.9976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.1705 ± 4.53E-04</td>
<td>0.05716 ± 2.73E-04</td>
<td>-0.2028 ± 1.46E-04</td>
<td>0.002997 ± 7.44E-06</td>
<td>0.9964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.1706 ± 3.73E-04</td>
<td>0.05384 ± 2.22E-04</td>
<td>-0.1507 ± 1.57E-04</td>
<td>0.003684 ± 9.45E-06</td>
<td>0.9951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1741 ± 5.03E-04</td>
<td>0.05062 ± 2.70E-04</td>
<td>-0.1701 ± 1.74E-04</td>
<td>0.002741 ± 1.07E-05</td>
<td>0.9924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1833 ± 4.85E-04</td>
<td>0.04454 ± 2.11E-04</td>
<td>-0.1468 ± 1.36E-03</td>
<td>0.0010 ± 1.66E-05</td>
<td>0.9797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1330 ± 2.05E-03</td>
<td>0.03397 ± 3.62E-04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.9648</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$The standard deviation values of fitting with equation 2 are shown.

Table S4.7B. Slope values$^a$ of the MS023 inhibition curves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[MS023], µM</th>
<th>a·$k_1$, (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>-b·$k_2$, (s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.04E-03 ± 4.64E-05</td>
<td>7.78E-04 ± 1.36E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>9.75E-03 ± 5.33E-05</td>
<td>6.08E-04 ± 1.57E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>9.19E-03 ± 4.29E-05</td>
<td>5.55E-04 ± 1.54E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>8.81E-03 ± 5.35E-05</td>
<td>4.66E-04 ± 1.88E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>8.16E-03 ± 4.42E-05</td>
<td>1.47E-04 ± 2.79E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.52E-03 ± 8.45E-05</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$The standard deviation of the slope values are calculated from the fitting standard deviation values of a, b, $k_1$ and $k_2$. 
**Figure S4.1A.** Concentration changes of peptide-related species during the reaction ([PRMT1] = 0.2 µM, [SAM] = 3.5 µM, [H4] = 0.4 µM), simulated based on the complete kinetics model of PRMT1\(^1\) using KinTek Explorer 5.2.
Figure S4.1B. The parameter values used for the simulation.
Figure S4.2. Global fitting result based on the binary binding model of PRMT1 and H4FL. The values of $k_{on}$ and $k_{off}$ 40 µM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ and 333 s$^{-1}$ were used, respectively. The values of $f_p$ and $f_c$ are 6.4 µM$^{-1}$ and 5.6 µM$^{-1}$, respectively. The raw data are shown in colored points and the smooth lines are the simulation results. H4FL concentration is fixed at 0.4 µM.
Figure S4.3. Global fitting results based on the binary binding model of PRMT1 and H4meFL. The values of $k_{on}$ and $k_{off}$ of 23 $\mu$M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ and 292 s$^{-1}$ were used$^2$, respectively. The values of $f_p$ and $f_c$ are 5.4 $\mu$M$^{-1}$ and 3.8 $\mu$M$^{-1}$, respectively. The raw data are shown in colored points and the smooth lines are the simulation results. H4meFL concentration is fixed at 0.4 $\mu$M.
Figure S4.4. Global fitting results based on the binary binding model of PRMT1 and H4me$_{2a}$FL. The values of $k_{on}$ and $k_{off}$ of 26 µM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ and 319 s$^{-1}$ were used, respectively. The resulted value of $f_p$ and $f_c$ are 5.9 µM$^{-1}$ and 3.9 µM$^{-1}$, respectively. The raw data are shown in colored points and the smooth lines are the simulation results. H4me$_2$FL concentration is fixed at 0.4 µM.
Figure S4.5. Stopped-flow fluorescence assay of the cofactor competitive inhibitor, sinefungin. A. Structure of sinefungin. In B, the curves are fit with equation 2 by Prism to generate values in Table S4.4A. Each curve used 10,000 data points, but only 50 data points are shown. Each curve is an average of 4 or 5 replicates. C and D represent the relationship of \( a \cdot k_1 \) or \( b \cdot k_2 \) with inhibitor concentrations, values listed in Table 4B. In D, the IC\(_{50}\) is calculated using equation 1. The reaction condition used for all experiments are [PRMT1] = 0.2 µM, [SAM] = 3.5 µM, [H4FL] = 0.4 µM, with varying concentrations of sinefungin.
Figure S4.6. IC$_{50}$ of H4R3Me2a by filter binding assay, at the following condition: [PRMT1] = 0.2 µM, [SAM] = 3.5 µM, [H4FL] = 0.4 µM, with varying concentration of H4R3Me2a.
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