Maize pathogen *Fusarium verticillioides* is the causal agent of seedling blight disease, with production of the fumonisin B\(_1\) (FB\(_1\)) mycotoxin being necessary for disease development. FB\(_1\) was previously observed to accumulate in the roots and leaves during systemic infection of the plant, but not in the leaves when watered into the soil. Here we detected FB\(_1\) in the roots and leaves during seedling blight infection by an aconidal *F. verticillioides* strain able to infect the mesocotyl but unable to colonize leaves, while wild type demonstrated colonization and FB\(_1\) accumulation in the same locations. Therefore, root colonization by *F. verticillioides* is necessary for accumulation of FB\(_1\) and leaf colonization is dispensable for FB\(_1\) mobility *in planta*. Since phytotoxic effects of fumonisin are known to include nitric oxide (NO) accumulation *in planta*, the biological and virulence effects of NO on *F. verticillioides* was evaluated. Specifically, we addressed the roles of flavohemoglobins FHB1 and FHB2 on NO detoxification by *F. verticillioides*. Microarray analysis revealed a significant induction of *FHB2* (17-fold) transcription with exposure to NO (1.5 mM DETA NONOate, a NO donor) and a 2-fold increase in *FHB1* transcription. In comparison, the highest induction at 246-fold was a dissimilatory
nitrite reductase (*NIR1*), along with high induction of other denitrification genes, including a P450 nitric oxide reductase (*NOR1*). Deletion mutants (*fhb1, fhb2, nor1, nir1*, and *fhb1/fhb2* double deletion) were generated and challenged on NO, nitrate, and nitrite media. *fhb1, fhb2*, and *nor1* were restricted in growth with NO exposure, while *fhb1/fhb2* showed no growth. Only 7% of *fhb1/fhb2* conidia survived the NO exposure. All mutants grew on nitrate and nitrite media under normal atmospheric conditions. In contrast, *fhb2, nir1, nor1*, and *fhb1/fhb2* were unable to grow on nitrite media under hypoxic conditions, thus linking these genes to denitrification. Also, *fhb1/fhb2* had restricted growth on nitrite media under oxygenic conditions, demonstrating the importance of flavohemoglobins in nitrite metabolism. Maize seedling blight assays revealed *fhb1/fhb2* to be more virulent than wild type, with double the production of FB1. Here we show denitrification is important in NO detoxification and link such detoxification to virulence and FB1 production.

INDEX WORDS: Transpiration, Stomatal closure, *Fusarium verticillioides*, Nitric Oxide, Denitrification, Maize seedling blight, Fumonisin, Shootward movement, Bioscreen, Flavohemoglobin, P450 nitric oxide reductase, Nitrite reductase
MAIZE SEEDLING BLIGHT CAUSED BY *FUSARIUM VERTICILLIOIDES* INVOLVES FUMONISIN B1 MOBILITY AND MODULATION OF NITRIC OXIDE BY THE DENITRIFICATION PATHWAY

by

THOMAS TIPLER BALDWIN 5th

BS, State University of New York - College of Environmental Science and Forestry, 2008

A Dissertation Submitted to the Graduate Faculty of The University of Georgia in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

ATHENS, GEORGIA

2013
MAIZE SEEDLING BLIGHT CAUSED BY *FUSARIA*UM VERTICILLIOIDES INVOLVES FUMONISIN B1 MOBILITY AND MODULATION OF NITRIC OXIDE BY THE DENITRIFICATION PATHWAY

by

THOMAS TIPLER BALDWIN 5th

Major Professor: Anthony E. Glenn
Committee: Sarah F. Covert
Scott E. Gold
Ronald T. Riley
Shavannor M. Smith

Electronic Version Approved:

Maureen Grasso
Dean of the Graduate School
The University of Georgia
December 2013
DEDICATION

I would like to dedicate this work to my mother, father, and brother. Your support for me is unwavering and has brought me farther than I ever thought possible. To my Aunts and Uncles who supported me when I need them the most.

I dedicate this work to my future wife Suzette, who always brings me immeasurable joy with her cheerful wit and loving embrace.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Most of all I would like to sincerely thank my major advisor, Anthony Glenn, without whom none of the preceding work would have been possible. His patience with my early progress and words of encouragement have allowed me to overcome character flaws and learning deficiencies I would not have otherwise. The grand and wondrous world of mycology research was opened to me through his guidance and the pleasure of learning from such an accomplished scientist I could never repay.

I would also like to thank Nicolas Zitomer, Trevor Mitchell, and Ron Riley for all of the countless hours of support. Through our many interactions over the past five years I’ve been able to understand many aspects of mycotoxin research. I appreciate and cherish every endeavor we’ve explored together. I’d like to thank Scott Gold for providing me the majority of the comic relief in my graduate school career. Even in my most distraught moments his jokes are unbearably funny and I thank him for all his great advice. I am also thankful to Shavannor Smith and Sarah Covert for their work on my committee and throughout my graduate school career.

Recognition needs to be given to the outstanding laboratory support I’ve received from the USDA technician Jency Showker and UGA technician Britton Davis. Without their help this research would have concluded after that first PCR. Special thanks to Britton Davis for sharing with me experiences and experiments in our many years of sharing a lab. Special thanks to Brian Oakley, USDA research scientist, for allowing us to utilize his Oxoid chambers and also for his insight and advice on my career. I would like to thank Alex Blacutt, Holly Young, and my Aunt
Yvonne Small for helping with edits in this dissertation. Special thanks to mia sorellina Maria Rose Belding for edits and reminding me what a true mission in life really means.

Alex Blacutt, Manisha Rath, Shine Gao, Hugo Gao, Nicole Jozwiak Crenshaw, Stephanie Bolton, and Jane Marian Luis have been the most helpful and friendly colleagues and great company to have for lunch. Special thanks to Alex Blacutt and Stephanie Bolton for being wonderful officemates, soon to be evicted by ants. Rest of my extended family at TMRU I would like to deeply thank for all the help and support I’ve been given over these past 5 years. Especially, Dorothy Hinton, Susan Mewborn, Maurice Snook, Ken Voss, Melinda Vongkunthong, Crickett Wrath, Shawn Cunningham, and my dear friend Jackie Clarke. They have given me special attention and allow me to use equipment, signing me up for courses each semester, and overall giving me sound advice about love, life, and happiness. Special thanks to Charles Bacon for providing me the opportunity and for allowing me to be a part of this USDA extended family that I care about so much.

I would like to thank the University of Georgia, Department of Plant Pathology for the training I’ve received during my PhD. Special thanks to Harald Scherm, John Sherwood, and Ron Walcott for all they have done for me over these past years. Finally, I would like to thank you, the reader of this dissertation and the manuscripts within. I hope my work brings you one step closer to true understanding.

“We hurt people that love us. Love people that hurt us. Hurt people that love us. Love people. I’m inspired. So get inspired”

- Kendrick Lamar
TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.............................................................................................................v

LIST OF TABLES...........................................................................................................................x

LIST OF FIGURES ....................................................................................................................... xi

CHAPTER

1  INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW.....................................................1

   INTRODUCTION .................................................................................................................1

   THE SYMPTOMLESS INFECTION .............................................................................3

   SEEDLING BLIGHT DISEASE .................................................................................5

   APPLICATION OF MYCOTOXIN BIOMARKERS IN PLANT SYSTEMS ..........8

   NITRIC OXIDE IN DEVELOPMENT AND PATHOGENICITY ........................9

   HYPOTHESES ...........................................................................................................15

   LITERATURE CITED ..........................................................................................17

2  THE CURRENT STATE OF MYCOTOXIN BIOMARKER DEVELOPMENT

   IN HUMANS AND ANIMALS AND THE POTENTIAL FOR TRANSLATION

   TO PLANT SYSTEMS.................................................................................................33

   ABSTRACT ...............................................................................................................34

   INTRODUCTION ........................................................................................................36

   ASSESSMENT OF THE STATE OF BIOMARKER DEVELOPMENT IN

   ANIMALS AND HUMANS ....................................................................................39
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.................................................................................................131
LITERATURE CITED .................................................................................................131

5 FLAVOHEMOGLOBIN AND THE DENITRIFICATION PATHWAY IN
DETOXIFICATION OF NITRIC OXIDE FUSARIUM VERTICILLIOIDES:
IMPLICATIONS FOR PATHOGENICITY AND MYCOTOXIN
PRODUCTION ........................................................................................................143
ABSTRACT ..............................................................................................................144
INTRODUCTION ....................................................................................................146
MATERIALS AND METHODS .............................................................................150
RESULTS ..............................................................................................................158
DISCUSSION .......................................................................................................165
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ....................................................................................169
LITERATURE CITED ..........................................................................................169

6 CONCLUSION ....................................................................................................211
LITERATURE CITED ..........................................................................................214
LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER 2
Table 2.1: Current Status of Biomarker Development in Animals and Humans...........................79

CHAPTER 3
Table 3.1: Characteristics of Maize Cultivars.................................................................109
Table 3.2: Characteristics of *Fusarium verticillioides* Strains and Resulting Disease Incidence on
Maize Lines Silver Queen (SQ), B73, and W23..............................................................110
Table 3.3: Percent Tissue Colonization by *Fusarium verticillioides* in Trial 1 and Trial 2 ....111

CHAPTER 5
Table 5.1: Quantitative Real-Time PCR Primers for FVEG_11186 (*FHB1*) and FVEG_13827
 (*FHB2*).............................................................................................................................177
Table 5.2: Top 30 Genes by Fold Increase Expression After Nitric Oxide Exposure to Wild type
M3125 Based on Microarray Analysis ............................................................................178
Table 5.3: DelsGate Primers used to Generate Deletion Constructs for FVEG_11186 (*FHB1*) and
FVEG_13827 (*FHB2*)........................................................................................................180
Table 5.4: DelsGate Primers used to Generate Deletion Constructs for FVEG_08676 (*NIR1*) and
FVEG_10773 *NOR1*)........................................................................................................181
LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER 2

Figure 2.1: A Generalised Scheme for Ranking the Current Status of Biomarker Discovery and Validation for Aflatoxins, Fumonisins, Deoxynivalenol, Ochratoxin A, and Zearalenone ................................................................. 82

Figure 2.2. Flow Chart for Development of \textit{In planta} Biomarkers Resulting from Exposure of Plants to Mycotoxins ................................................................. 84

Figure 2.3. \textit{In planta} Biomarker Detection in Maize Seedlings in Response to Fumonisin Exposure ........................................................................................................... 86

CHAPTER 3

Figure 3.1. Soil Colonization by Strains of \textit{Fusarium verticillioides} ........................................ 112

Figure 3.2. Fumonisin Accumulation in Soil ............................................................................ 114

Figure 3.3. Fumonisin Accumulation in Root Tissue ................................................................. 116

Figure 3.4. Fumonisin B\textsubscript{1} Accumulation in the Leaves ........................................ 118

Figure 3.5. Fumonisin B\textsubscript{1} (FB\textsubscript{1}) Root Accumulation in Silver Queen (SQ), Tama Flint (TF), and W23 ................................................................................................................. 120

Figure 3.6. Fumonisin B\textsubscript{1} (FB\textsubscript{1}) Accumulation in Seedlings Co-exposed with Abscisic Acid (ABA) .................................................................................................................. 122
CHAPTER 4

Figure 4.1. De novo Biosynthesis and Turnover Pathway of Sphingolipids in Plants (from Williams et al., 2007) ........................................................................................................................................135

Figure 4.2. Diagram of a Proposed Stomate Abscisic Acid/Sphingosine-1-Phosphate (ABA/S1P) Signaling pathway (from Coursol et al., 2003) ................................................................................................137

Figure 4.3. *Fusarium verticillioides* and Fumonisin B1 (FB1) Effects on Maize Leaf Transpiration Rates ..................................................................................................................139

Figure 4.4. Maize Stomatal Aperture Assay .............................................................................................................................................................................141

CHAPTER 5

Figure 5.1. Neighbor-Joining Analysis of the Conserved Flavohemoglobins Identified in Filamentous Fungi ........................................................................................................................................182

Figure 5.2. Growth Curves of *Fusarium verticillioides* Wild Type (M3125) Challenged with Nitric Oxide via NO-donor DETA NONOate ........................................................................................................184

Figure 5.3. Quantitative Real time PCR of FVEG_11186 (*FHB1*) and FVEG_13827 (*FHB2*) following Exposure to 1.5 mM DETA NONOate (NO donor) for 15 to 360 min ........186

Figure 5.4. Deletion Construct and Southern Blot to Confirm Deletion of *FHB1* ..................188

Figure 5.5. Deletion Construct and Southern Blot to Confirm Deletion of *FHB2* .................190

Figure 5.6. Multiplex Screening for Identification of *fhb1/fhb2* Double Deletion Mutants.......192

Figure 5.7. Deletion Construct and Southern Blot to Confirm Deletion of *NIR1* .................194

Figure 5.8. Deletion Construct and Southern Blot to Confirm Deletion of *NOR1* ...............196

Figure 5.9. *Fusarium verticillioides* Growth Curves of Wild Type, Deletion Mutants, and Ectopic Controls Challenged with 1.5 mM DETA NONOate (NO Donor) .................................................198
Figure 5.10. Growth of Wild Type and Mutants on Minimal Media Containing Nitrate (40 mM) Under Oxygenic and Hypoxic Environments ...............................................................................200

Figure 5.11. Growth of Wild Type and Mutants on Minimal Media Containing Nitrite (40 mM) Under Oxygenic and Hypoxic Environments .......................................................................202

Figure 5.12. Virulence of Wild Type and Mutants on Susceptible Maize Cultivar Silver Queen (14 Days after Planting) ..............................................................................................................204

Figure 5.13. Morphology and Detection of NO in M3125 (WT) and deletion mutants fhb1, fhb2, and nir1 using the NO probe DAF-FM DA ........................................................................................................206

Figure 5.14. NO Detoxification and Denitrification Pathway ........................................................................................................208
CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW

INTRODUCTION

The ascomycetous fungus *Fusarium verticillioides* (tel. *Gibberella moniliformis*) of the order Hypocreales is a non-obligate plant pathogen of maize causing a number of economically significant diseases including root rot, kernel rot, seed rot, stalk rot, and seedling blight (Kommedahl and Windels, 1981; Kuldau and Yates, 2000). *F. verticillioides* can infect maize at all growth phases, and after initial infection will routinely colonize outward, infecting neighboring tissues and often resulting in systemic infection of the host (Bacon and Hinton, 1996; Bush et al., 2004; Duncan and Howard, 2010; Munkvold et al., 1997; Oren et al., 2003). *F. verticillioides* can decrease maize yield and diminishes grain quality by contamination with the fumonisin mycotoxins and possibly other secondary metabolites including fusaric acid, fusarin C, and moniliformin (Bacon et al., 1996; Marasas et al., 1986; Wiebe and Bjeldanes, 1981).

Fumonisin B₁ (FB₁) poses a threat to food safety, causing a number of species-specific diseases. FB₁ makes up a large portion of the total environmentally-available fumonisins and is the most toxicologically active compared to the next most common analogs FB₂ and FB₃. Twenty-five other fumonisin analogs have been identified that occur naturally in low concentrations (Bartok et al., 2010).

FB₁ contamination of field-grown maize has been determined to be the causal agent in farm animal diseases leukoencephalomalacia (Marasas et al., 1988) and porcine pulmonary
edema (Colvin and Harrison, 1992). Long-term feeding studies have shown that FB₁ and
naturally contaminated maize-based diets containing fumonisins (FB₁, FB₂, and FB₃) are
carcinogenic in liver and kidney of rodents (IARC, 1993, 2002). In addition, fumonisin toxicity
has also been described in cattle, monkeys, rabbits, sheep, ducks, and chickens (IARC, 2002).
Human consumption of fumonisin-contaminated maize in the Transkei region of South Africa
has been associated with increased risk of esophageal cancer (IARC, 1993). A possible link
between fumonisin exposure has also been suggested in parts of the world were maize,
potentially contaminated with fumonisins, is consumed in large amounts and diets are possibly
deficient in folate and B-vitamins (Harris and Shaw, 1995; Marasas et al., 2004). Consequently,
the U.S. Food & Drug Administration has issued industry guidance levels for total fumonisin
contamination allowable in human food and animal feeds.

The concentrations of fumonisin in corn products intended for human consumption are
recommended not to exceed 2-4 ppm depending on how the corn is processed, and the
concentrations deemed acceptable in animal feed vary between species (Voss et al., 2007).
Furthermore, the European Union places a maximum legal limit for cumulative amounts of FB₁
and FB₂ in certain food products, including unprocessed maize (2,000 µg per kg), processed
maize products (1,000 µg per kg), processed maize products for direct consumption (400 µg per
kg), and foodstuffs intended for consumption by infants and children (200 µg per kg) (EU,
2006).

Despite these regulatory measures, incidences of high fumonisin exposure occur, often in
developing countries where corn is a staple crop. Additionally, culling diminished-quality ears or
providing proper storage are generally economically unfeasible in these countries (IARC, 1993).
In a survey program monitoring 2004 – 2011 mycotoxin presence in feed and feed raw materials
worldwide showed South American samples were the most frequently contaminated with fumonisin with 77% of samples testing positive and an average concentration of 2,691 µg/kg (Schatzmayr and Streit, 2013). Also, alarmingly high rates were measured in China in 2011 at a maximum of 77,502 µg/kg (Streit et al., 2013). However, fumonisin contamination does not occur exclusively in developing countries. Streit et al. (2012) compared the occurrence of mycotoxins in European feed and feed raw materials since 2004. Maize samples in 3 EU countries tested 44% positive for fumonisin with a max of 5,114 µg/kg in 2008 (Monbaliu et al., 2010) and feed material tested 66% positive for fumonisins in Southern Europe, with a range of 25-36,390 µg/kg (Griessler et al., 2010). Furthermore, Poland and Switzerland also tested positive for fumonisin contamination in greater than 50% of feed samples with maximum concentrations of 14,470, and 265,000, respectively (Dorn et al., 2011; Grajewski et al., 2012). These recent reports in developed countries show contamination of corn-based animal feed at maximum concentrations far exceeding those known to be toxic to animals.

THE SYMPTOMLESS INFECTION

The term “Symptomless Infection” was utilized by Bacon and Hinton in 1996 to describe the undetected *F. verticillioides* associated with maize in most crop fields. This symptomless endophytic association between the fungus and maize is a notable phenomenon, as without overt symptoms there is an increased possibility of undetected infection leading to fumonisin accumulation in the crop and maize products.

*F. verticillioides* mode of infection and accumulation of fumonisin in maize is in part determined by the genetics of the host plant and the fungus. Infection is also dependent on environmental conditions (Desjardins et al., 2007), particularly moisture (Bush et al., 2004) and
temperature (Murillo-Williams and Munkvold, 2008). Another complicating issue determining symptomatic versus symptomless infection is the origin of infection. Infection via insect or herbivore damage often results in clear symptoms and signs of infection in any maize part and the resulting colonization is more opportunistic and pathogenic than endophytic (Bacon et al., 2006). Seedborne diseases often present symptomless infections (Bacon et al., 2006). In contrast, infections originating from the soil have been described as having a more virulent association with maize (Oren et al., 2003).

The mode of kernel infection was recently discovered to utilize the stylar canal in susceptible lines of maize. The lines that were not susceptible to this type of infection contained a closed stylar canal and had a characteristically tighter wrap with more husk layers and a longer silk channel (Duncan and Howard, 2010). The silk, stalk, and seeds are the major infection points that lead to systematic infection of the plant. The progression of the disease to the kernels has been demonstrated in laboratory experiments and is described in four possible parts: (1) seed to seedling transmission, (2) colonization of the stalk, (3) movement into the ear, and (4) spread within the ear (Munkvold et al., 1997). Though the described processes for kernel infection are well understood, the mechanism for colonization by the fungus to uninfected plant tissue is not (Maiorano et al., 2009). The associations between the presences of the fungus, the fumonisin mycotoxin, and the development of plant disease symptoms are similarly not well understood (Maiorano et al., 2009).

The ecological purpose of maize’s association with the symptomless endophytic *F. verticillioides* is unclear; however, there is some evidence that when maize seedlings are infected with endophytic strains more prolific growth is observed in those seedlings compared to non-infected seedlings (Yates et al., 1997). This endophytic type of association may or may not align
with the goals of limiting consumption of fumonisins. For instance two fumonisin tolerant Tama Flint maize lines were reported containing high concentrations of 170 nmol g⁻¹ (dry weight) FB₁, without development of pathogenic symptoms when watered with 10 µM of FB₁ (Desjardins et al., 2007). It is possible that efforts to breed resistance against *F. verticillioides* could have inadvertently led to selection of a tolerance to fumonisin along with the endophytic nature of the fungus (Bacon and Hinton, 1996).

**SEEDLING BLIGHT DISEASE**

Plant disease type and severity depends on several factors including the environment, genetics of both the fungus and the host, and point of infection. Crop residues left in the field can contain *F. verticillioides* mycelia able to produce both macroconidia and microconidia prolifically and are capable of contaminating soils (Leslie and Summerell, 2006). The maize seedling infection results from either planting kernels in these infested fields or, in the previous season, by kernel infection originating from colonization of the silks by microconidia, spread by wind, water splash, or insect vectors (Munkvold et al., 1997). The seeding blight disease is an important and unique aspect of the *F. verticillioides* infection.

Seedling blight results in a decrease in seedling root mass, stunted aerial growth, and manifestation of various leaf abnormalities (Glenn et al., 2008; Williams et al., 2006; Williams et al., 2007). Also noted are lesions that appear on the roots similar to signs of root rot in mature maize plants (Bacon and Hinton, 1996). As with all *F. verticillioides* diseases, the severity of seedling blight is highly dependent upon the genetics of the host and the fungus. However, another important factor in the severity of the seedling blight is the production of fumonisins. Several studies have focused on the effect of mycotoxin production on the virulence of *Fusarium*
species. In the case of *F. verticillioides* and fumonisins, only the maize seedling blight infection has clear evidence positively correlating virulence to fumonisin production. Research has concluded that for other diseases, such as ear rot and stem rot in mature maize, fumonisin is unnecessary (Jardine and Leslie, 1999; Proctor et al., 2002). However, strains that produce the fumonisins are associated with hyper-aggressiveness toward mature maize plants, resulting in significantly larger stem lesions (Jardine and Leslie, 1999).

Genetic analysis of fumonisin-producing strains in correlation with seedling blight severity first linked fumonisin production to increased virulence, but was later determined to not be necessary or sufficient for disease development (Desjardins et al., 1995). A positive correlation exists, however, between leaf lesion manifestation, decreased root weight, increased seedling stunting, and presence of soil fumonisins during seedling blight infection (Williams et al., 2006). Also determined was that the fumonisin non-producing strains of *F. verticillioides* did not exhibit leaf lesions or as severe root and stem stunting (Williams et al., 2006). A conclusive study by Glenn et al. (2008) established that fumonisin production is a virulence factor impacting maize seedling disease. When comparing progeny crossed from a strain producing high levels of fumonisin and a strain producing no fumonisin there was a strong correlation between production of fumonisin and virulence. In the same study, a banana-infecting strain formally *F. verticillioides* and now considered *Fusarium musae* (Van Hove et al., 2011), produces no fumonisins and is incapable of causing maize seedling pathogenicity. Complementing this strain with the fumonisin biosynthetic gene (FUM) cluster responsible for biosynthesis of FB1, FB2, and FB3 by transformation of the FUM cluster resulted in the production of fumonisins and ability to cause maize seedling blight.
Additionally, watering non-inoculated maize seedlings with FB₁ caused a significant
dozen-dependent reduction in root and shoot mass and increased incidence of leaf lesions
(Williams et al., 2007). Similarly, inhibition of maize callus growth (Vanasch et al., 1992) and
radical elongation has been noted (Doehlert et al., 1994). Spraying FB₁ on maize seedling leaves,
however, did not result in leaf lesions, root, or aerial tissue stunting (Abbas and Boyette, 1992).

Considering that FB₁ uptake in roots can act solely to cause disease symptoms on
seedlings suggest its phytotoxicity. Also, fumonisins are structurally similar to the Alternaria
alternata f. sp. lycopersici (AAL) toxin, and both FB₁ and AAL toxin mimic sphingoid bases and
induce similar toxicity symptoms in tomato, including decreased root and shoot mass and an
accumulation of the free sphingoid bases, sphinganine and phytosphingosine (Abbas et al.,
1994). Fumonisin B1 watering experiments in maize demonstrated similar toxic symptoms and
an examination of the roots found an accumulation of sphinganine, phytosphingosine, and their
respective 1-phosphates caused by the inhibition of ceramide synthase (Williams et al., 2007).
Accumulation of these sphingoid bases and sphingoid base-1-phosphates are suggested to
contribute to the disease symptoms of plant leaves and roots due to their cellular toxicity at high
concentrations (Abbas et al., 1994; Williams et al., 2007).

Similarly, FB₁ is responsible for the disruption of ceramide synthase in mammalian
tissues and is responsible for observed species-specific diseases (Merrill et al., 2001a). One
downstream effect studied in mammalian systems is the disruption of lipid rafts responsible for
cell-to-cell communication (Landry and Xavier, 2006) and regulation of apoptosis (Ayllon et al.,
2002; Gajate and Mollinedo, 2005; Scheel-Toellner et al., 2004). FB₁ has been shown to induce
apoptosis through disruption of lipid rafts in rat oligodendrocytes (Decker, 2004). In plants there
is sufficient evidence of lipid rafts being produced, however, their role in the plasma membrane and potential for fumonisin disruption is unknown.

The underlying mechanisms of how ceramide synthase disruption leads to pathogenic symptoms is also unknown, however, most likely involve the accumulation sphingoid bases and sphinganine in particular (Abbas et al., 1994). At the genetics level fumonisin tolerance is linked to a single heritable trait in maize. Backcrosses of maize FB1-tolerant line Tama Flint and FB1 susceptible line B73 showed resistance to fumonisin was predictable (Desjardins et al., 2007). However, the mechanism behind fumonisin tolerance is still unknown. Fumonisin involvement in the \textit{F. verticillioides} seedling blight infection is likely multi-factorial and highly dependent upon the genetic context of the host-fungal interaction and environmental complexities (Desjardins et al., 2007).

\textbf{APPLICATION OF MYCOTOXIN BIOMARKERS IN PLANT SYSTEMS}

Fumonisins from \textit{F. verticillioides} along with aflatoxin, deoxynivalenol, ochratoxin and zearalenone produced by various \textit{Aspergillus} or \textit{Fusarium} species are considered the most toxigenic contaminates in agricultural systems worldwide (CAST, 2003). Food contamination results in disease outbreaks in farm animals and in the case of aflatoxins in humans; however, identifying mycotoxins as the causal agent can be problematic. Moreover, the effects of mycotoxin toxicity may be hidden or even synergistic with other disease agents, such as hepatitis B virus infection (Groopman et al., 2005). This has stimulated research into the mechanisms of mycotoxin toxicity and led to development of mechanism-based “biomarkers”, which in the case of aflatoxin have linked exposure to human diseases. For the other mycotoxins mechanism-based
and/or exposure-based biomarkers have been used successfully (Van der Westhuizen et al., 2013).

Currently, biomarkers are at different stages of development depending on the mycotoxin and the system. The aflatoxin biomarkers are considered the most advanced and well supported for linking aflatoxin exposure to disease progression in farm and laboratory animals, as well as humans (Groopman et al., 2005). In Chapter 2 we review these biomarkers and suggest aflatoxin to be the gold standard for biomarker development. Additionally, we present our research as an example of how the accumulated knowledge of biomarkers in plant systems are an effective phytopathological tool to track disease development.

**NITRIC OXIDE IN DEVELOPMENT AND PATHOGENICITY**

Pivotal signaling molecules for life include the Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) and Reactive Nitrogen Species (RNS) e.g. hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), superoxide (O$_2^-$), and nitric oxide (NO). These molecules are highly reactive, short lived, and are noted for reacting with a multitude of other cell components. This biochemistry makes ROS and RNS important and potent signaling molecules across kingdoms of life. However, this same biochemistry makes accurate measurements and mechanisms of action difficult to delineate. Therefore, the exact mode of action of these species is not well understood since effects can be dependent of the dose and the system involved. Also, high concentrations of these reactive species by overproduction in the cell leads to oxidative or nitrosative stress and cytotoxic effects (Apel and Hirt, 2004; Thomas et al., 2008).

ROS and RNS have been investigated in animal and plant systems. In plant systems, the oxidative burst of ROS has been established as a main aspect of pathogen response in plants
since Dr. Noriyuki Doke’s ground breaking work in 1983. Potato tuber protoplasts were inoculated with *Phytophthora infestans* or exposed to the cell wall preparation from *P. infestans* and were found to readily produce O$_2^-$ (Doke, 1983). This induction, termed the oxidative burst, is one of the quickest and first detectable events during the incompatible host-pathogen interactions and is crucial for induction of defense genes, manifestation of the hypersensitive response, cross-linking of cell wall components, callose deposition, and phytoalexin production (Apostol et al., 1989; Daudi et al., 2012; Desikan et al., 2000; Devlin and Gustine, 1992; Lamb and Dixon, 1997; O'Brien et al., 2012).

Some similarities between ROS and NO are evident in plant systems, yet the role of NO has received less attention by researchers. NO is a RNS free radical and a form of nitrosative stress. As with ROS, NO acts as a signaling molecule. Highly reactive with an unpaired electron, NO can exist in a variety of reduced states including the NO$^-$ (nitroxyl ion) and NO$^+$ (nitrosonium ion) each having their own unique reaction capability giving NO exceptionally diverse biochemistry (Gow and Ischiropoulos, 2001). Moreover, some important physical aspects of NO include its near instantaneous mobility through membranes (Ignarro et al., 1987; Palmer et al., 1987). The complexity of NO’s effects is also demonstrated in its dual nature as a cytoprotectant and cytostimulant at low concentrations, whereas at high concentrations NO is cytotoxic (Kroncke et al., 1997). NO was first elucidated as a messenger in the immune, nervous and cardiovascular systems in mammals and is the most powerful endogenous signal for vasodilatation yet discovered (Anbar, 1995; Grisham et al., 1999; Lundberg et al., 2008; Pfeiffer et al., 1999).

Phytopathological studies recently demonstrated NO as an important aspect of plant defense against a variety of pathogens. Similar to the ROS oxidative burst that marks the
beginning of pathogen recognition, there is an early NO burst. This has been seen in barley epidermal cells infected with powdery mildew *Blumeria graminis* f. sp. *hordei* (Prats et al., 2005) and in pelargonium infected with necrotrophic pathogen *Botrytis cinerea* (Floryszak-Wieczorek et al., 2007). Also, NO was noted in *Arabidopsis* challenged with the bacterium *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* (Bennett et al., 2005), the tobacco mosaic virus in tobacco (Durner et al., 1998), and the oomycete pathogen *Bremia lactucae* on *Lactuca* (Sedlarova et al., 2011). Additionally, cryptogein, a fungal elicitor from *Phytophthora cryptogea*, induced the NO burst in tobacco epidermal cells (Foissner et al., 2000). However, there is some conflicting evidence about the function of NO in pathogenicity. Exposure of the susceptible tomato cultivar *Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill. cv. "Perkoz" to the pathogenic *Botrytis cinerea* demonstrated an increase in NO levels paired with a decrease in H$_2$O$_2$ levels and an increase in virulence (Malolepsza and Rozalska, 2005). Also, *B. cinerea* endogenous production of NO diffuses to the host plant, triggering the hypersensitive response (HR), a form of localized programmed cell death, which leads to rapid tissue collapse and is highly effective against biotrophic pathogens that depend on the plant for nutrition (Richael and Gilchrist, 1999). However, in this case the HR may benefit the infecting necrotrophic pathogen, being activated by the fungus as a pathogenic strategy (Turrion-Gomez and Benito, 2011). Interestingly, recent studies have shown the sphingoid bases, precursors to sphingolipids, are potent activators of the NO burst in *Arabidopsis* (Guillas et al., 2013). This could have interesting implication on the effect of fumonisins on nitric oxide production in maize.

Induction of NO response results in many downstream effects in plants. For instance, the formation of structural barriers by cell wall modifications in the initial phases of the *Colletotrichum coccodes* – tomato interaction (Wang and Higgins, 2005b). Similarly, Prats et al.
(2005) observed significant papilla deposition co-occurring with the NO burst in the barley- \textit{B. graminis} f. sp. \textit{hordei} interaction. Another well studied effect of the induction of NO is production of secondary metabolites known for defense by the plant. This induction is usually mediated by fungal elicitors and includes metabolites of interest because of their pharmaceutical importance, including ginseng saponin, hypericin, puerarin, catharanthine, and taxol (Hu et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2006; Xu and Dong, 2005; Zheng et al., 2008).

Most notably, NO plays a crucial role in eliciting the hypersensitive response in the incompatible plant-pathogen interactions. In recent years NO has been incorporated into the HR signaling cascade, and has been demonstrated to work synergistically with ROS. The cell death in HR response requires a precise and careful balance between H$_2$O$_2$, O$_2^-$, and NO. The involvement of the NO and ROS product peroxynitrite ONOO$^-$ has also been implicated to trigger global changes in ion flux, extracellular pH, membrane potential, and protein phosphorylation. These changes activate the plant genome encoding program cell death (Clarke et al., 2000; Delledonne et al., 2003; Delledonne et al., 1998; Delledonne et al., 2001; Romero-Puertas et al., 2004). Apart from pathogenic interactions, NO is a signaling molecule regulating plant germination, growth, development, stomatal closure, and abiotic stress (Besson-Bard et al., 2008; Delledonne et al., 1998; Moreau et al., 2010; Neill et al., 2002; Wilson et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2008).

The direct mechanism of NO signaling stems from its redox-based post-translational modification of proteins, including S-nitrosylation, which is a covalent bonding of an NO molecule to a sulfhydryl group on cysteine. This modification affects the protein’s activity, localization, interactions, and folding conformation (Yun et al., 2012). This form of protein
modification can also occur on carrier molecules such as glutathione forming S-nitrosoglutathione and is the reason why a 5 to 15 s half-life signaling molecule can ramify a signal throughout the plant lasting for days. This carrier molecule can perform protein trans-nitrosylation and acts as a bio-reserve for NO (Kettenhofen et al., 2004).

NO can be generated enzymatically or non-enzymatically. For instance, NO can be generated in the plant via nitrite reduction as described under the acidic conditions of the barley aleurone apoplast (Bethke et al., 2004). There are a number of both oxidative and reductive pathways for NO production. However, much controversy underlies the enzymatic production of NO in plants. There is no clear cut model for NO production by a single enzyme despite evidence for a simple enzymatic mechanism. The most extensively described NO-producing enzymes have been nitric oxide synthase (NOS) in mammals. NOS catalyzes a two-step oxidation of L-arginine to NO and citrulline (Moncada and Higgs, 1991). Evidence of “NOS like” activity in plants has been demonstrated in soybean, tobacco, maize and Arabidopsis (Delledonne et al., 1998; Durner et al., 1998; Ribeiro et al., 1999). However, there has been no direct homolog to the NOS gene found thus far in plants. The only close homolog in Arabidopsis (AtNOA1) was shown to have an indirect role in NO production, but not direct synthesis (Guo et al., 2003). A number of other potential NO producing enzymes in plants are cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (Boucher et al., 1992) and the nitrate and nitrite reductases (Rockel et al., 2002). When Arabidopsis was challenged with the bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. maculicola, nitrate reductase was found to be the major producer of NO as double nia1/nia2 mutants failed to produce a NO burst. However, some NO production was still determined to originate from NOS-like activity (Modolo et al., 2005). How NO is produced in the plant is unclear, but remains as an important aspect of plant defense.
Another level of complexity is that fact that NO is also a powerful exogenous and endogenous signaling factor in fungi as well. NO both promotes germination and decreases viability of the blastoconidia of *Candida albicans* (Abaitua et al., 1999). In *Neurospora crassa*, NO has been shown to inhibit photoconidiation (Ninnemann and Maier, 1996). NO influences germination in *Colletrotrichum coccodes* (Wang and Higgins, 2005a), and in *Coniothyrium minitans* (Gong et al., 2007) and sporangiophore development in *Phycomyces blakesleeanus* (Maier et al., 2001). Appressorium formation in the obligate biotrophic powdery mildew fungus *Blumeria graminis* also requires the endogenous production of NO and scavenging by cPTIO can disrupt this process (Prats et al., 2008). The same was shown for *Magnaporthe oryzae* during germination, early development, and appressorium formation (Samalova et al., 2013). In both cases endogenous NO seems to drive the infection and is crucial for pathogenicity. Similar to plants, the agent responsible for production of endogenous NO in fungi is yet to be elucidated and may in fact be more ambiguous. “NOS-like” enzymes have been suggested in *Aspergillus oryzae* and *Glomerella graminicola*, but these are the exceptions since most fungi do not contain NOS sequences in their genomes (Gorren and Mayer, 2007; Turrion-Gomez and Benito, 2011). Nitrite-induced NO production from cultures of *Pythium*, *Botrytis*, and *Fusarium* have been confirmed, but the exact mechanism still remains elusive (Conrath et al., 2004).

One final aspect of NO yet to be discussed is the need for pathogens to detoxify NO during the NO burst. The high concentration of NO during this process is cytotoxic and a compatible pathogen is required to overcome this obstacle. Detoxification for successful pathogenicity has been studied in *Candida albicans* virulence on mice (Ullmann et al., 2004) and in the bacterial pathogen *Erwinia chrysanthemi* on *Saintpaulia ionantha* (Favey et al., 1995). Both systems utilize the highly conserved flavohemoglobins, which are comprised of a globin
domain fused to a ferredoxin reductase like FAD- and NAD-binding modules (Gardner et al., 1998). Flavohemoglobins are sometimes referred to as Nitric Oxide Dioxygenases (NOD) in bacterial systems because of their ability to detoxify NO by oxidation to nitrate (NO$_3^-$). Flavohemoglobins are prolific and conserved throughout all kingdoms of life (Gardner, 2005).

Mutating the *Erwinia chrysanthemi* HmpX flavohemoglobin resulted in a significant increase in NO level accumulation, disease resistance, and an HR response in the *S. ionantha* host (Favey et al., 1995). Interestingly, insertion of *hmpx* into the avirulent *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato avrB suppressed the HR response in *Arabidopsis*, reverting the *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato avrB back to a competent pathogen (Boccara et al., 2005). Similarly, transformation of *Arabidopsis* with the flavohemoglobin from *Escherichia coli* (NOD) also suppressed the NO burst and HR response when challenged with *Pseudomonas syringae* .spv. tomato avrB (Zeier et al., 2004). Finally, *Cryptococcus neoformans* was also shown to require a flavohemoglobin, gene *FHB1*, for both virulence on mice and NO detoxification as found by insertional mutagenesis (Idnurm et al., 2004). The function of flavohemoglobins in detoxification of NO is a virulence factor for a number of pathogens. NO represents a complex and potent signaling compound and antimicrobial compound in pathogenic interactions and the role of NO in the *F. verticillioides*–maize interactions is yet to be explored.

**HYPOTHESES**

The hypotheses put forth in this dissertation were designed to give insight into the roles of fumonisin and nitric oxide in the complex relationship between *F. verticillioides* and maize. The insights given here will further our understanding of the complex interplay of these metabolites and will aid in our ability to limit disease and mycotoxin accumulation in maize.
Chapter 3: The objective of this study was to determine the *in planta* transport of fumonisins and potential mechanisms of uptake into the roots.

**Hypothesis 1** - Transport of fumonisin is maize genotype specific.

**Hypothesis 2** – Fumonisin is mobile *in planta*, accumulates in the leaves, and requires the presence of the fungus in the roots but doesn’t require direct fungal colonization of the leaves.

**Hypothesis 3** – Fumonisin accumulation in the roots is genotype specific.

**Hypothesis 4** – Fumonisin accumulation in the roots is mediated by transpiration and therefore inhibited by the addition of abscisic acid, a plant hormone.

Chapter 4: The objective of this study was to determine the effects of fumonisin on maize transpiration and stomatal aperture.

**Hypothesis 1** – Fumonisin B1 causes an increase in transpiration rates.

Chapter 5: The objective of this study was to determine the role of nitric oxide in *Fusarium verticillioides* pathogenicity on maize.

**Hypothesis 1** – *Fusarium verticillioides* utilizes flavohemoglobins for detoxification of nitric oxide.

**Hypothesis 2** – Exposure of exogenous nitric oxide to *Fusarium verticillioides* triggers induction of detoxification genes.

**Hypothesis 3** – *Fusarium verticillioides* has endogenous production of nitric oxide.
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CHAPTER 2

THE CURRENT STATE OF MYCOTOXIN BIOMARKER DEVELOPMENT IN HUMANS AND ANIMALS AND THE POTENTIAL FOR TRANSLATION TO PLANT SYSTEMS

ABSTRACT

Filamentous fungi that contaminate livestock feeds and human food supply often produce toxigenic secondary metabolites known as mycotoxins. Among the hundreds of known mycotoxins, aflatoxins, deoxynivalenol, fumonisins, ochratoxin A and zearalenone are considered the most commercially important. Intense research on these mycotoxins, especially aflatoxin, has resulted in the development of ‘biomarkers’ used to link exposure to disease risk. In the case of aflatoxin this effort has led to the discovery of both exposure and mechanism-based biomarkers, which have proven essential for understanding aflatoxin’s potential for causing disease in humans, including subtle effects on growth and immune response. Fumonisin biomarkers have also been used extensively in farm and laboratory animals to study the fumonisin-induced disruption of cellular and systemic physiology which leads to disease. This review summarizes the status of mycotoxin biomarker development in humans and animals for the commercially important mycotoxins. Since the fungi responsible for the production of these mycotoxins are often endophytes that infect and colonise living plant tissues, accumulation of mycotoxins in the plant tissues may at times be associated with development of plant disease symptoms. The presence of mycotoxins, even in the absence of disease symptoms, may still have subtle biological effects on the physiology of plants. This review examines the question of whether or not the knowledge gained from mechanistic studies and development of biomarkers in animal and human systems is transferable to the study of mycotoxin effects on plant systems. Thus far, fumonisin has proven amenable to development of mechanism-based biomarkers to study maize seedling disease caused by the fumonisin producer, Fusarium verticillioides. Expanding our knowledge of mechanisms of toxicity and the overt and subtle effects on animal,
human, and plant systems through the identification and validation of biomarkers will further our ability to monitor and limit the damage and economic impact of mycotoxins.

**Key Words:** *Fusarium, Aspergillus*, aflatoxin, deoxynivalenol, trichothecene, fumonisin, ochratoxin, zearalenone
INTRODUCTION

Mycotoxins are low molecular weight secondary metabolites produced by filamentous fungi that frequently occur on foods and are potentially toxic to humans and animals (CAST, 2003). While several mycotoxins are known or suspected to be involved in human disease, many more are known or suspected to contribute to disease in farm and laboratory animals. Because of their widespread occurrence on foods and their potential for toxicity, several mycotoxins pose a threat to food safety and security (CAST, 2003). The mycotoxins that are both known causes of disease and occur in significant amounts in commercially important commodities consumed by humans are limited to aflatoxins, deoxynivalenol (DON), fumonisins (primarily fumonisin B₁ – FB₁ ), ochratoxin A (OTA) and zearalenone (ZEA). These commercially important mycotoxins will be the focus of this review. While there are other mycotoxins that are known to cause or contribute to animal disease, such as ergot alkaloids, macrocyclic trichothecenes and T-2 toxin, they will not be covered in this review.

Despite their widespread presence in grains and other commodities, little is known about the selective advantages conferred to the fungi that produce mycotoxins in planta. Nonetheless, the ubiquitous production of these energetically costly metabolites by naturally occurring fungal strains, within species and across genera, suggests they confer an advantage over non-producing strains. Some have suggested mycotoxins impart an advantage by inhibiting the growth of competing microorganisms for the same niche environment (Fox and Howlett, 2008) while others have suggested that mycotoxins may reduce herbivory by insects (Tanaka et al., 2005) and animals (Clay, 2009). Yet others have suggested that infection and colonisation of the host plant by mycotoxin-producing fungi is enhanced due to phytotoxic effects or an altered physiological state of the plant to better suit the fungus (reviewed in Reverberi et al., 2010). In animal systems,
mechanism-based approaches using biomarkers have contributed greatly to the understanding of mycotoxins and their mechanisms of action as potential contributing factors to human and animal disease. Similar approaches may prove useful for studying plant pathogenicity and the broader physiological effects of mycotoxins in planta. Compared to the knowledge base in animal systems, the biological effects of most mycotoxins in plants are poorly understood and require more in-depth investigation of mycotoxin-based phytotoxicity and related mechanisms of action.

For this review, the mechanism of action of mycotoxins is defined as the initial underlying biochemical or molecular interaction resulting in the principle downstream effects which may include disruption of metabolic and signaling pathways, altered physiological responses, altered cell proliferation and differentiation, and cell death (reviewed in Riley et al., 2011). These initial interactions could be the direct result of the mycotoxin or a metabolite of the mycotoxin. For any mechanism of action it is critical to identify the proximate cause, which is that event that sets everything downstream into motion. An example of an easy to understand mechanism of action is that of a classical agonist binding to an extracellular receptor, which sets into motion a series of downstream effects ending ultimately in some measurable biological response. These responses can sometimes be monitored through the utilisation of detection tools known as ‘biomarkers’.

The Biomarkers Definition Working Group (Atkinson et al., 2001) defined biomarkers as characteristics that objectively measure and indicate the biological state of a pathogenic process or pharmacologic response. In this review we are defining biomarkers as measurable biochemical or molecular indicators of either exposure or biological response to a mycotoxin that can be specifically linked to the proximate cause. Mechanism-based biomarkers often include
changes in the level of specific proteins, cellular metabolites, or gene expression resulting from specific alterations in metabolic or signaling pathways, stress responses, cell proliferation, or cell death. In contrast to mechanism-based biomarkers, exposure-based biomarkers are most often the mycotoxin itself or the metabolized mycotoxin by-product (e.g. glutathione or glucuronide conjugates). These compounds can be detected in easily-accessed biological fluids or tissues. Perhaps the best example is in the case of aflatoxin where the exposure biomarkers are also potential indicators of the proximate cause. Specifically, the covalent binding of metabolically activated reactive metabolites to essential macromolecules, most importantly DNA and proteins (Groopman et al., 2005). Within the context of biomarker utility, many observed down-stream effects may be linked to the proximate cause of toxicity, but their lack of specificity precludes them from being useful for either exposure or effect. An example of this lack of sufficient specificity includes oxidative stress response in both animals and plants. Such broad-based stress responses can be triggered by a number of abiotic and biotic factors.

In this review we briefly summarise the status of mycotoxin biomarker development in humans and animal research for commercially important mycotoxins. We will also address the question of whether or not the accumulated knowledge of biomarkers in animal and human systems is transferable to studies of mycotoxin effects on plant systems using our work examining the cellular and pathological effects of fumonisin on maize seedlings disease as an example. We have characterised both mechanism-based and exposure-based biomarkers associated with seedling disease development, which provides a better understanding of the underlying mechanism of action and provides clues for other potential physiological effects.
ASSESSMENT OF THE STATE OF BIOMARKER DEVELOPMENT IN ANIMALS AND HUMANS

Table 2.1 summarises the likely mechanism of action for each of the mycotoxins considered in this review and also provides our assessment of the current status of biomarker development for each mycotoxin in animals and humans. The rating assigned to status of biomarker development is based on a generalised scheme (Figure 2.1) derived from the validation scheme proposed by Groopman et al. (2008) for molecular biomarker research and specifically aflatoxin and hepatitis B virus as risk factors for cancer. Currently, aflatoxin B$_1$ (AFB$_1$) is the only mycotoxin for which there are validated biomarkers of both exposure and disease risk in humans and animals (Groopman et al., 2005; Wild and Gong, 2010). The AFB$_1$-N7 guanine DNA adducts and AFB$_1$-lysine adducts have been used successfully to study the role of AFB$_1$ as a cause or contributing factor for toxicity and diseases in animals and humans (Wild and Gong, 2010). The G-T transversion mutation in codon 249 in the p53 tumour suppressor gene has also been used successfully in population based studies in humans (Wild and Gong, 2010). The G-T mutation at the codon homologous to human codon 249 has not been demonstrated in AFB$_1$ exposed farm animals (Smela et al., 2001). Nonetheless, studies have shown low levels of p53 mutations in AFB$_1$ treated ground squirls (Rivkina et al., 1994) and rats (Lee et al., 1998), and studies in transgenic mice suggest p53 mutations enhance AFB$_1$ liver tumourigenicity (Tong et al., 2006). Together, these studies have qualitatively and quantitatively verified exposure, linked exposure to mechanism of action, identified high risk populations, defined dose-response relationships and disease thresholds, tested the efficacy of intervention and decontamination methods, and allowed for the prediction of potential interactions and other factors contributing to susceptibility. The
process of biomarker development with aflatoxin (Kensler et al., 2011) is the ‘gold standard’ by which the current state of biomarker development for other mycotoxins should be judged.

Exposure and mechanism-based biomarkers are available for use in animal studies with fumonisin, (reviewed in Shephard et al., 2007; Riley et al., 2011; Routledge and Gong, 2011) and while urinary fumonisin has been used successfully in human studies as an exposure biomarker, fumonisin disruption of sphingolipid metabolism has not been demonstrated in humans. Likewise, the blood or urinary levels of DON as exposure biomarkers work well in laboratory animals and humans (reviewed in Pestka, 2010 a,b; Riley et al., 2011; Routledge and Gong, 2011; Turner, 2010). Additionally, some non-specific mechanism based biomarkers have been used in laboratory animal studies, and to a lesser extent in farm animals (reviewed in Pestka, 2010 a,b; Riley et al., 2011), but there have been no studies linking the DON exposure biomarkers with the mechanism-based biomarkers in humans. For OTA, exposure biomarkers have been used widely in human and animal studies (reviewed in Riley et al., 2011; Routledge and Gong, 2011; Scott, 2007), but because the mechanism of action of OTA is unclear and controversial (Mally and Dekant, 2009; Mantle et al., 2010; Marin-Kaun et al., 2008; O’Brien and Dietrich, 2005; Pföhl-Leszkowicz and Manderville, 2007) progress has been slow for development of validated mechanism-based biomarkers for use in either animal models or human studies. The levels of ZEA and its metabolites in excreta have been used successfully as exposure biomarkers in farm and laboratory animal studies (reviewed in Fink-Gremmels and Malekinejad, 2007; Riley et al., 2011) but not in human studies. The easily observable and pathognomonic overt oestrogenic effects of ZEA have been linked to ZEA exposure in animal studies, but this has not been done convincingly in humans.
APPLICATION OF BIOMARKER-BASED APPROACHES TO PLANT STUDIES

We discussed above how the development of biomarkers has aided the understanding of mycotoxin-associated disease development and identification of underlying proximate causes and mechanisms of action in animals and humans. In this section we will explore the potential translation and application of animal-associated mycotoxin effects and biomarker development to plant systems in order to better characterise the phytopathological interactions between mycotoxigenic fungi and their hosts. In essence, can we apply what we know regarding the animal toxicity of these mycotoxins and their mechanisms of action to the host plants in which the mycotoxins are produced and accumulated? Are these secondary metabolites toxic to the host plants? Is there measurable biochemical and molecular disruption or alteration of cellular processes within the plants? Does such disruption or alteration have any negative impact on the plants, whether overt (disease development) or subtle (altered physiology and development)?

In practice, development of plant-based biomarkers would follow similar procedures as outlined for biomarker development in animal and human systems (Figure 2.2). In natural field environments, exposure of a plant to a mycotoxin occurs through either infection of the plant by mycotoxigenic fungi or through environmental exposures such as the presence of the mycotoxin in the soil. Uptake of water and water-soluble mycotoxins from the soil by the roots may result in accumulation in the roots and perhaps transpiration-mediated shootward mobility of the mycotoxins. Whether through this type of environmental exposure and uptake, or from the \textit{in planta} production and accumulation of the mycotoxin by infecting fungi, a mycotoxin could function as an exposure-based biomarker if the compound is easily detected in plant tissues and correlated to exposure (Figure 2.2). The same may be true for metabolites of the mycotoxin formed by the plants, such as glucoside conjugates.
Given that the proximate causes and mechanisms of action of mycotoxins often involve disruption of universal cellular processes (e.g. protein synthesis or sphingolipid metabolism), individual mycotoxins may have phytotoxic properties in addition to their animal toxicity. Disruption of plant cellular processes and the potential accumulation of associated cellular metabolites could be assessed and quantified for use as mechanism-based biomarkers correlated to specific mechanisms of action and potential adverse effects on plant health and development (Figure 2.2). Our knowledge of mycotoxin effects on animal systems is therefore a valid and useful starting point for evaluating mechanisms of action in plants. An understanding of the mechanistic basis of phytotoxicity exhibited by fungal metabolites allows for in-depth studies into interactions between the fungi and host plants and increases the potential development of refined strategies to monitor or manage fungal virulence and plant disease.

Mycotoxins and phytotoxins have been defined historically as functionally separate fungal secondary metabolites, and in some cases the distinction is justified, for example the production of the host-selective toxin victorin by *Cochliobolus victoriae* (*Helminthosporium victoriae*) that causes Victoria blight of oats (Wolpert et al., 2002). In other cases the mycotoxin-phytotoxin distinction begins to blur, such as the phytotoxin produced by *Alternaria alternata* f. sp. *Lycopersici* (AAL) that causes Alternaria stem canker of tomato (Brandwagt et al., 2000; Gilchrist et al., 1992; Wang et al., 1996). The AAL phytotoxin is a sphinganine analogue very similar in structure to the fumonisin mycotoxins. In fact, FB₁ is able to cause disease symptoms on susceptible tomato that are similar to symptoms caused by AAL phytotoxin (Abbas et al., 1994; Brandwagt et al., 2000; Gilchrist et al., 1992). This review does not further address traditional phytotoxins such as victorin, but we suggest that the approach of biomarker development could be applied to these phytopathogenic systems. Use of exposure-based
biomarkers may be the most amenable approach since mechanistic biomarkers may be more difficult to validate given the nonspecific plant defense responses that occur in response to phytotoxins, such as formation of reactive oxygen species, ethylene signaling, and de novo synthesis of phytoalexins (Wolpert et al., 2002).

Currently, the only intensely studied mechanism-based biomarkers in plant systems are those used in studying fumonisin phytotoxicity. We outline below the *in planta* detection of sphingoid bases and sphingoid base 1-phosphates as mechanism-based biomarkers indicative of sphingolipid metabolism disruption in maize tissues and their correlation to foliar disease development in maize seedlings. While mechanism-based biomarkers may be applicable for studying phytotoxic effects of other important mycotoxins, such data are currently lacking for the most part. In contrast, numerous studies have quantified the *in planta* accumulation of mycotoxins or mycotoxin metabolites (i.e. glucoside conjugates), so we begin by outlining the utility of such assessments as exposure-based biomarkers.

**EXPOSURE-BASED BIOMARKERS FOR *IN PLANTA* MYCOTOXIN ACCUMULATION**

Many studies have quantified the production and accumulation of mycotoxins in different tissues of plants. This is to be expected since analytical assessment of mycotoxin concentrations in agricultural commodities is the basis for monitoring potential animal or human exposure from consumption of those products. For this review we are focusing on studies that have addressed the effects of mycotoxins on plant disease, growth, and development and suggest the utility of exposure-based biomarkers for such studies as a prelude to future development of mechanism based biomarkers.
AFB$_1$ production by *Aspergillus flavus* and *Aspergillus parasiticus* is a major concern due to the toxicity of the mycotoxin and the wide distribution of these fungi on various host plants. Despite near ubiquitous production of AFB$_1$, there have been few studies into the role of the mycotoxin in the biology of *Aspergillus* species (McLean, 1994b). AFB$_1$ was shown to have phytotoxic effects on maize embryos and tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) seedlings. The plants exhibited a dose-dependent response to AFB$_1$ exposure, showing increasing inhibition of root elongation with increasing AFB$_1$ concentrations (McLean, 1994a; McLean et al., 1995). Such root inhibition has been noted in diseased peanuts infected with *A. flavus* and was deemed ‘aflaroot’ (Chohan and Gupta, 1968). Since the mode of action for aflatoxin phytotoxicity is unknown, mechanism based biomarkers are not currently attainable, yet detection of AFB$_1$ in different tissues of the plant can serve as an exposure-based biomarker for detailed examination of phytotoxicity. Detection of *in planta* derived metabolites may also be possible. For example, metabolism of AFB$_1$ to aflatoxicol was documented in parsley (Howes et al., 1991). Aflatoxicol is mutagenic and carcinogenic along with AFB$_1$ and has been detected as a metabolite of AFB$_1$ exposure in animals and humans (Kussak et al., 1998; Mariën et al., 1987; Wong and Hsieh 1978).

DON is one of the few mycotoxins definitely linked to fungal virulence and plant disease development. *Fusarium graminearum* and *Fusarium culmorum* produce. DON and other trichothecenes, including nivalenol and the acetyled DON derivatives, 3-acetyl-deoxynivalenol and 15-acetyl-deoxynivalenol (Kimura et al., 2007). Evaluating the role of DON production on virulence was enhanced by the use of molecular genetics and creation of mutant strains. Specifically, deletion of Tri5, the gene encoding trichodiene synthase, the first committed enzyme in the DON biosynthetic pathway (Kimura et al., 2007), resulted in loss of DON production.
production by *F. graminearum* as well as reduced development of wheat head blight and maize ear rot (Bai et al., 2002; Desjardins et al., 1996; Harris et al., 1999; Proctor et al., 1995). They also were less effective at colonising wheat or barley heads (Boddu et al., 2007; Jansen et al., 2005). The same principles have been demonstrated in other DON-producing *Fusarium* species (Desjardins and Hohn, 1997). Additionally, DON was shown to inhibit root elongation in wheat (Masuda et al., 2007). DON elicited programmed cell death by inducing hydrogen peroxide production and was also linked to increased expression of defence related genes (Desmond et al., 2008). The acute phytotoxic effects of DON and other trichotheccenes have been studied in-depth on the non-host *Arabidopsis thaliana* with similar symptoms noted (Masuda et al., 2007).

Tolerance to DON exposure has been illuminated by studying the *Fhb1* gene associated with resistance to *Fusarium* head blight in wheat (Liu et al., 2006) and the DOGT1 homologue in *Arabidopsis* (Poppenberger et al., 2003). Over-expression of DOGT1, a UDP-glucosyltransferase, in *Arabidopsis* resulted in increased tolerance to DON due to detoxification by transformation to deoxynivalenol-3-O-glucoside (Poppenberger et al., 2003). Further studies in barley have demonstrated conversion to deoxynivalenol-3-O-glucoside even in susceptible barley varieties and a non-enzymatic formation of DON-glutathione adducts that may be suppressing the negative effects of DON (Gardiner et al., 2010). These metabolites as well as DON itself represent the available exposure-based biomarkers.

There has been little work thus far on determining the role, if any, of OTA in the pathogenicity of *Aspergillus ochraceus*, *Aspergillus niger*, and *Aspergillus carbonarius*. A recent study with *A. thaliana* has shown that detached leaves exposed to OTA produce a hypersensitive-like response, suggesting a defence response similar to the effects of DON (Peng et al., 2010). They demonstrated a biphasic oxidative burst resulting from exposure to OTA with
co-occurring down-regulation of antioxidant enzymes, suggesting that the phytotoxic effects of OTA may be associated with the generation of reactive oxygen species, as seen in animal systems (Baudrimont et al., 1994). Detection of OTA in plants is the only available exposure-based biomarker thus far.

Unlike the other mycotoxins, ZEA is not necessarily detrimental to plants and even has been suggested as a seed treatment for increased yield and seed viability since it increases the percentage of viable generative winter wheat embryos, reviving them from vernalisation (Biesaga-Kościelniak and Filek, 2010; Biesaga-Kościelniak et al., 2010; Kościelniak et al., 2009). The effects of ZEA resemble the effects of auxins, although auxins and ZEA share little structural similarity, unlike the animal associated similarity of oestrogen and ZEA. The stimulative effect of ZEA was shown on winter wheat, winter rape, soybean, and spring wheat (Biesaga-Kościelniak and Filek, 2010). Methods are available for detection of ZEA in planta that could facilitate its use as an exposure-based biomarker for more thoroughly evaluating its phytostimulative activity (Biesaga-Kościelniak and Filek, 2010). In animals, ZEA is a substrate for 3-alpha and 3-beta hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (reviewed in Fink-Gremmels and Malekinejad, 2007), important enzymes in steroid metabolism. The ability of ZEA to interfere with hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases has not been investigated in planta. However, in Arabidopsis a putative hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase has been shown to be involved in regulating plant growth and development (Li et al., 2007).

**FUMONISIN EXPOSURE AND MECHANISM-BASED BIOMARKERS IN PLANTS**

The primary producers of fumonisin mycotoxins are Fusarium verticillioides and Fusarium proliferatum. The predominant cause of maize ear rot and fumonisin contamination in the United
States is *F. verticillioides*, while both species are common causes of ear rot in southern Europe (Logrieco et al., 2002; Munkvold, 2003). *F. proliferatum* is of additional concern due to its broad host range (e.g. banana, maize, fig, mango, pine, sorghum, wheat, and native prairie grasses) (Leslie, 1995; Leslie et al., 2004; O’Donnell et al., 1998) and production of additional mycotoxins (Glenn, 2007). With regard to human and animal exposure to fumonisins through maize and its processed products, *F. verticillioides* is generally regarded as the primary concern since it is the dominant *Fusarium* species commonly infecting maize kernels and stalks (Desjardins et al., 2000; Leslie et al., 1990).

In addition to ear rot, *F. verticillioides* is noted to cause seed rot, seedling blight, root rot, and stalk rot (Kommedahl and Windels, 1981; White, 1999). Development of disease will be dependent on genetic variability of *F. verticillioides* populations, the genetic resistance of maize hybrids, and environmental conditions (Desjardins et al., 1995; Duncan and Howard, 2010; Munkvold, 2003). Additionally, ear rot severity is positively correlated with insect feeding damage (Munkvold, 2003). As a result of such variability, symptomless *F. verticillioides* infections are common, and the fungus is generally considered an endophyte capable of systemic infection of maize (Bacon and Hinton, 1996; Foley, 1962). Also, contamination of symptomless kernels with significant levels of fumonisins can occur (Bush et al., 2004), indicating that visual scoring or sorting of symptomatic kernels may not be sufficient to effectively reduce fumonisin exposure to recommended levels. The role of fumonisin production in the development of *F. verticillioides*-associated maize diseases has been thoroughly assessed only for ear rot and seedling blight. Detailed studies with fumonisin-nonproducing strains have shown that fumonisin production is not necessary for development of ear rot (Desjardins and Plattner, 2000; Desjardins et al., 1998, 2002). In relation to maize seedling blight, fumonisins were suggested to increase
the virulence of *F. verticillioides* but were not necessary or sufficient for disease development (Desjardins et al., 1995). More recently a significant positive correlation was demonstrated between leaf lesion development on maize seedlings and the production of FB₁ by *F. verticillioides* (Williams et al., 2006, 2007). Also shown in these studies was a significant inverse correlation between root weight and stalk height and the amount of FB₁ associated with seedling roots. Most notably, fumonisin-nonproducing strains did not cause leaf lesions and had significantly less effect on root weight and stalk height. Similar to the inoculation studies, watering uninoculated maize seedlings with FB₁ caused leaf lesions and a significant dose-dependent reduction in root weight and stalk height. Glenn et al. (2008) provided conclusive evidence supporting the role of fumonisin production on maize seedling disease development. A fumonisin non-producing and non-pathogenic strain possessing a deletion of the fumonisin biosynthetic gene cluster was genetically complemented by transformation with the gene cluster. The complemented transformants were able to produce fumonisins and caused the full suite of seedling disease symptoms. Differences in disease development susceptibility were noted between varieties of maize, with sweet corn genotypes being more susceptible than dent genotypes (Glenn et al., 2008). Desjardins et al. (2005) noted differential sensitivity of maize genotypes to germination and growth on various concentrations of FB₁ and postulated that sensitivity is likely the ancestral trait in maize, with insensitivity being a derived and inheritable trait.

These studies have facilitated the development of both exposure and mechanism-based biomarkers for evaluating the role of fumonisin in maize-*Fusarium* interactions. For example, an important initial physiological process leading to foliar disease development, as well as adverse effects on plant growth, is the absorption and preferential accumulation of FB₁ by roots.
Approximately 10 times the amount of FB1 accumulated in roots compared to fumonisins when growing seedlings were watered with a combined solution of both fumonisins (Zitomer et al., 2010).

Such accumulation of FB1 in maize roots was shown to cause disruption of sphingolipid metabolism. Building on the development of fumonisin-related biomarkers in animals and humans as outlined above, elevated concentrations of sphingoid bases (sphinganine and phytosphingosine) and sphingoid base 1-phosphates (sphinganine 1-phosphate and phytosphingosine 1-phosphate) due to inhibition of ceramide synthase by FB1 was shown in both roots and leaves of maize seedlings (Williams et al., 2006, 2007; Zitomer et al., 2008, 2010). These analyses provided useful mechanism-based biomarkers for evaluating cellular effects of toxicity and were the first to document fumonisin related elevation of the 1-phosphates in plants. Elevated concentrations of sphinganine and phytosphingosine were previously observed in Nicotiana, Lemna, and AAL toxin susceptible varieties of Lycopersicon (tomato) species, with the increase in sphingoid bases occurring prior to the onset of disease symptoms (Abbas et al., 1994). Such increases were not observed in AAL toxin resistant varieties of tomato that were exposed to fumonisins. AAL toxin is a structural analogue of FB1, and as with FB1, the cellular effects of AAL toxin are associated with disruption of sphingolipid metabolism and accumulation of sphingoid bases in the tissues of susceptible varieties of tomato (Abbas et al., 1994). Pharmacological evidence supports the hypothesis that AAL phytotoxicity initially results from the accumulation of sphingoid bases and not the depletion of complex sphingolipids (Spassieva et al., 2002). Myriocin is an inhibitor of serine palmitoyltransferase, the first enzyme in the de novo ceramide biosynthesis pathway that produces sphingoid bases, which are then utilised by ceramide synthase. Co-exposure of susceptible tomato plants to AAL toxin and
myriocin provided a protective effect, reducing phytotoxicity by preventing the buildup of sphingoid bases (Spassieva et al., 2002) and their subsequent metabolism to sphingoid base 1-phosphates.

Williams et al. (2006, 2007) and Zitomer et al. (2008, 2010) have examined the differential effects of FB$_1$ alone versus *F. verticillioides* infection of maize tissues and the *in planta* production of FB$_1$. Interesting distinctions were observed and are summarised in Figure 2.3. Both experimental treatments resulted in accumulation of fumonisin in the roots and inhibition of ceramide synthase as assessed from elevated sphingoid bases and sphingoid base 1-phosphates as mechanistic biomarkers. Yet, only the inoculation experiments detected fumonisin accumulation in the leaves (Zitomer et al., 2008); the fumonisin watering experiments indicated no fumonisin accumulation in the leaves (Zitomer et al., 2010). Furthermore, FB$_1$ was the predominant fumonisin found in the leaves from the inoculation experiments, with only trace amounts of fumonisin B2 and fumonisin B3 detected. Both treatments resulted in accumulation of sphinganine, phytosphingosine, and their 1-phosphates in the leaves. Collectively the data suggest that transpiration mediated shootward movement of fumonisins, sphingoid bases, and sphingoid base 1-phosphates from roots to leaves does not occur as chemically expected. The water-soluble fumonisins have limited movement while the much less soluble sphingoid bases and 1-phosphates appear to be readily translocated from roots to leaves, suggesting the need for some mechanism other than passive translocation through the plant, such as carrier molecules. Furthermore, while fumonisin is required for leaf lesion development in maize seedlings, such disease progression occurs via a mechanism that does not require either endophytic fungal colonisation or fumonisin accumulation in the leaves. Lesion development may occur as a consequence of disrupted sphingolipid metabolism in the roots and subsequent mobilisation of
signal molecules. The sphingoid bases and/or their 1-phosphates may be those molecules, as suggested for AAL toxin (Spassieva et al., 2002), but data are needed to support this hypothesis and the mechanisms leading to leaf lesion formation. Overall these studies demonstrate the information that can be gained using \textit{in planta} biomarkers.

Numerous studies have utilised FB$_1$ as a research tool for studying the molecular events associated with pathogen induced programmed cell death in \textit{A. thaliana} (Watanabe and Lam, 2006; Chivasa et al., 2005; Norholm et al., 2006; Kuroyanagi et al., 2005; Bindschedler et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2008; Shi et al., 2007). Collectively, these studies provide additional evidence for the FB$_1$ inhibition of ceramide synthase and disruption of sphingolipid metabolism as the proximate cause of \textit{F. verticillioides} induced diseases in maize. Interestingly, these studies show that the response to FB$_1$, a fungal metabolite, mimics the responses of plants to infectious pathogens such as \textit{Pseudomonas syringae} (Norholm et al., 2006). Not surprisingly, many of the affected systems in plants are similar to those in fumonisin exposed animals, such as induction of oxidative stress (Shi et al., 2007; Harvey et al., 2008; Bindschedler et al., 2006). One of the systems affected by FB$_1$ in \textit{Arabidopsis} is depletion of extracellular ATP which could be expected given the high levels of sphingoid base 1-phosphates produced in maize plants when ceramide synthase is inhibited and given the fact that sphinganine production is uncoupled from ceramide production in fumonisin-treated cells.

Another potential downstream effect of the fumonisin induced accumulation of sphingoid bases in maize, particularly phytosphingosine, is disruption of stomatal regulation. As demonstrated in \textit{Arabidopsis}, sphingosine kinase is an important component of abscisic acid induction of stomatal closure by phosphorylating sphingosine and phytosphingosine to their respective 1-phosphates (Coursol et al., 2003, 2005). These 1-phosphates were shown to both
induce stomatal closure and inhibit stomatal opening. As outlined above, phytosphingosine and phytosphingosine 1-phosphate significantly increase in concentration in maize leaf tissues when plants are inoculated with fumonisin producing *F. verticillioides* or when uninoculated plants are exposed to fumonisin alone. The potential therefore exists that FB1-induced elevation in sphingoid base 1-phosphates may affect stomatal regulation as they accumulate in leaves.

CONCLUSIONS

The economic cost and health risks due to mycotoxin contamination has provided the impetus for research to better understand the dose-response relationships between mycotoxin exposure and increased risk of disease in humans and farm animals. Development and validation of exposure and mechanism-based biomarkers is critical for the accurate assessment of disease risk. This is best exemplified with aflatoxins, where biomarkers have been successfully used to establish the role of aflatoxins in human disease and to assess the effectiveness of interventions (Kensler et al., 2011). Development and validation of biomarkers for other mycotoxins has progressed considerably, but has not yet reached the same level of practical utility as the biomarkers developed for aflatoxin. For example, the extensive use of elevated sphingoid bases and their metabolites as biomarkers in farm and laboratory animals has validated the dose-response relationships between inhibition of ceramide synthase and the fumonisin-induced disruption of cellular processes leading to the various farm animal diseases and carcinogenicity of FB1 in rodents. Progress has also been made to assess individual exposure in humans using urinary fumonisin, but definitively linking exposure to disease has been unsuccessful largely due to the lack of a validated mechanism-based biomarker in humans. Extension of this knowledge base to experiments addressing the effects of fumonisin on maize and other plants has demonstrated that
inhibition of ceramide synthase is the key event in the mechanism of action resulting in plant disease. This information has resulted in useful biomarkers for studies on disease development and the potential for altered physiological responses in maize that could contribute to susceptibility to other risk factors for diseases. The biomarkers may also prove beneficial to monitoring efforts since their early detection may provide management options for limiting plant disease and accumulation of fumonisin in maize products. Application of similar biomarker development strategies to other plant-fungal systems involving mycotoxigenic fungi could lead to a better understanding of the underlying phytopathology and also the potential of mycotoxins to modulate basic physiological processes that could contribute to the susceptibility of plants to environmental stress and disease.
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Table 2.1. Current Status of Biomarker Development in Animals and Humans. For each mycotoxin the likely mechanism of action is given followed by currently available exposure and mechanism-based biomarkers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mycotoxin</th>
<th>Likely Mechanism of Action</th>
<th>Biomarker</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFB</td>
<td>Metabolism of AFB₁ by cytochrome P450 to intermediates, namely AFB₁ - 8,9-epoxide, which bind to DNA and proteins forming adducts</td>
<td>AFB₁-DNA adducts</td>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>Exposure &amp; mechanistic</td>
<td>Farm</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lab</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AFB₁-albumen adducts</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>Farm</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lab</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DNA mutation in codon 249 (p53 tumor suppressor gene)</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Mechanistic</td>
<td>Farm</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lab</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AFM₁</td>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>Farm</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Urine</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lab</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DON</td>
<td>Induces proinflammatory cytokines via MAPK pathways, induces expression of suppressor of cytokine signalling (SOCS), impairs expression of hepatic insulin-like growth factor acid labile subunit (IGFALS) and insulin-like</td>
<td>DON and DON-glucuronide</td>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>Farm</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lab</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Feces</td>
<td></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proinflammatory cytokines</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Mechanistic</td>
<td>Farm</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lab</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Up-regulation of hepatic SOC3 and mRNA/protein</td>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td>Mechanistic</td>
<td>Farm</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lab</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decrease of IGFALS and IGF1</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Mechanistic</td>
<td>Farm</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lab</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
growth factor 1 (IGF1)

Ribotoxic stress response caused by DON-induced activation of p38, JNK and ERK via RNA-activated protein kinase (PKR)- and hematopoietic cell kinase (Hck)-dependent mechanisms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FB</th>
<th>Disruption of sphingolipid metabolism though inhibition of ceramide synthase</th>
<th>Elevation of sphingoid base and sphingoid base 1-phosphate and depletion of more complex sphingolipids</th>
<th>Blood Tissue</th>
<th>Mechanistic Farm Lab Human</th>
<th>FB1 ++</th>
<th>FB1 +++</th>
<th>FB1 ++</th>
<th>FB1 +</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OT</td>
<td>OTA disrupts phenylalanine related processes (i.e., phenylalanine metabolism, protein synthesis, etc. Disrupts signalling pathways and processes regulating cell growth and survival.</td>
<td>OTA or OTA metabolites/conjugates</td>
<td>Blood Urine Tissue</td>
<td>Mechanistic Farm Lab Human</td>
<td>OTA-DNA adducts (controversial)</td>
<td>OTA-DNA adducts</td>
<td>Blood Urine Tissue</td>
<td>Mechanistic Farm Lab Human</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabonomic assessment of metabolite changes</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Mechanistic</td>
<td>Farm</td>
<td>Lab</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabonomic assessment of metabolite changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanistic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab</td>
<td>++</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ZEA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZEA</th>
<th>Effects are based on similarities to estrogen. Conversion to alpha ZOL exacerbates estrogenic effects of ZEA. Both ZEA and alpha ZOL disrupt steroid metabolism.</th>
<th>ZEA, ZOL, and ZAL</th>
<th>Urine</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Farm</th>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Human</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Urine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Feces</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucuronic acid-conjugates</td>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>Farm</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feces</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lab</td>
<td></td>
<td>+++</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine disruption</td>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td>Mechanistic</td>
<td>Farm</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lab</td>
<td></td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. The column labeled “location” indicates the biological material in which the biomarker is detected. Tissue is normally liver or kidney, but other organs are also possible. Blood includes serum, plasma and/or whole blood. For simplicity, the column labeled “Species” includes “Farm” (animals raised for commercial purposes), “Lab” (studies in laboratory animals), and “Human” (development of human biomarkers). Current status is given for “Species” and are indicated as follows; + = theoretically possible but not convincingly demonstrated, ++ = *in vitro* experimentation only or *in vivo* studies without dose-response relationships for the biomarkers, +++ = correlation demonstrated between exposure and/or disease incidence and the biomarker.
Figure 2.1. A generalised scheme for ranking the current status of biomarker discovery and validation for aflatoxins, fumonisins, deoxynivalenol, ochratoxin A, and zearalenone. This scheme is based on that of Groopman et al. (2008) but focuses in a generic way on discovery, development and validation of both exposure biomarkers and mechanism-based biomarkers. Each box represents a step in the discovery and experimental validation of the biomarkers with the ultimate goal being the demonstration of the potential for the mycotoxin to be a cause or contributing factor to animal or human disease.
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**Figure 2.2.** Flow chart for development of *in planta* biomarkers resulting from exposure of plants to mycotoxins. Mycotoxins gain entry to the plant from either fungal infection or environmental exposure (e.g. uptake from the soil). The initial mechanism of action is the first specific interaction that is required for disruption of metabolic and cellular processes. The resulting changes in levels of metabolites and regulatory molecules directly linked to the mechanism of action are the best candidates for mechanism-based biomarkers (shaded boxes). The parent mycotoxin and/or its metabolites are the best candidates for exposure-based biomarkers (white boxes) and should be easily detected in plant tissues. The *in planta* accumulation of the parent mycotoxin, its metabolites, and the resulting evidence of disruption of metabolic and regulatory processes should correlate in a dose-dependent manner in order to be validated as biomarkers.
Figure 2.3. *In planta* Biomarker Detection in Maize Seedlings in Response to Fumonisins

Exposure. The figure is based on data from Zitomer *et al.* (2008, 2010) and indicates detection of FB₁, sphingoid bases, and sphingoid base 1-phosphates in seedling tissues. Experiments consisted of either *F. verticillioides* infection of the seedlings (left) or exposure to only water-dissolved FB₁ (right). Infections resulted from planting seed inoculated with *F. verticillioides* (approx. 2500 conidia/seed). In the watering experiment, pots of 10 uninoculated seedlings were exposed to a total of 4.71 mg FB₁. Both treatments resulted in high accumulation of FB₁ in the soil. FB₁ also accumulated in the roots, causing inhibition of ceramide synthase and increased accumulation of sphingoid bases and sphingoid base 1-phosphates. Use of these exposure and mechanistic biomarkers highlights the different effects resulting from infection (presence of fungal hyphae producing FB₁ *in planta*) compared to presence of FB₁ solely. *F. verticillioides* infected seedlings accumulated noticeable levels of FB₁ in the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd leaves (and very little FB₂ or FB₃), while no FB₁ was detected in the any of the leaves from the FB₁-watering treatment.
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ABSTRACT

Studies have shown that the fumonisin B1 (FB1) mycotoxin is necessary for development of leaf lesions and other disease symptoms during maize seedling infection by *Fusarium verticillioides*. Similarly, watering uninfected seedlings with an aqueous FB1 solution also results in leaf lesions, yet the FB1 was not detected in the leaves. The objectives of this study were to thoroughly address FB1 mobility and accumulation in seedlings and determine if proximal infection by *F. verticillioides* is necessary for accumulation. Tissue and soil samples were analyzed to compare wild-type *F. verticillioides* against an aconidial mutant. Inoculation with either strain caused lesion development and accumulation of FB1 in leaves, but the mutants were unable to colonize blighted leaves. FB1, FB2 and FB3 were detected in the soil and seedling roots, but only FB1 was detected in the leaves of any treatment. Such accumulation may be mediated by transpiration since abscisic acid-mediated stomatal closure reduced FB1 uptake into the roots in an *in vitro* assay. Also, differential root accumulation of FB1 was observed between maize genotypes. Our data suggest root infection by *F. verticillioides* is necessary for accumulation of FB1 in leaves, and while the mechanism for accumulation is not yet clear, it does not require colonization of the leaves.
INTRODUCTION

The phytopathogenic and mycotoxigenic ascomycete *Fusarium verticillioides* is the primary fungal pathogen associated with maize cultivation worldwide. Maize can become infected with *F. verticillioides* at all growth stages, causing several types of disease, including seedling blight, stalk rot, seed rot, root rot, and kernel or ear rot (Kommedahl and Windels, 1981). Infection of maize often precipitates the production and accumulation of various mycotoxins, including fumonisins, fusaric acid, and fusarin C (Bacon and Hinton, 1996; Fotso et al., 2002; Giesbert et al., 2008; Marasas et al., 1986; Wiebe and Bjeldanes, 1981). Of these mycotoxins, fumonisins are the most environmentally common and can occur in high concentrations in maize. There are over 28 isomers of fumonisins, although most are rare and occur naturally in low concentrations (Bartok et al., 2010). Fumonisin B₁ (FB₁) is the most important of this group, making up a large portion of the total fumonisins, followed respectively by FB₂ and FB₃. FB₁ is also the most toxicologically active. FB₁ contamination of field-grown maize has been determined as the causal agent in farm animal diseases, including leukoencephalomalacia (Marasas et al., 1988) and porcine pulmonary edema (Colvin and Harrison, 1992), and human consumption of fumonisin-contaminated maize has been associated with human cancer (IARC, 2002) and neural tube defects (Marasas et al., 2004).

The mechanism of fumonisin toxicity involves inhibition of ceramide synthase and disruption of sphingolipid metabolism resulting in accumulation of toxic levels of sphingoid base metabolites and depletion of complex sphingolipids (Wang et al., 1991). Fumonisins are structural analogs of the sphingoid bases, and are also similar in structure to another well-studied fungal phytotoxin from *Alternaria alternata* f. sp. *lycopersici*, the AAL toxin. Previously demonstrated to inhibit ceramide synthase as well, the AAL toxin is necessary for the formation
of stem cankers on susceptible tomato (Abbas et al., 1994; Brandwagt et al., 2000; Spassieva et al., 2002). Functioning with effects similar to the AAL toxin, it has been shown that fumonisin is phytotoxic (Glenn et al., 2008) and may act to suppress plant defense mechanisms in Nicotiana and maize (Sanchez-Rangel et al., 2012; Vicente et al., 2013).

The role of fumonisins in the infection process is not fully understood and may play multiple and different roles depending on the mode of *F. verticillioides* infection. For example, fumonisins are not necessary or sufficient for ear rot development in maize (Desjardins et al., 2002; Desjardins et al., 1998). Yet, seedling blight development symptoms, including reduced root weight, stunting, and the appearance of leaf lesions and leaf abnormalities, require fumonisin production. This was demonstrated by Glenn et al. (2008) when seedling pathogenicity was successfully rescued from a non-fumonisin-producing strain by complementing the ability to produce fumonisin with insertion of the *FUM* gene cluster.

Furthermore, the correlation was determined between levels of fumonisin production by strains of *F. verticillioides* and occurrence of the leaf lesions in seedling blight infections (Williams et al., 2007). In that study, the aconidial strain AEG 3-A3-6 was noted to produce fumonisins and cause leaf lesions, but was inferred to lack the ability to colonize the aerial tissues of the host. Questions arose from that study on the correlation between leaf lesions and occurrence of fumonisins. It was assumed that leaf lesions were a result of fumonisin accumulation in the tissues, but this was unconfirmed. Subsequent investigation of the aerial tissues by analyzing the first, second, and third leaves determined the highest fumonisin accumulation occurred in the first leaf of maize seedlings grown from seed inoculated with *F. verticillioides* (Zitomer et al., 2008). Accumulation of fumonisins in these tissues is noted as containing a significantly higher ratio of FB₁ to FB₂ and FB₃ compared to the observed
accumulations in the roots (Zitomer et al., 2008), suggesting a mechanism of either greater accumulation of FB1 over the other isomers by selective transport or by selective production of FB1 by the fungus colonizing the leaf. Further questions arose about the mechanism of fumonisin accumulation when uninoculated seedlings were watered with FB1 and FB2 without detectable accumulation in the leaf tissues (Zitomer et al., 2010), thus suggesting the fungus is essential for accumulation of FB1 in the leaves. In this study we address the colonization and fumonisin accumulation discrepancies of why FB1 does accumulate in leaves infected with *F. verticillioides*, yet does not accumulate in leaves when uninfected seedlings are watered with an aqueous FB1 solution. Additionally, we also address the potential necessity of conidia for colonization of the seedlings. A holistic sampling strategy was utilized to address the following questions: (i) what is the extent of colonization in maize seedlings when seeds are inoculated with fumonisin-producing and non-producing strains in combination with wild-type conidiation versus aconidial phenotypes, (ii) what is the resulting fumonisin accumulation in those seedlings, (iii) is the pattern of fumonisin accumulation and *F. verticillioides* colonization different in fumonisin-insensitive compared to -sensitive maize lines, and (iv) does transpiration have a possible role in the movement and accumulation of FB1?

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Chemicals.** Acetonitrile (MeCN) (Burdick & Jackson, Muskegon, MI, USA) and water (J.T. Baker, Phillipsburg, NJ, USA) were HPLC grade, and formic acid (>95%) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was reagent grade. Fumonisin B1 (FB1), fumonisin B2 (FB2), and fumonisin B3 (FB3) for standard preparation were provided as a gift from Ronald Plattner, National Center for Agricultural Utilization Research, USDA-ARS, Peoria, IL, USA. D-erythro-C17-sphingosine-1-
phosphate (C\textsubscript{17}-So 1-P), D-ribo-phytosphingosine-1-phosphate (Pso 1-P), D-erythro-sphingosine 1-phosphate (So 1-P), and D-erythro-dihydro-sphingosine-1-phosphate (Sa 1-P) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL, USA). Phytosphingosine (t18:0) (Pso), DL-erythro-dihydro-sphingosine (d18:0) (Sa), and D-erythro-sphingosine (d18:1) (So) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. D-erythro-C\textsubscript{16}-sphingosine (C\textsubscript{16}-So) was from Matreya (Pleasant Gap, PA, USA). Stock solutions, working standards, and internal standards were prepared as previously described \textsuperscript{22}. Sucrose (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), Murashige and Skoog Basal Medium Macrosalts and Microsalts (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and Bacto\textsuperscript{TM} Agar (Difco Lab., Sparks, MD, USA) were utilized for the plant nutrient agar.

**Extraction and analysis of fumonisins, sphingoid bases, and sphingoid base 1-phosphates.**

The extraction procedure has been reported previously (Zitomer et al., 2008). Briefly, lyophilized maize leaf tissues were ground to a powder, spiked with C\textsubscript{16}-So and C\textsubscript{17}-So 1-P internal standards (10 \textmu L each at 100 ng/\textmu L), and then extracted with an aliquot of 1:1 MeCN:water + 5\% formic acid (1 mL per 10 mg tissue). The filtered extracts (100 \textmu L aliquots) were diluted into the initial mobile phase (900 \textmu L) used for reverse phase-high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC). Soils were extracted in a similar manner in 1:1 MeCN:water + 5\% formic acid (25 mL per 2 g).

All analyses were performed as described previously (Zitomer et al., 2008). Analyses were conducted using a Finnigan Micro AS autosampler coupled to a Surveyor MS pump (Thermo-Fisher, Woodstock, GA, USA). Separation was accomplished using an Imtakt Cadenza 150×2 mm i.d., 3 \textmu m CW-C18 column (Imtakt, Philadelphia, PA, USA). Column effluent was coupled to a Finnigan LTQ linear ion trap mass spectrometer (MS).
**Fungal strains.** The four strains of *F. verticillioides* used in this study were JFL-A04516 (JFL = John F. Leslie, Department of Plant Pathology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA), AEG 3-A3-2 (AEG = Anthony E. Glenn, Russell Research Center, USDA-ARS, Athens, GA, USA), AEG 3-A3-5, and MRC826 (Medical Research Council, Tygerberg, South Africa). Both AEG strains have an inability to produce conidia (Glenn et al., 2004). AEG 3-A3-5 produces fumonisins while AEG 3-A3-2 does not. Strain JFL-A04516 also does not produce fumonisins due to a mutation in *FUM1*, a polyketide synthase necessary for fumonisin production (Desjardins et al., 2002). MRC826, as our positive control, is wild type for fumonisin production and conidiation.

**Maize line and maize seedling assay.** The maize lines used were Silver Queen (Gurney’s Seed & Nursery Co., Yankton, SD, USA), a sweet corn line that is susceptible to *F. verticillioides*-induced maize seedling disease (William et. al., 2007); W23 (The Germplasm Resources Information Network (GRIN), accession number NSL 30060), a resistant field corn inbred; and B73 (GRIN, accession number Bc5S4), a moderately resistant field corn inbred. Maize kernels were treated as described previously (William et. al., 2007). Briefly, kernels were surface-disinfected for 10 min in 100% commercial bleach (5.25% hypochlorite), rinsed with sterile water, and allowed to imbibe for 4 h in sterile water. The seeds were then heat shocked by placing them in a 60 °C water bath for 5 minutes for internal sterilization (Bacon and Hinton, 1994). Seeds were inoculated with a $10^4$ spores per mL suspension and incubated overnight at 27 °C. Three replicates of 10 seeds each were planted in sterile 10-cm plastic azalea pots (Hummert
International, Earth City, MO, USA) containing twice-autoclaved commercial potting soil mix (Conrad Faford Inc., Agawam, MA, USA).

The treatments were as follows: SQ/Control, SQ/MRC 826, SQ/AEG 3-A3-2, SQ/AEG 3-A3-5, SQ/JFL-A04516, W23/Control, W23/MRC826, B73/Control, and B73/MRC826. Pots were watered as needed throughout the duration of the assay. Assays were performed under aseptic conditions in a plant growth chamber at 30 °C under 14 h light (cool-white, high-output fluorescent tubes at an average of 254 µmol/m²/s) and a 10-h dark regimen at 20 °C. Plant tissues were collected for analysis 14 days after planting. During harvest, the shoot portions of the seedlings were separated into first, second, and third leaves (numbered in order of their emergence and expansion) for each replicate pot. Leaves were excised approximately 5 mm from the ligule, such that all portions of the sheath were excluded from the analyses. After excision of the leaves, 2-3 cm section of the leaf was excised and stored for culturing. An approximate 3- to 4-cm section of the stem between the soil surface and the first leaf was also excised and stored for culturing. Root tissues were also collected and processed as described previously (William et al., 2007). During root harvest, a section of the mesocotyl (as large as possible) was also excised and stored for culturing. All tissues removed for culturing were stored briefly at 4 °C. The root and leaf tissues to be analyzed for fumonisins, sphingoid bases, and sphingoid base 1-phosphates were immediately frozen at -20 °C.

**In planta colonization.** Plant tissues reserved for culturing were surface sterilized according to tissue type. Leaves were sterilized in 10% bleach solution for 10 minutes followed by a 95% ethanol wash for 5 minutes. Stems and mesocotyls were sterilized in 20% bleach for 15 minutes followed by a 95% ethanol wash for 10 min. Tissues were rinsed 5 times with sterile distilled
water (dH₂O) then plated on potato dextrose agar (PDA, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) and incubated at 27 °C to assess for internally colonizing fungal strains. Tissue infection was observed after 6 days and checked again after 10 days to allow for any slow-growing fungal strains. Fungal isolates were visibly identified to genus, and to species if possible. *Fusarium* isolates were microscopically examined for production of conidia, following culture on PDA.

**Soil colonization.** In the first trial, soil was collected from each individual pot and air dried for 2 weeks in open plastic bags. After 2 weeks, 1 g of soil was added to 100 mL of autoclaved dH₂O and placed on a 250 rpm rotary shaker for 10 minutes. A 100,000-fold dilution was made of each soil sample and 100 μL each of this dilution was plated in triplicate on PDA. Plates were incubated at 27 °C for 4 days. After 4 days, colony-forming units (CFU) were identified as *Fusarium* or other genera. The second trial was quantified in the same manner, but was performed using wet soil and sampled immediately at the end of the trial to detect fungi that may have been sensitive to desiccation in the first trial.

**In vitro test tube exposure of FB₁.** Maize kernels were sterilized and imbibed as described previously (Williams et al., 2007). Lines utilized were Silver Queen and W23. For this trial only we also assessed Tama Flint (GRIN, accession number NSL 217411), which was previously noted to be very insensitive to FB₁ (Desjardins et al., 2005). Individual kernels were placed in culture test tubes (Kimble glass co., 25 x 150 mm) with 4 mL of plant agar media (Bohorova et al., 1999). Maize seedlings were grown for 7 days under the same growth chamber conditions noted above and culled for fungal contamination. Using a syringe, FB₁ (10 μM), abscisic acid (ABA; 200 μM), or FB₁ and ABA (10 μM and 200 μM) was injected into the media. Plants were
harvested after 24 and 48 hours by separating the leaves and stems from the roots. Care was taken not to allow the leaves or stems to have contact with the FB₁ containing agar media. Samples were lyophilized and extracted as mentioned above to assess FB₁ accumulation in the plant.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis was performed using SigmaStat software (Jandel Scientific, San Rafael, CA, USA). When many groups were compared, one-way analysis of variance was used, followed by post-hoc multiple comparisons. Except where indicated otherwise, all data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation, and differences among means were considered to be significant if the probability (P) was ≤ 0.05. All results for plant tissues are expressed as dry weight.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We hypothesized fumonisin is mobile *in planta*, accumulates in the leaves, and requires the presence of the fungus in the roots but doesn’t require direct fungal colonization of the leaves. Thus it should follow that fumonisin accumulation in the leaves is likely via a plant derived mechanism impacted by the fungus in a manner other than direct colonization of the leaves. In order to assess colonization and co-localization of fumonisin accumulation during seedling infection, the sweet corn cultivar Silver Queen was inoculated with *F. verticillioides* strains MRC826, JFL-A04516, AEG 3-A3-2, or AEG 3-A3-5. Silver Queen is highly susceptible to fumonisin-related seedling blight (Glenn et al., 2008; Williams et al., 2006; Williams et al., 2007). Additional maize genotypes were assessed, and their differing features are summarized in Table 3.1. Results are presented separately for trial 1 and trial 2 since these data could not be
grouped statistically because of significant differences in colonization rates and fumonisin accumulation between trials. Both trials independently show patterns that support to the same conclusions despite the significant biological variation. Silver Queen seedlings demonstrated a clear correlation between seed inoculation with fumonisin-producing strains and development of disease symptoms (Table 3.2). We also assessed the fumonisin insensitive inbreds W23 and B73 in trial 1 only. These seeds were generally contaminated with several naturally occurring species, such as *Acremonium*, *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium*, and *Fusarium*, despite our attempts to sterilize the seed. When inoculated with MRC826, B73 showed no symptoms of seedling blight. Similarly, W23 had very few seedlings with disease symptoms.

Soil analysis was performed to correlate the ability of *F. verticillioides* to colonize the soil during seedling blight infection, with and without the ability to produced conidia and/or fumonisins. Colonization of the dried soil from trial 1 was significant for MRC826 and JFL-A04516. In contrast, no colonization was observed for AEG 3-A3-2 and AEG 3-A3-5 (Figure 3.1A) even at low serial dilutions. For inbreds B73 and W23, soil colonization was observed in both control and MRC826 treatments, which was not surprising given the seed contamination noted above. When performing the second trial, wet soil was sampled to determine if the aconidial strains AEG 3-A3-2 and AEG 3-A3-5 were more susceptible to desiccation effects on viability (Figure 3.1B). Soil colonization was noted for AEG 3-A3-2 and AEG 3-A3-5, even though the CFU calculations were 250- to 500-fold less compared to the conidia-producing wild-type MRC826 (Figure 3.1B). The drying procedure in the first trial apparently did affect the viability of these aconidial strains, likely due to the lack of drought resistant structures. Other than this difference in how the soils were sampled for colonization (dry versus wet), all other experimental protocols were identical between Trials 1 and 2.
Figure 3.2 show fumonisin accumulation in the soil, and Figure 3.3 in the roots. Trials 1 and 2 provided similar results, where only fumonisin-producing strains resulted in fumonisin accumulation in the soil or the root tissues. Relatively small amounts of fumonisins were detected in soils from inbreds B73 and W23. Only a small amount of FB1 (0.34 ± 0.2 nmol/g) was detected in the roots of W23 inoculated with MRC826. Despite the lower soil colonization detected for AEG 3-A3-5, the levels of fumonisin contamination in the soil were not statistically different from MRC826 (Figure 3.2). A similar trend was noted for fumonisin in roots (Figure 3.3). Statistical differences were noted for fumonisin accumulation in roots of MRC826 and AEG 3-A3-5 in trial 2 (Figure 3.3B), suggesting that fumonisin production may be tightly associated with the plant root and not bulk colonization of the soil.

Tissue colonization by the different strains of *F. verticillioides* is summarized in Table 3.3. Differences were noted between trials 1 and 2 for frequency of colonization, which suggested that colonization of the plant tissue can be highly variable. Some colonization of the mesocotyl and stem was observed in Silver Queen uninoculated controls in both trials and may be a testament to the sensitivity of the test and the difficulties of preventing cross contamination despite precautionary efforts. It should be noted that despite colonization of the Silver Queen control roots, there was no detection of fumonisins in the soil or roots, suggesting the background colonization had minimal effects on the parameters we assessed during the course of this study. The AEG 3-A3-2 and AEG 3-A3-5 strains had minimal colonization of the mesocotyl and no colonization of the stem in trial 1, but showed higher colonization rates in trial 2 (Table 3.3). Neither AEG 3-A3-2 nor AEG 3-A3-5 colonized the leaf tissues in trials 1 and 2. Trial 1 resulted in limited colonization of the mesocotyl, whereas trial 2 had 100% colonization of the mesocotyl and limited colonization of the stem. Interestingly, fumonisin accumulation in the
leaves (Figure 3.4) was clearly evident for AEG 3-A3-5 despite the inability to colonize the leaves. In trial 1, only MRC826 colonized the first leaf. In trial 2, colonization was noted for MRC826 and JFL-A04516 in all three leaves at varying rates. Although the trials indicate that leaf colonization is variable, it is clear that the aconidial strains AEG 3-A3-2 and AEG 3-A3-5 are greatly attenuated in their ability to infect the leaves of seedlings.

Only FB$_1$ was detected in the leaves, which supports the observations of Williams et al. (2007) and Zitomer et al. (2008). Trial 1 (Figure 3.4A) and trial 2 (Figure 3.4B) had similar accumulation of FB$_1$ in the first and second leaves for MRC826 and AEG 3-A3-5 inoculated Silver Queen despite the fact that AEG 3-A3-5 did not colonize leaf tissue (Table 3.3). The inbreds B73 and W23 had no detectable FB$_1$ in the leaves despite higher rates of colonization than in Silver Queen (Table 3.3). Colonization was counterintuitively higher in the controls in all leaf tissues for the inbreds B73 and W23 compared to the MRC826 inoculated plants. Perhaps inoculation triggered plant defenses, thus lowering colonization frequency. This observation requires further trialation.

Our data suggest *F. verticillioides* is necessary for accumulation of FB$_1$ in leaves, but that leaf colonization is not required for FB$_1$ accumulation in these tissues. Further investigations are necessary for understanding what this mechanism may be and how it preferentially targets FB$_1$. Furthermore, is this mechanism an important part of colonization of the leaves and progression of seedling blight symptom development? Perhaps of most interest is how the resistant inbreds seem to suppress growth of *F. verticillioides* and its capacity for fumonisnin production and tissue colonization.

To refine our observations with inbred W23 and eliminate any influence of the fungi contaminating the seed, an experiment was performed on plant nutrient agar in test tubes to
quantify the uptake of FB$_1$ (10 µM) into the roots and aerial tissues when the FB$_1$ is added to the agar (Figure 3.5). Silver Queen and Tama Flint were included as well. The insensitivity of Tama Flint to FB$_1$ was noted previously (Desjardins et al., 2005). Germinated seeds were screened to eliminate any samples having fungal contamination.

Analysis of the FB$_1$ accumulation in the roots revealed a significant decrease in root uptake of FB$_1$ for W23 and Tama Flint compared to Silver Queen. No FB$_1$ was noted in the aerial tissues in all three maize lines (data not shown). As suggested by the seedling blight assays, the test tube assay indicated the FB$_1$-insensitive maize lines W23 and Tama Flint absorb less bioavailable fumonisin than does Silver Queen. The mechanism for absorption of fumonisin into the roots may explain differences in the FB$_1$-sensitive and -insensitive genotypes in this seedling model. Another possibility is that uptake of FB$_1$ may be driven by transpiration and bulk flow. The hormone abscisic acid (ABA) is known for triggering stomatal closure, thus limiting transpiration. Effectively, this function shuts down water loss in the plants (Schroeder et al., 2001). We hypothesized that if FB$_1$ uptake is dependent on transpiration and bulk flow, then the addition of ABA should limit uptake by closing stomata. To investigate this possibility, Silver Queen was co-exposed to ABA and FB$_1$ in test tube assays. FB$_1$ absorption was significantly less in ABA co-exposed roots after 48 hours, suggesting transpiration may have a role in FB$_1$ absorption into the roots (Figure 3.6).

In summary, local colonization of maize seedling leaf tissue is not necessary for accumulation of FB$_1$ in those leaves based on our Silver Queen model, but the mechanism for movement of FB$_1$ into the leaf tissues does require the presence of the fungus. Such movement has been elucidated for other mycotoxins. For example, wheat spikes infected with *Fusarium culmorum* were shown to have trichothecenes migrating beyond the infection hyphae into the
xylem and phloem sieve tubes where no fungal colonization was apparent (Kang and Buchenauer, 2002). Our trials would suggest a similar function of FB1 moving ahead of colonization, at least in Silver Queen. Absorption of FB1 was markedly decreased in the insensitive maize genotypes. The mechanism of FB1 uptake into the roots is unknown; however, our study suggests ABA might modulate FB1 uptake and alludes to a possible connection to bulk flow and transpiration. More research on the mechanism for FB1 accumulation in the roots and how the fungus facilitates movement of FB1 into the leaves will give us a better understanding of seedling blight disease progression and associated virulence of *F. verticillioides*, and perhaps whether such movement contributes to any food safety or exposure concerns. Also, the inbreds B73 and W23 seem to have inhibitory properties that decrease the growth and fumonisin production by *F. verticillioides* in the soil. This could be due to defense mechanisms expressed by the plant, such as fortifying the cell wall with callose deposits, activation of a hypersensitive response, expression of chitinases and beta-1,3-glucanases, and release of phytoprotective compounds such as the cyclic hydroxamic acids (DIMBOA and DIBOA) (Balasubramanian et al.; Frey et al., 1997; Greenberg et al., 1994; Gupta et al.; Østergaard et al., 2002). Another possibility is that the fungi naturally inhabiting the seed of B73 and W23, such as *Acremonium zeae*, may be antagonists suppressing the growth of *F. verticillioides* (Wicklow et al., 2005). Future research will investigate the role of plant defense mechanisms and competitive inhibition strategies for the control of *F. verticillioides*.
ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to thank Jency Showker and Britton Davis for their outstanding technical assistance. Special thanks to John F. Leslie, Manhatten, KS for his gift of the *F. verticillioides* strain.

LITERATURE CITED


Zitomer, N. C., Jones, S., Bacon, C. W., Glenn, A. E., Baldwin, T. T., and Riley, R. T. (2010). Translocation of sphingoid bases and their 1-phosphates, but not fumonisins, from roots
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maize Line</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Fumonisin Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silver Queen (SQ)</td>
<td>White Sweet</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B73</td>
<td>Dent Inbred</td>
<td>Moderately Insensitive a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W23</td>
<td>Dent Inbred</td>
<td>Insensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tama Flint (TF)</td>
<td>Landrace</td>
<td>Highly Insensitive a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Desjardins et al. (2005).
Table 3.2. Characteristics of *Fusarium verticillioides* Strains and Resulting Disease Incidence on Maize Lines Silver Queen (SQ), B73, and W23

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Conidia Production</th>
<th>Fumonisin Production</th>
<th>Disease Incidence (%)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water control</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0 / 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRC826</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>59 / 92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JFL-AO4516</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0 / 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEG 3-A3-5</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>76 / 87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEG 3-A3-2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0 / 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sensitive* | *Insensitive*<br> SQ<sup>b</sup> | B73<sup>c</sup> | W23<sup>c</sup> |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Disease incidence is defined as the average percentage of seedlings that exhibit the symptoms associated with disease, such as stunting, leaf lesions, and leaf abnormalities.

<sup>b</sup> Disease incidence of trials 1 and 2 of the experiment are reported separately for SQ (trial 1/trial2).

<sup>c</sup> B73 and W23 were included only in trial 1 and were inoculated only with MRC826. Disease incidence was not determined (ND) for other strains on B73 and W23.
Table 3.3. Percent Tissue Colonization by *Fusarium verticillioides* in Trial 1 and Trial 2. Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation of percent tissue from each treatment per pot (n = 3 pots per trial).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial 1</th>
<th>Mesocotyl</th>
<th>Stem</th>
<th>Leaf 1</th>
<th>Leaf 2</th>
<th>Leaf 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SQ – Water control</td>
<td>38 ± 2</td>
<td>30 ± 44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQ – MRC826</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>26 ± 25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQ – JFL-A04516</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQ – AEG 3-A3-5</td>
<td>49 ± 29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQ – AEG 3-A3-2</td>
<td>47 ± 45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B73 – Water control</td>
<td>95 ± 8</td>
<td>86 ± 15</td>
<td>33 ± 58</td>
<td>26 ± 45</td>
<td>15 ± 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B73 – MRC826</td>
<td>51 ± 17</td>
<td>75 ± 7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W23 – Water control</td>
<td>59 ± 18</td>
<td>41 ± 37</td>
<td>31 ± 34</td>
<td>17 ± 29</td>
<td>19 ± 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W23 – MRC826</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>95 ± 8</td>
<td>6 ± 11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial 2</th>
<th>Mesocotyl</th>
<th>Stem</th>
<th>Leaf 1</th>
<th>Leaf 2</th>
<th>Leaf 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SQ – Water control</td>
<td>51 ± 38</td>
<td>43 ± 32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQ – MRC826</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>17 ± 41</td>
<td>15 ± 24</td>
<td>36 ± 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQ – JFL-A04516</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8 ± 20</td>
<td>11 ± 20</td>
<td>33 ± 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQ – AEG 3-A3-5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20 ± 18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQ – AEG 3-A3-2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20 ± 13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.1. Soil Colonization by Strains of *Fusarium verticillioides*. Values are mean ± standard deviation and letters indicate a statistically significant difference ($P \leq 0.05$, $n = 3$ pots per trial). Trial 1 (A) shows quantification of *F. verticillioides* strains in dried soil at the end of the 14-day experiment involving Silver Queen (SQ) grown from seed inoculated with the strains. Also shown are B73 and W23 water controls and MRC826 inoculations. Trial 2 (B) was similar but based on wet weight of soil. ND indicates no *Fusarium* colonies detected.
Figure 3.2. Fumonisin Accumulation in Soil. Values are mean ± standard deviation of fumonisin B₁, B₂, and B₃ (respectively, FB₁, FB₂, and FB₃) accumulation in the soil for trial 1 (A) and trial 2 (B) (n = 3 pots per trial). ND indicates none detected.
Figure 3.3. Fumonisin Accumulation in Root Tissue. Values are mean ± standard deviation of fumonisin B₁, B₂, and B₃ (respectively, FB₁, FB₂, and FB₃) accumulation in the root tissue for trial 1 (A) and trial 2 (B) (n = 3 pots per trial). ND indicates none detected.
**Figure 3.4.** Fumonisin B1 Accumulation in the Leaves. Values are mean ± standard deviation of fumonisin B₁ detected in leaf 1 and 2. Fumonisin B₂ or B₃ was not detected in the leaves in trial 1 (A) and trial 2 (B) (n = 3 pots per trial). ND indicates not detected. +/- indicates colonization status of the leaf.
Figure 3.5. Fumonisin B₁ (FB₁) Root Accumulation in Silver Queen (SQ), Tama Flint (TF), and W23. FB₁ was spiked into the agar of the test tubes containing the seedling for a final concentration of 10 μM and harvested 48 hours after exposure. Accumulation of FB₁ is reported as mean ± standard deviation from two biological replicates (n = 3 per replicate) and letters indicate a statistically significant difference (P ≤ 0.05).
Figure 3.6. Fumonisin B₁ (FB₁) Accumulation in Seedlings Co-exposed with Abscisic Acid (ABA). Seedlings were assessed after 24 and 48 hours exposure to FB₁ (10 μM final concentration) or FB₁ plus ABA (200 μM). Accumulation of FB₁ reported as mean ± standard deviation from two biological replicates (n = 3 per replicate) and letters indicate a statistically significant difference (P ≤ 0.05).
CHAPTER 4

FUMONISIN B1 EFFECTS ON TRANSPERSION AND STOMATAL APERATURE

Baldwin, T.T. and Glenn A.E. not submitted to a journal
INTRODUCTION

Infection of maize by *Fusarium verticillioides* precipitates the production and accumulation of various mycotoxins, including fumonisins, fusaric acid, and fusarin C (Bacon and Hinton, 1996; Fotso et al., 2002; Giesbert et al., 2008; Marasas et al., 1986; Wiebe and Bjeldanes, 1981). Of these mycotoxins, fumonisins are the most environmentally common and can occur in high concentrations in maize. In this group, fumonisin B₁ (FB₁) is the most toxic and makes up the greatest portion of the total fumonisins followed respectively by the FB₂ and FB₃ isomers. FB₁ contamination of field-grown maize has been determined as the causal agent in farm animal diseases, including equine leukoencephalomalacia (Marasas et al., 1988) and porcine pulmonary edema (Colvin and Harrison, 1992), and human consumption of fumonisin-contaminated maize has been associated with human cancer (IARC, 2002) and neural tube defects (Marasas et al., 2004). The proximate cause of fumonisin toxicity is inhibition of ceramide synthase (sphingoid base N-acyltransferase) a key enzyme in the *de novo* sphingolipid biosynthesis pathway (Wang et al., 1991). The major biochemical and cellular consequences subsequent to blockage of ceramide biosynthesis are accumulation of free sphingoid bases and sphingoid base 1-phosphates (Riley and Voss, 2006), depletion of more complex sphingolipids (Voss et al., 2009) and the global disruption of lipid metabolism (Merrill et al., 2001b).

Williams et al. (2007) discovered that watering maize seedlings with fumonisins resulted in reduced root weights, height, production of leaf lesions, and elevation of the free sphingoid bases and their phosphorylated metabolites. These effects along with the elevations were also seen when maize seedling were infected with *F. verticillioides* fumonisin-producing strains during seedling blight infection (Williams et al., 2007). Notably, the disease symptoms were more prevalent during inoculation with the fungus compared to watering solely with FB₁. The
free sphingoid bases include phytosphingosine, sphinganine, and their respective 1-phosphates (Figure 4.1) and are elevated because fumonisin disrupts plant ceramide synthase similar to animal systems (Abbas et al., 1994; Williams et al., 2006; Williams et al., 2007).

Exposure of free sphingoid bases alone are highly phytotoxic to *Lemna pausicostata* (Tanaka et al., 1993) and treatment with FB1, caused significant elevation in sphinganine and phytosphingosine which occurred before the onset of toxicity (Abbas et al., 1994). Free sphingoid bases also invoke other physiological changes at lower concentrations. Recent articles have shown sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) is a calcium-mobilizing molecule in the plant and involved in abscisic acid (ABA) regulation of stomatal closure in *Commelina communis* (Ng et al., 2001). Others have shown that the S1P producing enzyme, sphingosine kinase (SphK), is stimulated by ABA in the stomata (Coursol et al., 2003; Coursol et al., 2005). Induction of sphingosine kinase (SphK) phosphorylates sphingosine to sphingosine-1-phosphate and results in the induction of stomatal closure and inhibition of stomatal opening. However, ABA induction of stomatal closure can occur independent of SphK induction through a possible unknown mechanism (Figure 4.2) (Coursol et al., 2003; Coursol et al., 2005). S1P and phytosphingosine-1-phosphate (Pso-1P) were also demonstrated to regulate stomata with the requirement of activity by a heterotrimeric G-protein coded by GPA1 (Figure 4.2). Heterotrimeric G-proteins are involved in regulation of stomatal aperture through slow anion channels and potassium channels (Figure 4.2) (Coursol et al., 2003; Coursol et al., 2005).

Certain secondary metabolites produced by pathogens are known to target the stomata and are important aspects of the pathogenic process. For example, coronatine produced by different strains of pathogenic *Pseudomonas syringae* triggers stomatal opening (Zhang et al., 2008). Alternatively, T toxin produced by *Cochliobolus heterostrophus* race T, blocks K⁺, Na⁺,
Cl\(^-\), and PO\(_3\)\(^-\) channels in the guard cells and inhibits stomatal opening (Arntzen et al., 1973; Mertz and Arntzen, 1977). In this study we are interested in the physiological effects of the fumonisin mycotoxins on the transpiration and stomatal aperture of Zea mays and how that relates to F. verticillioides pathogenesis in maize seedlings. Our hypothesis is that fumonisins disrupt ceramide synthase in maize and the resulting elevation of phytosphingosine-1-phosphate and sphinganine-1-phosphate effects transpiration and stomatal aperture.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Load Cell Measurement of Transpiration.** Maize kernels were treated as described previously (William et. al., 2007). Briefly, kernels were surface-disinfected for 10 min in 100% commercial bleach (5.25% hypochlorite), rinsed with sterile water, and allowed to imbibe for 4 h in sterile water. The seeds were then heat treated by placing them in a 60 °C water bath for 5 minutes for internal sterilization (Bacon and Hinton, 1994). Seeds were inoculated with either a 10\(^4\) spores per mL suspension or sterile water. Three replicates of 10 seeds each were planted in sterile 10-cm plastic azalea pots (Hummert International, Earth City, MO, USA) containing twice-autoclaved commercial potting soil mix (Conrad Faford Inc., Agawam, MA, USA) Seedlings were grown.

Transpiration rates of Silver Queen seedlings inoculated with or without F. verticillioides were measured 9, 10, and 11 days after planting. Transpiration was calculated by measuring pot weight reduction with load cells (LSP-1 and LSP-2, Transducer Techniques, Temecula, CA) connected to a data logger (CR10, Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT) in a growth chamber (E-15, Conviron, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada). The weight of each pot was collected every ten
minutes and rate of transpiration was determined by slope of the regression of weight lost from the 16 hour light period.

**Porometer Measurement of Transpiration.** The effects of fumonisin B₁ on maize transpiration rates were tested using a leaf steady state porometer. Each treatment was comprised of 4 pots with 10 maize seedlings in each. The plants were grown in an environmental growth room (14hrs of light at 250 µmolar m⁻² s⁻¹ at 30° C and 10hrs of dark at 20° C). Seedlings were watered on days 9, 10, and 11 after planting with 100 ml of 0.5, 1.0, or 5.0 µg/mL FB₁ or 50, 250, and 500 µg/mL of ABA. FB₁ concentrations were based on previous experiments by Dr. Anne Marie Zimeri on phytotoxicity of FB₁ (data not shown). The transpiration rates were measured 12 days after planting on the second emerged leaf of three seedlings per pot for all three repetitions.

**In Vitro Assays Using Epidermal Peels.** Plants were grown in the growth chamber for three weeks in potting soil. Leaf samples at the second leaf were cut into 3 cm long strips, then the midvein was cut out. The abaxial leaf surface was peeled away from the leaf section, leaving intact tabs of full leaf on either end. Leaf incubation was based on Coursol et al. (2005). The leaf sections were incubated in the light (400 µmolar m⁻² s⁻¹ at 30° C) for 2 hours in the maize stomatal buffering solution (10 mM KCl₂, 7.5 mM potassium iminodiacetate, 10 mM MES, pH 6.15) to induce stomatal opening. The epidermal peels were incubated in the dark for 2 hours in maize stomatal buffering solution to induce stomatal closure. ABA treatments were added to open and closed stomata and left for a time course between 1, 2, 3 and 4 hours. The stomatal aperture was measured for each treatment at 400x using the Leica DM6000 B light microscope (Hamamatsu ORCA-ER, C4742-80 monochrome camera) and the Leica Application Suite (2.1.2
build 4530) to take accurate measurements of the stomatal opening. A total of 15 random stomata were selected for measurement of the stomatal opening.

**Statistical Analysis:** Statistical analysis was performed using SigmaStat software (Jandel Scientific, San Rafael, CA, USA). Data was expressed as mean ± standard deviation or as a box plot (showing mean and 25% and 75% quartiles) and differences among means were considered to be significant if the probability ($P$) was $\leq 0.05$. Statistical grouping was based on paired t-test or Mann-Whitney Test where specified.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Transpiration experiments using load cells (Figure 4.3A) showed a significant difference on day 9 between *F. verticillioides* and control treatments based on a paired t-test \((t=6.198, P<0.05)\). Similarly, day 10 and 11 showed significantly lower transpiration rates in the control \((t=6.461, P<0.05, t=5.945 P<0.05\), respectively). There was not a significant increase of transpiration rates between the days of each treatment. Transpiration experiments using a porometer (Figure 4.3 B) showed significantly higher transpiration rates in the control compared to *F. verticillioides* inoculated, as determined by a paired t-test \((t=3.681, P<0.05, df=16)\). The FB\(_1\) treatments (0.5, and 1.0 µg/ml) were not significantly different from the control rate of transpiration. However, at 5.0 µg/ml a significant difference did exist between the control and the ABA treatments of 50, 250, and 500 ppm \((t=4.177 P<0.05, t=4.784 P<0.05, t=126 P<0.05\), respectively). Notably, an increase in FB\(_1\) concentration from 1.0 to 5.0 µg mL significantly decreased the rate of transpiration from 16.93 ± 4.250 mmol m\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\) to 10.98 ± 3.16 mmol m\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\). The most diminished transpiration rate was observed at ABA 500 µg/ml at 1.27 ± 2.52 mmol m\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\).

The sphingoid base 1-phosphates, sphingosine 1-phosphate and phytosphingosine 1-phosphate have direct involvement in guard cell response and stomatal aperture control (Coursol et al., 2005). This interaction could play a dramatic role in *Fusarium* pathogenesis as a consequence of the elevated levels of sphingoid base 1-phosphates in leaf tissues during pathogenesis by affecting plant physiological processes, such as transpiration. Our research shows a direct effect on transpiration by either inoculation of the fungus or by watering with FB\(_1\) at 5.0 µg/ml. Our epidermal peel experiments showed a significant decrease in stomatal aperture in the 10 µM ABA treatment \((t=2.910, P<0.05)\) (Figure 4.4) and demonstrates the need for further investigation into possible effects of FB\(_1\) on stomatal aperture.
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**Figure 4.1.** De *novo* Biosynthesis and Turnover Pathway of Sphingolipids in Plants (from Williams et al., 2007). Fumonisin disruption of ceramide biosynthesis is by inhibition of coenzyme A dependent ceramide synthase (X) and results in the increase of phytosphingosine, sphinganine, and their respective 1-phosphates, marked by (↑).
Williams et al., 2007
Figure 4.2. Diagram of a Proposed Stomate Abscisic Acid/Sphingosine-1-Phosphate (ABA/S1P) Signaling Pathway (from Coursol et al., 2003). Signaling pathway for stomatal aperture in Arabidopsis is by S1P production by ABA activated SphK. Stomatal closure in gpa1 knockouts is induced by ABA, believed to be through a different signal pathway with slow anion channels. Interactions not well understood are marked (?). This model is depicting induction of sphingosine kinase (SphK) that phosphorylates sphingosine to sphingosine-1-phosphate resulting in the induction of stomatal closure and inhibition of stomatal opening. The model also depicts the fact that ABA induction of stomatal closure can occur independent of SphK induction through a possible unknown mechanism.
Coursol et al., 2003
**Figure 4.3.** *Fusarium verticillioides* and Fumonisin B1 (FB1) Effects on Maize Leaf Transpiration Rates. (A) Transpiration rates were taken on days 9, 10, and 11 of inoculated and non-inoculated seedlings. Data were taken on load cells and the transpiration was determined by slope of water loss (mg). (B) Box plot of transpiration rates measured using a Decagon™ porometer. Non-inoculated seedlings were watered on days 9, 10, and 11 with 0.5, 1.0, or 5.0 μg/ml FB1 or 50, 250, and 500 μg/ml of ABA or ddH₂O. On day 12, mid-day, the transpiration rates were measured on the second emerged leaf from 3 seedlings per pot across 3 pots.
Figure 4.4. Maize Stomatal Aperture Assay. (A) Open stoma. (B) Closed stoma responding to ABA treatment. (C) An example of a prepared epidermal peel used in experiment. (D) Stomatal apertures measured from the control buffer and ABA treatments. A total of 15 stomata were measured using SimplePCL™ (C Imaging system) on three epidermal peels for each treatment. Treatments included ABA (10 µM) in opening buffer, or just buffer for the control. Incubated under high intensity light (450 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) for 2.5 hours before making measurements.
CHAPTER 5

FLAVOHEMOGLOBIN AND THE DENITRIFICATION PATHWAY IN DETOXIFICATION OF NITRIC OXIDE BY *FUSARIUM VERTICILLIOIDES*: IMPLICATIONS FOR PATHOGENICITY AND MYCOTOXIN PRODUCTION

Baldwin, T.T., Gold, S.E., Covert, S.F., Riley, R.T., and Glenn A.E. Submitted to *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*
ABSTRACT

Nitric oxide (NO) is a highly mobile and potent signaling molecule in organisms, yet it can also cause nitrosative stress to cells. To alleviate negative effects from excessive accumulation of NO from endogenous or potential exogenous sources, flavohemoglobin proteins can convert NO into nontoxic nitrate ions. We have investigated the flavohemoglobins in *Fusarium verticillioides*, a mycotoxigenic plant pathogen of maize. Two genes encoding putative flavohemoglobin homologs, denoted *FHB1* and *FHB2*, were identified. Microarray analysis revealed a 17-fold induction of *FHB2* transcription and a 2-fold induction of *FHB1* when the fungus was exposed to exogenous NO (1.5 mM of NO-donor DETA NONOate). In addition these conditions caused a 246-fold increase in transcription of the dissimilatory nitrite reductase (*NIR1*) gene and high induction of other genes from the denitrification pathway. Deletion mutants (*fhb1*, *fhb2*, and *nir1*) challenged with NO (1.5 mM of NO-donor) showed *fhb1* and *fhb2* mutants had increased sensitivity to NO with reduced growth compared to wild type, and growth of the *fhb1/fhb2* double deletion was completely inhibited when challenged with NO. The *nir1* mutant exhibited no decrease in growth. All mutants were able to grow on nitrate medium. However, mutants *fhb2*, *nir1*, and *nor1* were unable to grow on nitrite under hypoxic conditions, whereas only the *fhb1/fhb2* double deletion had markedly decreased growth on nitrite under normal atmospheric oxygen. Our results suggest the flavohemoglobin FHB2 is linked to the denitrification pathway, as its function is indispensible in nitrite metabolism under hypoxic conditions. Maize seedling blight assays showed that the *fhb1/fhb2* double deletion was more virulent than wild type. The double deletion also had increased production of fumonisin B1. Our results demonstrate a significant role of the denitrification pathway in NO detoxification and link such detoxification to virulence and fumonisin production.
Key Words: Nitric oxide, flavohemoglobin, denitrification, dissimilatory nitrite reductase, P450 nitric oxide reductase, FHB1, FHB2, fumonisin,
INTRODUCTION

Nitric oxide is a small ephemeral molecule having importance in cellular signaling from abiotic and pathogen stress, often occurring simultaneously with reactive oxygen species, such as hydrogen peroxide. NO at low concentrations has cellular protective effects, whereas high levels are considered toxic (Kröncke et al., 1997). NO is a Reactive Nitrogen Species (RNS) free radical and a source of nitrosative stress. Highly reactive with an unpaired electron, NO can exist in a variety of reduced states including the NO⁻ (nitroxyl ion) and NO⁺ (nitrosonium ion), each having their own unique reaction capability giving NO exceptionally diverse biochemistry (Gow and Ischiropoulos, 2001). Moreover, some important physical aspects of NO include its low solubility in most aqueous solutions and small size, enabling near instantaneous mobility through membranes (Ignarro et al., 1987; Palmer et al., 1987).

NO was first elucidated as a messenger in the immune, nervous and cardiovascular systems in mammals and is the most powerful endogenous signal for vasodilatation yet discovered (Anbar, 1995; Grisham et al., 1999; Lundberg et al., 2008; Pfeiffer et al., 1999). The direct mechanism of NO signaling stems from its redox-based post-translational modification of proteins, including S-nitrosylation, which is a covalent bonding of an NO molecule to a sulfhydryl group on cysteine. This modification affects the protein’s activity, localization, interactions, and folding conformation (Yun et al., 2012). This form of amino acid modification can also occur on carrier molecules such as glutathione, forming S-nitrosoglutathione by reacting with cysteine residues, and is the reason why a 5 to 15 s half-life signaling molecule can ramify a signal lasting for days. This carrier molecule can perform protein trans-nitrosylation and acts as a bio-reserve for NO (Kettenhofen et al., 2004).
Recently, NO has been demonstrated as an important signaling compound in plant development, such as plant germination, growth, stomatal closure, and abiotic stress (Besson-Bard et al., 2008; Delledonne et al., 1998; Moreau et al., 2010; Neill et al., 2002; Wilson et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2008). Phytopathological studies have demonstrated NO signaling as an important aspect of plant defense against a variety of pathogens. Similar to the oxidative burst, a widely studied reactive oxygen species (ROS) event that marks the beginning of pathogen recognition, there is also an early NO burst and may even precede the oxidative burst (Asai et al., 2008). This has been seen in barley epidermal cells infected with powdery mildew *Blumeria graminis* f. sp. *hordei* (Prats et al., 2005) and in *Pelargonium* infected with the necrotrophic pathogen *Botrytis cinerea* (Floryszak-Wieczorek et al., 2007). Also, NO is an integral part of pathogenesis in *Arabidopsis* challenged with the bacterium *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* (Bennett et al., 2005), the tobacco mosaic virus in tobacco (Durner et al., 1998), and the oomycete pathogen *Bremia lactucae* on *Lactuca* (Sedlarova et al., 2011). Additionally, cryptogein, an elicitor from *Phytophthora cryptogea* induced the NO burst in tobacco epidermal cells as detected via DAF-2 fluorescence probe. Support for the fluorescence measurements was based on its elimination by the addition of the NO-scavenger, cPTIO (Foissner et al., 2000).

Induction of elevated levels of NO results in many downstream effects in plants. For instance, the formation of structural barriers by cell wall modifications in the initial phases of the *Colletotrichum coccodes* – tomato interaction (Wang and Higgins, 2005b). Similarly, Prats et al. (2005) observed significant papilla deposition co-occurring with the NO burst in the barley- *B. graminis* f. sp. *hordei* interaction. Another well-studied effect of the induction of NO is production of defense secondary metabolites by the plant. This induction is usually mediated by fungal elicitors and include metabolites of interest because of their pharmaceutical importance,
including saponin, hypericin, puerarin, catharanthine, and taxol (Hu et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2006; Xu and Dong, 2005; Zheng et al., 2008)

There is some conflicting evidence about the function of NO in pathogenicity. Exposure of the susceptible tomato cultivar *Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill. cv. "Perkoz" to the pathogenic *Botrytis cinerea* demonstrated an increase in NO levels paired with decreased H₂O₂ levels in the compatible interaction (Malolepsza and Rozalska, 2005). Also, *B. cinerea* produces endogenous NO that diffuses into the host plant, triggering hypersensitive response (HR), which causes cell death and seems to benefit the infecting necrotrophic pathogen (Turrion-Gomez and Benito, 2011). In contrast, infection by the pathogen *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp *lupini* on yellow lupine embryo axes with co-exposure to exogenous nitric oxide suggested cross talk between nitric oxide and resulted in the activation of defense genes (i.e. the PAL genes) and production of isoflavonoids to mount a successful defense against the pathogen (Morkunas et al., 2013).

NO activating defense genes and the NO burst as part of pathogen defense makes detoxification of NO during pathogenicity crucial for an effective pathogen. Detoxification of NO for successful pathogenicity has been studied in *Candida albicans* virulence on mice (Ullmann et al., 2004) and in the bacterial pathogen *Erwinia chrysanthemi* on *Saintpaulia ionantha* (Favey et al., 1995). Both systems utilize the highly conserved flavohemoglobins, which are comprised of a globin domain fused to a ferredoxin reductase like FAD- and NAD-binding modules (Gardner et al., 1998). Flavohemoglobins are sometimes referred to as nitric oxide dioxygenases (NOD) in bacterial systems because of their ability to detoxify NO by oxidation to nitrate (NO₃⁻). Flavohemoglobins are prolific and conserved throughout all life (Gardner, 2005).
Mutating the *Erwinia chrysanthemi* HmpX flavohemoglobin resulted in a significant increase in NO level accumulation, disease resistance, and an HR response in the *S. ionantha* host (Favey et al., 1995). Interestingly, insertion of *hmpx* into the avirulent *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato avrB* suppressed the HR response in *Arabidopsis*, reverting the *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato avrB* back to a competent pathogen (Boccaea et al., 2005). Similarly, transformation of *Arabidopsis* with the flavohemoglobin from *Escherichia coli* also suppressed the NO burst and HR response when challenged with *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato avrB* (Zeier et al., 2004).

The function of flavohemoglobins in detoxification of NO is a virulence factor for a number of pathogens. *Cryptococcus neoformans* was also shown to require a flavohemoglobin, gene *FHB1*, for both virulence on mice and NO detoxification as found by insertional mutagenesis (Idnurm et al., 2004).

NO represents a complex and potent signaling and antimicrobial compound in pathogenic interactions and the role of NO in the *F. verticillioides* – maize interactions is yet to be explored. This study addressed the following questions on NO in *F. verticillioides*: (i) what genes are induced with exposure to exogenous NO? (ii) What is the role of the two *F. verticillioides* flavohemoglobins in NO detoxification? (iii) How does nitric oxide detoxification effect pathogenicity and mycotoxin production? The *F. verticillioides* genome contains two genes coding for flavohemoglobins (*FHB1*) and (*FHB2*). Double deletion of both flavohemoglobin genes led to greater virulence on maize seedlings and a greater accumulation of fumonisin mycotoxins. Moreover, *FHB2* is linked to nitrite/nitrate metabolism under hypoxic conditions through the denitrification pathway. This pathway is considered a hypoxic pathway, yet we show that under oxygenated conditions the genes in this pathway are activated to detoxify NO.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fungal strains, culture conditions, and bioinformatic tools. Other than the strains created during this study, *Fusarium verticillioides* strain M3125 was utilized for MAT1-1 wild type and strain A00999 was utilized for MAT1-2 wild type for transformation. Strains were grown in potato dextrose broth (PDB) for 3 days at 200 rpm or on potato dextrose agar (PDA) for 7 days and incubated at 27°C in the dark unless stated differently. Additionally, shaking (250 rpm) was necessary for PDB cultures in 3 mL snap cap tubes. All strains were kept frozen in 10% glycerol at -80°C for long term storage. Gene and protein sequences were attained using BROAD Institute via the *Fusarium* Comparative Database (www.broadinstitute.org) or National Center for Biotechnology (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) database for BLAST and BLASTP to mine and compare sequences. Gene sequences were analyzed via Sequencher (Version 4.10.1, Gene Codes Corp., Ann Arbor, MI) and peptide alignment and neighbor joining were analyzed via Geneious (Version 7.0.4, Biomatters, San Francisco, CA). Signal peptides predictions were made using TargetP (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TargetP/), MitoProt II (http://ihg.gsf.de/ihg/mitoprot.html), and WoLF PSORT (http://www.genscript.com/psort/wolf_psort.html). Primers for all constructs and PCRs were designed and ordered via Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. (http://idtdna.com/).

Nitric Oxide exposure and bioscreen experiments. DETA NONOate (Cayman Chem., Ann Arbor, MI) was used as a NO donor in the following experiments. It has a half-life of 56 hours at pHs about 7.4 at 27°C (Hrabie et al., 1993; Keefer et al., 1996). Dilutions of this NO donor were made in 0.01 M NaOH to keep solution basic and prevent premature breakdown. *F. verticillioides* acidifies the growth media to pHs of 3 or 4. Therefore, all experiments involving
growth and exposure to NO were conducted using PDB buffered with 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer to maintain culture growth at pH 7.0 for the duration of the experiment. No physiological changes were noted in growth of the fungus under the microscope attributable to growing on buffered media. However, the color of the both culture had changed from maroon to a milky off-white.

Growth rates during exposure to NO were determined on the automated turbidometer, Microbiology Bioscreen C Reader (Labsystems, Helsinki, Finland). Each well of the two 100 well microplates were filled with 200 μL of PDB buffered with 0.1 M sodium phosphate and inoculated with 3 day old *F. verticillioides* adjusted to a final concentration of $10^4$ spores per mL. Cultures were incubated at 25 °C with constant medium shaking on the Bioscreen C for 100 hours with OD$_{600}$ measurements every 30 min. Each strain was replicated in 10 wells. Experiment was repeated with 3 biological replications.

**Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) of FHB1 and FHB2 genes.** *Fusarium verticillioides* strain M3125 was adjusted to $10^3$ spores per mL into 3 mL PDB buffered with 0.1 M sodium phosphate media in 15 ml snap cap tubes and grown at 27 °C at 240 rpm. After 3 days, 100 μL of DETA NONOate was added for a final concentration of 1.5 mM. M3125 was run alongside controls for 15, 45, and 360 min before harvesting. Upon harvest, cultures were immediately centrifuged (1,500 x g for 5 min at 15 °C) and the fungal material was collected ground under liquid nitrogen. RNA extraction and purification was performed using PureLink® RNA Mini Kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). DNase cleanup was achieved through TURBOTM DNase (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). Ground tissue and RNA extracts were stored at -80°C for long term storage. cDNA synthesis was quantitative real-time PCR measuring relative gene
expression was performed using the Express- one shot (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) for cDNA creation and quantitative real-time. Normalization and fold change were calculated using the tubulin gene TUB2 for analysis as a constitutive standard. See Table 5.1 for primers used in this experiment. All reactions were performed on a Cepheid Smartcycler I (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA) with the following thermal cycling parameters: Stage 1, 50 °C for 20 min – reverse transcriptase cDNA creation, Stages 2- 4 denaturing step 95 °C for 3 min followed by 45 cycles of two-step PCR, 95°C for 15 s, and 65°C for 60 s. A melting temperature analysis was run at the end from 60 °C to 95 °C at 0.2 degrees/second. The relative expression level of each target gene was calculated by \( 2^{-\Delta\Delta CT} \) method (Pfaffl, 2001). The fold changes values were average Ct values of three biological replicates with at least three technical replicates per experiment.

**Microarray experiment.** Microarray analysis was used to compare gene expression in M3215 exposed and unexposed to 1.5 mM DETA NONOate for 45 min. Experiments were performed with the same procedure used for quantitative real-time PCR. DNase cleanup was achieved through TURBO™ DNase (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). RNA sample quality was analyzed on a Roche Bioanalyzer and was prepared for cDNA creation using the cDNA synthesis systems (Roche NimbleGen Inc., Madison, WI, USA) before sending to Roche Nimblegen for processing on a *Fusarium verticillioides* microarray chip (Brown et al., 2005). Comparative analysis of normalized data was accomplished using Arraystar 5 software (DNASTAR, Madison, WI).

**Gene deletion construction and fungal transformation.** Gene deletion constructs from *fhlb*, *fhb2*, *nir1*, and *nor1* strains were accomplished using the DelsGate deletion construct creation
method for homologous recombination transformation (García-Pedrajas et al., 2008). Hygromycin was utilized as a selectable marker. See Tables 5.3 and 5.4 for sequence and description of primers. Briefly, a 5’ flanking and 3’ flanking DNA fragment of approximately 1.0 – 1.5 kb surrounding the ORF was amplified via PCR using primers 1 and 2 or primers 3 and 4, respectively. BP clonase Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA was utilized to clone the fragments into a pDONR-A-Hyg deletion plasmid and was transformed into One Shot TOP10 Electrocompetent E. coli cells (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) using electroporation (BioRad micropulser, Ec1 setting, 1.8kV, 3.6ms). Transformants were selected for on LB + kanamycin (50μg/ml) and incubated at 37°C then screened via PCR using primer sets I-SceI F (5’-TAGGGATAACACGGGTAAT-3’) and Donr F (5’-ATCAGTTAACGCTAGCATGGATCTC-3’) for the 5’ flank, and I-SceI R (5’-ATTACCCCTGTATCCCTA-3’) and Donr R (5’-GTAACATCAGAGATTGGAGACAC-3’) were used to test the 3’ flank.

Plasmids were digested with I-SceI to linearize the deletion construct for fungal homologous transformation. Digestion was incubated overnight at 37°C and cleaned using Qiagen PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen Sciences, Maryland, USA). Fungal homologous transformation was performed according to the procedures used by Glenn et al. (2008). In a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube, 20 μL of prepared protoplast were added to 100 μL STC solution (1.2M Sorbitol, 50mM CaCl₂-2H₂O, 10mM Tris-HCl), 50μl 30% PEG solution, and 8 -10 μg of DelsGate construct. After 20 min, 2 mL of STC was added followed by an additional 2 mL of 30% PEG. After gentle mixing, the solution was poured into 55 °C molten overlay medium, which was then poured on to regeneration plates (Glenn et al., 2008). Finally, 1% agar with 515
μg/ml hygromycin B (Roche) was overlaid the following morning at a final concentration of 150 μg/ml.

Transformants were screened for the chimeric insert with the outer primers (5 or 6, respectively) in combination with either the pDONR-A-Hyg specific HygF (5’-ATCGCGGCCTCGACGTTTCC-3’) for the 5’ flank or the KanR (5’ – TTATCGTGCACCAAGCAGCA-3’) for the 3’ flank. Selected transformants were placed on hygromycin B PDA (50 μg/ml) to maintain after the initial screen. Transformants that showed amplification of the ORF using primers 7 and 8 (Table 5.3 and 5.4) were culled. Finally, a Southern blot was performed for all deletion transformants using a DIG labeled probe (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s directions. Genomic digestion was performed via HpaI for FHB1, EcoRI for FHB2, EcoRV for NIR1, and XbaI for NOR1. Digested DNA (1 μg) from a 1.0% Tris-acetate-EDTA agarose gel was blotted onto a Hybond H+ nylon membrane (Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, England) according to standard procedures (Glenn et al., 2008). Gene-specific probes were generated using a digoxigenin (DIG) PCR labeling kit (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, U.S.A) off of the 5’ or 3’ flanking PCR primers. Hybridization was detected on an the Alpha Innotech FluorChem 8000 digital imaging system (San Leandro, CA, USA) for 50 min. Protoplasting was performed as in Glenn et al. (2008) for wild type and deletion mutant strains.

**Mating A00999 fhb2 and M3125 fhb1 for double deletions.** A00999 fhb2 and M3125 fhb1 created by DelsGate were mated to generate a fhb1/fhb2 double deletion. Mating was performed as reported on carrot agar media (Leslie and Summerell, 2006). A00999 fhb2 was utilized as the female. Individual columns of ascospores were picked each placed in 1 mL ddH2O in a 1.5 ml
centrifuge tube and vortexed. A 10-fold dilution was plated on hygromycin B PDA (50 μg/ml) and incubated at 27 °C for 2 days. Germinating ascospores were transferred and the progeny were later tested by multiplex PCR for the FHB1, FHB2, and TUB2 ORFs (Table 5.2). Progeny that amplified the TUB2 ORF and not the FHB1 and FHB2 ORFs were considered fhb1/fhb2 double deletions. Double deletions were tested for the MAT1-1 and MAT1-2 mating type by duplex PCR modified from Steenkamp et al. (2000) changing GFmat2c to the following (5’-AGCGTCACCATTGATCAAG-3’). Modifications were based on noted sequence differences in F. verticilloides.

**Creation of add-back strains, transformation, and selection.** PCR was performed using primers 5 and 6 (Table 5.3 and 5.4) to amplify the entire ORF and checked on a 1% gel for predicted size. FHB1, FHB2, NIR1, and NOR1 constructs were created using M3125 genomic DNA template. Add back constructs were purified via Qiagen PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen Sciences, Maryland, USA). Co-transformation of equal parts 0.5 μg PCR amplicon and 0.5 μg pGEN-AscI vector containing the geneticin resistance vector (Received from P.R. Proctor, Peoria, IL) on protoplasted deletion strains was performed. The transformation protocol was the same as above, except selection was performed using and overlay to generate a final concentration of 150 μg/ml hygromycin and 200 μg/ml geneticin.

**Nitrite and nitrate growth under hypoxic and normal atmospheric conditions.** Strains of F. verticilloides were first grown for 7 days on PDA. A 4.0 mm diameter core was transferred to the center of the nitrate or nitrite minimal media. Minimal media was made with nitrate (NO₃⁻) in accordance to Leslie and Summerell, 2006. Nitrite (NO₂⁻) minimal media was made by
substituting in nitrite for nitrate. Both nitrate and nitrite minimal media were made at a 40 mM concentration. After the core was added, plates were incubated in the dark at 27 ºC for 7 days in either normal atmospheric conditions or under hypoxic conditions. Hypoxic conditions were attained by loading plates into Oxoid anaerobic chambers (Oxoid Limited, Hampshire, UK) that were flooded with hypoxic gas mixture 5.0% O₂, 10.0% CO₂, and balanced with N₂ (Airgas, Radnor, PA).

Pathogenicity on maize seedlings. The maize cultivar Silver Queen (Gurney’s Seed & Nursery Co., Yankton, SD, USA), a sweet corn line susceptible to *F. verticillioides*-induced maize seedling disease, was used in this study. Maize kernels were treated as described by William et al. (2007). Briefly, kernels were surface-disinfected for 10 min in 100% commercial bleach (5.25% hypochlorite), rinsed with sterile water, and allowed to imbibe for 4 h in sterile water. The seeds were then heat treated by placing them in a 60 ºC water bath for 5 min for internal sterilization (Bacon and Hinton, 1994). Seeds were inoculated with a 10⁴ spores per mL suspension. Three replicates of 10 seeds each were planted in sterile 10-cm plastic azalea pots (Hummert International, Earth City, MO, USA) containing twice-autoclaved commercial potting soil mix (Conrad Faford Inc., Agawam, MA, USA).

The treatments were as follows: M3125 (wt), *fhb1*, *fhb2*, *fhb1/fhb2*, *nir1*, *nor1*, and respective add-backs strains and compared against non-inoculated seedlings. Pots were watered as needed throughout the duration of the assay. Assays were performed under aseptic conditions in a plant growth chamber at 30 ºC under 14 h light (cool-white, high-output fluorescent tubes at an average of 254 µmol/m²/s) and a 10-h dark regimen at 20 ºC. Plant tissues were collected for
analysis 14 days after planting. During harvest shoots and roots were saved and dried in a lyophilizer to measure biomass. Soil was saved for analysis of fumonisin accumulation.

Quantification of Soil Fumonisins. Fumonisin quantification and data analyses were performed as previously described by Zitomer et al. (2008). Soil from seedlings infected with deletion mutants and wild-type *Fusarium verticillioides* was air dried before extraction. Soil samples were extracted in a 1:1 MeCN:water + 5% formic acid (25 mL per 2 g). Extracts were filtered and diluted 1:10 into the initial mobile phase used for reverse phase-high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC). Analyses were conducted using a Finnigan Micro AS autosampler coupled to a Surveyor MS pump (Thermo-Fisher, Woodstock, GA, USA). Separation was accomplished using an Imtakt Cadenza 150×2 mm i.d., 3 μm CW-C18 column (Imtakt, Philadelphia, PA, USA). Column effluent was coupled to a Finnigan LTQ XL linear ion trap mass spectrometer (MS).

**Assessment of endogenous nitric oxide production.** The fluorescent probe DAF-FM DA (4-Amino-5-Methylamino-2',7'-Difluorofluorescein Diacetate) (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) was utilized to visualize the endogenous production of NO in *F. verticillioides* mutants and M3125. A working stock of 5mM in dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) was diluted to 20 μM in Tris-HCl (10 mM, pH 7.5). Ten microliters of 3 day old *F. verticillioides* PDB culture was added into 250 μl of Tris- HCl (10 mM, pH 7.5), 20 μM DAF-FM DA and incubated in the dark at room temperature for 2 hrs. Fluorescence was detected with 495 nm excitation and 515 nm emission. DAF-FM DA was cell permeable and detected. Each experiment contained at least three biological replicates. Either 4,4,5,5-Tetramethylimidazoline -l-oxyl3-oxide (PTIO) or carboxy-
PTIO (cPTIO) was utilized to demonstrate specificity of DAF-FM DA and loss of fluorescence was observed with increasing concentrations of PTIO. Images were taken with a Leica DM6000 B light microscope (Hamamatsu ORCA-ER, C4742-80 monochrome camera). Quantification was performed using the Leica Application Suite (2.1.2 build 4530) software.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis was performed using SigmaStat software (Jandel Scientific, San Rafael, CA, USA). When many groups were compared, analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used followed by post-hoc multiple comparisons. Unless specified, all data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and differences among means were considered significant if the probability \( P \) was \( \leq 0.05 \).

**RESULTS**

**Induction of FHB1 and FHB2 by NO detected by qPCR**

A BLASTP search of the *Fusarium* comparative genomics website hosted by the Broad Institute was performed using the *Candida albicans* YHB1 and revealed *F. oxysporum* has four flavohemoglobins, whereas *F. graminearum* and *F. verticillioides* each contain only two. Neighbor-joining analysis of the flavohemoglobin protein sequences from filamentous ascomycetes recovered from NCBI indicated the two FHB homologs in *F. verticillioides* clearly belong to two distinct sister clades and each pair with a *F. oxysporum* ortholog (Figure 5.1) homolog. Notably, the FHB1 and FHB2 flavohemoglobins from *F. verticillioides* were in separate clades from the well characterized *Aspergillus* flavohemoglobins (Zhou et al., 2010).
In order to test the induction of the flavohemoglobin genes \textit{FHB1} and \textit{FHB2} upon exposure to NO, a growth curve analysis was first performed to view the effect of increasing NO concentrations on the growth of \textit{F. verticillioides} strain M3125 (Figure 5.2). As expected, the highest concentration of DETA NONOate had the greatest effect on growth and all levels of DETA NONOate had a dose-dependent restrictive effect on the growth of \textit{F. verticillioides}. It should be noted that after approximately 55 hours of NO exposure additional growth recovered and all cultures quickly gained turbidity to match the growth of the unexposed control. This recovery is likely due to the half-life of the spontaneously dissociating DETA NONOate for releasing 2 moles of NO per mole of parent compound at 22-25°C at pH 7.4. Furthermore, there were observed morphological effects of 6 mM DETA NONOate on spore germination, including thin, elongated hyphal-like germ tubes with limited or no branching (data not shown). Based on the growth of wild type, 1.5 mM of DETA NONOate was chosen to perform subsequent experiments, as that level we observed limited growth with no observable defects in morphology.

Induction of the \textit{FHB1} and \textit{FHB2} genes was observed with exposure at 1.5 mM DETA NONOate. The highest induction was seen at 45 min with a 149-fold induction of \textit{FHB2} and a 48-fold induction of \textit{FHB1} (Figure 5.3). The initial time point produced no induction of \textit{FHB1} and \textit{FHB2} (~1 fold). After 360 min the expression levels of \textit{FHB1} and \textit{FHB2} return to base expression levels (1- and 3-fold, respectively) (Figure 5.3). β-tubulin (\textit{TUB2}) was used to normalize the data. Also notable is that across all treatments and time points \textit{FHB1} had higher expression than \textit{FHB2} (data not shown). So while \textit{FHB2} exhibited greater induced expression to the NO treatment, \textit{FHB1} had a higher level of constitutive expression.
Microarray analysis of NO challenged *Fusarium verticillioides*

Roche NimbleGen microarrays were used to assess global changes in gene expression in response to NO exposure using 1.5 mM DETA NONOate. *F. verticillioides* strain M3125 was exposed to NO for 45 min and tested against an unexposed control. Reported fold increase is the average of two biological replicates. The flavohemoglobin gene *FHB2* showed a 17-fold induction compared to the 3-fold induction by *FHB1*. Similar to the qPCR data, *FHB1* had a high level of normalized gene expression in the controls as well as the NO treatment (data not shown).

Listed in Table 5.2 are 30 of the genes with the greatest induction in gene expression. Many of the genes induced by NO exposure are currently uncharacterized. *FHB1* induction was minimal but is listed in Table 5.2 for comparison. The greatest fold change (246-fold) was observed for FVEG_08676. NCBI BLASTP search suggests this gene encodes a copper-containing nitrite reductase. Current literature places this dissimilatory nitrite reductase (dNir) in the denitrification pathway in *F. oxysporum*, a pathway which also utilize a dissimilatory nitrate reductase (dNar) and a P450 nitric oxide reductase (Nor) (Shoun et al., 2012; Takaya and Shoun, 2000). These enzymes correspond to FVEG_01721 (NAR1) and FVEG_10773 (NOR1) in *F. verticillioides*, which were also noticeably induced by NO treatment (16- and 22-fold, respectively).

Down regulation of some genes was noted following exposure to NO, but these were not linked to a single pathway. An allantoate transporter protein (FVEG_00232) was down regulated 19-fold. An x pro-dipeptidyl peptidase (FVEG_00224) and an amino acid permease (FVEG_11569) were also notably down regulated 17- and 15-fold, respectively.
Deletion of \textit{FHB1}, \textit{FHB2}, \textit{NIR1}, and \textit{NOR1} from \textit{Fusarium verticillioides}

Selected genes FVEG\_11186 (\textit{FHB1}), FVEG\_13827 (\textit{FHB2}), FVEG\_08676 (\textit{NIR1}), and FVEG\_10773 (\textit{NOR1}) that either produce or detoxify NO were deleted using the DelsGate method (García-Pedrajas et al., 2008). See Tables 5.3 and 5.4 for primers used for deletion construct creation and screening of transformants. All transformants were screened first by testing the 5’ and 3’ flank chimera and the absence of the ORF by PCR. Southern blot analysis was used to verify gene knockouts. All deletions were in the M3125 background unless specified.

FVEG\_11186 (\textit{FHB1}) Southern analysis using a 5’flank probe produced a ~1.3 kb knockout fragment with genomic digestion by \textit{HpaI}, as compared to the ~3.9 kb fragment detected in wild type (Figure 5.4 A). Transformants \textit{fhb1} -1, 2, and 3 were identified as true knockouts and one ectopic was identified (Figure 5.4 B). FVEG\_13827 (\textit{FHB2}) Southern analysis using a 3’flank probe produced a ~1.7 kb wild-type allele fragment with genomic digestion by \textit{EcoRI}, as compared to the ~5.4 kb fragment detected for the deletion allele (Figure 5.4 A). Transformants \textit{fhb2} 1-6 were identified as true knockouts, and three ectopic transformants identified (Figure 5.5 B). Additionally, knock k-outs \textit{fhb2} 1-3 and one ectopic transformant were identified in strain A00999 (Figure 5.4 C).

To generate the \textit{fhb1}/\textit{fhb2} double mutant, M3125 \textit{fhb1} was mated to A00999 \textit{fhb2}. After 4-5 weeks approximately 20 to 30 perithecia were observed per plate. Single ascospore progeny were cultured and tested by multiplex PCR for the \textit{FHB1} and \textit{FHB2} ORFs. Of the 30 progeny tested, 9 were identified as \textit{fhb1}/\textit{fhb2} double deletion, 2 were \textit{fhb1} deletion, and 19 were \textit{fhb2} (Figure 5.6 A). Wild-type \textit{FHB1}/\textit{FHB2} was not detected since progeny were selected on hygromycin B media. Progeny were further tested for the \textit{MAT1}-1 and \textit{MAT1}-2 mating types. Of
the 8 strains tested, 4 were determined to be \textit{MAT1}-1, and 4 were determined to be \textit{MAT1}-2 (Figure 5.6 B). For further experiments \textit{fhb1/fhb2 MAT1-1} was used.

FVEG\_08676 (\textit{NIR1}) Southern analysis using a 5’flank probe produced a \~8.5 kb knockout fragment with genomic digestion by \textit{EcoRV} compared to the \~1.9 kb fragment detected in wild type (Figure 5.7 A). Transformant \textit{nir1} 4 was identified as a knockout and two ectopic transformants were identified. Transformants \textit{nir1} 1*,2*, and 3* were utilized for supporting data as deletion strains since they contain multiple insertions (Figure 5.7 B). FVEG\_10790 (\textit{NOR1}) Southern analysis using a 5’flank probe produced a \~6.5 kb knockout fragment with genomic digestion by \textit{XbaI} compared to the \~2.5 kb fragment detected in wild type (Figure 5.8 A). Transformant \textit{nor1} 2 was identified as a true knockout and one ectopic transformant was identified. Transformants \textit{nor1} 1* and 3* were also utilized for supporting data due to the multiple insertion (Figure 5.8 B).

**Growth rate of mutants on nitric oxide (NO) compared to wild type**

Growth of the deletion mutants and M3125 challenged with 1.5 mM DETA NONOate (NO donor) demonstrated significant differences in growth. Experiments had at least 3 biological repetitions with comparable trends. The flavohemoglobin \textit{f hb1} and \textit{f hb2} deletions each showed decreased growth with initiation of growth starting at \~30 hrs compared to \~20 hrs for wild type (\~20 hrs). Notably \textit{f hb2} deletion had a greater effect on growth than \textit{f hb1} (Figure 5.9 A). Similarly, \textit{nor1} deletion also produced a decrease in growth comparable to wild type, however, the \textit{nir1} deletion exhibited an increase in growth (Figure 5.8 B). The unexposed growth in PDB observed tight grouping of growth curves of the deletion mutants with wild type (Figure 5.8 C). Also, there was relatively tight grouping noted for the ectopic strains to the wild-type M3125
when exposed to NO (Figure 5.9 D). Comparison of all growth curves demonstrated a significant difference between NO exposed and unexposed treatments (Figure 5.9 E). The most notable growth effect was seen with exposure of the fhb1/fhb2 double deletion strains to NO, in which no growth was detected (Figure 5.9 A). At the end of the bioscreen experiment, wild-type M3125 and fhb1/fhb2 double deletion were sampled and plated onto PDA to determine if NO was biostatic or toxic (Figure 5.9 F). A survival rate of 7% was calculated and indicates the toxic effects of NO without the protection of the flavohemoglobins.

**Growth rate of mutants on nitrate (NO₃⁻) and nitrite (NO₂⁻) compared to wild type**

To understand the role of FHB1, FHB2, NIR1, and NOR1 in nitrate and nitrite metabolism, deletion strains were challenged on minimal media with either 40 mM of nitrate or nitrite under both hypoxic and atmospheric conditions. Hypoxic conditions were relevant given that the denitrification pathway was previously described as a hypoxic pathway in *F. oxysporum* (Shoun et al., 2012; Takaya and Shoun, 2000). All strains grew on nitrate minimal media under both hypoxic and normal atmospheric conditions (Figure 5.10 A and B, respectively). Interestingly, under hypoxic conditions the fhb1/fhb2 and nir1 deletions had slightly increased growth over most other strains and the wild type. No strains suffered a significant decrease in growth over wild type (Figure 5.10 A). No differences in growth were noted under normal atmospheric conditions (Figure 5.10 B).

Growth on nitrite media produced the most insightful results for the role of these genes in denitrification. Mutants fhb2, fhb1/fhb2, nir1, and nor1 were unable to grow on nitrite minimal media under hypoxic conditions and are hence required for denitrification (Figure 5.11 A). These
data suggest $FHB2$ is directly linked to denitrification and plays an important role during nitrite metabolism. All strains were able to grow on nitrite media under normal atmospheric conditions, although $fhb1/fhb2$ double deletion had an observable reduction of growth.

**Virulence of mutants during seedling infection and fumonisin production**

Seedling blight virulence of deletion mutants was tested in comparison to wild type. Seedling height and biomass were assessed 14 days after inoculation and all single gene deletions had similar effects on seedling growth comparable to wild type (Figure 5.12 A). In contrast the $fhb1/fhb2$ double deletion reduced seedling height and biomass. Thus, the $fhb1/fhb2$ mutant exhibited greater virulence on susceptible maize cultivar Silver Queen (Figure 5.12 B and C). Likewise, and linked to the increased virulence of $fhb1/fhb2$ on Silver Queen, soil sample analysis revealed a significant increase in fumonisin B1 (FB$_1$) accumulation in the soil (128.03±38.73 nmol/g soil FB$_1$) in the double deletion compared to wild type (57.98±13.06 nmol/g soil FB$_1$)

**Morphology and endogenous production of nitric oxide by *F. verticillioides* in wild type and mutants.**

Endogenous production of NO in *F. verticillioides* was explored with the use of the NO probe DAF-FM DA. The diacetate (DA) conjugate of DAF-FM allows for entry into cell where DA is cleaved off by esterases and traps the probe intracellularly (McQuade and Lippard, 2010). All strains demonstrated significant probe staining. Wild-type endogenous production of NO was observed mostly in the cytosol in most conidia and hyphae. It is unclear what the biological
implications are for the conidia and hyphae that did not stain in these samples and might be an artifact of asynchronized growth of the culture. Staining equivalent to wild type was seen in \textit{fhb2} and \textit{nir1} mutants (Figure 5.12 A). The \textit{fhb1/fhb2} and \textit{nor1} stains also demonstrated staining similar to wild type (data not shown). However, there were morphological differences noted in \textit{fhb1}, including increased phialide formations and greater intensity of DAF-FM DA (Figure 5.12 B).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we investigated the role of the flavohemoglobins FHB1 and FHB2 in detoxification of nitric oxide in \textit{Fusarium verticillioides}. These flavohemoglobins were demonstrated to be the primary detoxifying agents when challenged with exogenous NO. Moreover, we have placed these enzymes into a perspective of nitrate and nitrite metabolism. Somewhat surprisingly, genes from the denitrification pathway were highly induced as well as the flavohemoglobins when challenged with exogenous NO. As denitrification was previously characterized as a hypoxic pathway (Shoun et al., 1992; Takaya and Shoun, 2000), our experiments extend the functionality of this pathway to included detoxification of NO under oxygenic conditions in \textit{F. verticillioides}. Through our nitrate and nitrite media experiments \textit{FHB2} was linked to denitrification, as deletion of that gene renders the fungus incapable of growing on nitrite media under hypoxic conditions and suggests NO detoxification by \textit{FHB2} is important in hypoxic nitrogen metabolism of denitrification.

The ability to detoxify NO is a necessity for microorganisms across different environmental niches. NO is involved in most pathogenic interactions and its detoxification by flavohemoglobins has been implicated as a virulence factor in \textit{Candida albicans}, \textit{Cryptococcus}
and the bacterial plant pathogen *Erwinia chrysanthemi* during infections (Favey et al., 1995; Gardner, 2005; Idnurm et al., 2004; Ullmann et al., 2004). Organisms can contain one or many copies of the flavohemoglobins in their genome with perhaps differing functional roles. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* has two and *Candida albicans* has four (Lushchak et al., 2010; Ullmann et al., 2004). However some important pathogens, such as *Cryptococcus neoformans*, *Magnaportha oryzae*, and *Botrytis cinerea* have a single flavohemoglobin gene (Idnurm et al., 2004; Luis Turrion-Gomez et al., 2010; Samalova et al., 2013).

Certain *Aspergillus* species, including *A. oryzae* and *A. nidulans*, contain two paralog flavohemoglobins that function in different physiological roles. In *A. oryzae*, *Fhb1* is a cytosolic flavohemoglobin that is inducible by exogenous NO, whereas *Fhb2* is a mitochondrial locating flavohemoglobin and does not respond to exogenous NO (Zhou et al., 2010). *Fhb2* likely functions to detoxify nitric oxide produced in the mitochondria by respiratory chain reactions. A similar function was noted in *A. nidulans* (Schinko et al., 2010). In *F. oxysporum* there are orthologs of *FhbB* and *Fhb2*, FOXG_06984 and FOXG_15840 that contain the mitochondrial transpeptide sequence (Figure 5.1). However, *F. graminearum* or *F. verticillioides* seem to lack this type of flavohemoglobin. Instead, the *F. verticillioides* FHB2 does not contain a mitochondrial transpeptide sequence and appears in a unique clade paired with orthologous FOXG_17028 from *F. oxysporum*. These Fusarium FHB2 proteins show a common relationship with *Verticillium* flavohemoglobins. This clade represents significant soil-borne and vascular pathogens and thus may be relevant to their survival in that lifestyle (Figure 5.1). Interestingly, *F. graminearum* is not considered a significant or vascular soil-borne pathogen and lacks a FHB2 ortholog. However, it does share an FHB1 flavohemoglobin with *F. verticillioides*, *F. oxysporum* and *Nectria haematococca*. The FHB1 orthologs also lack a mitochondrial signal peptide and are
predicated to be cytosolic with \textit{FHB2}. Notably, another flavohemoglobin homolog exists in \textit{F. graminearum} (FGSG\_00765), which groups with different ascomycetes, including \textit{Nectria}, \textit{Myceliophthora}, \textit{Thielvaia}, \textit{Trichoderma}, and \textit{Sordaria} (Figure 5.1). Further investigation into this protein could provide interesting results on how \textit{F. graminearum} detoxifies NO in its specific lifestyle.

We hypothesized that flavohemoglobins were the primary mode of NO detoxification and thus would have the highest induction by exogenous NO in \textit{F. verticillioides}. However, microarray analysis revealed \textit{NIR1}, a dissimilatory nitrite reductase and an integral part of the denitrification pathway, to have 14 times higher expression than \textit{FHB2}. Also, the high expression of the other denitrification genes \textit{NAR1} and \textit{NOR1} were observed during NO exposure. Denitrification is the process of reverting fixed nitrogen back to gaseous nitrogen and is a substantial source of N$_2$O emissions common among soil bacterial species. This process robs the soil of nutrients and contributes to the release of a powerful greenhouse gas that’s nearly 300 times more effective than CO$_2$ (EPA, 2010). Limiting this microbial process in agricultural soils would be beneficial as agricultural soil is the main contributor of N$_2$O and this process removes nitrogen from soil (Long et al., 2013). Denitrification involves a 4 step process reducing nitrate (NO$_3^-$) to atmospheric nitrogen (N2) (Zumft, 1997). Catalysis at each step involves conversion of NO$_3^-$ to NO$_2^-$ to NO to N$_2$O, and finally to N$_2$ with the respective enzymes being dissimilatory nitrate reductase (dNar), dissimilatory nitrite reductase (dNir), nitric oxide reductase (Nor) and nitrous oxide reductase (Nos) (Zumft, 1997). In fungi, denitrification is rare and lacks the final nitrous oxide reductase (Nos), ending with N$_2$O instead of N$_2$. \textit{Fusarium oxysporum} and \textit{Cylindrocarpon tonkinense} denitrification has been modeled and demonstrated to produce energy under hypoxic conditions in the mitochondria (Kobayashi et al., 1996; Shoun et al., 1992; Shoun
and Tanimoto, 1991). Ours is the first molecular genetic analysis to thoroughly characterize through mutagenesis of the genes in the denitrification pathway.

\textit{F. verticillioides} clearly activates the denitrification pathway for detoxification of NO. Interestingly, this hypoxic pathway was activated during aerobic conditions with NO exposure. Furthermore, we demonstrate \textit{FHB2} has an important function for recycling NO to NO$_3^-$ in the denitrification pathway as this flavohemoglobin was necessary for \textit{F. verticillioides} to grow on nitrite under hypoxic conditions, where denitrification is the sole pathway for nitrite metabolism. Additionally, of the two flavohemoglobins \textit{FHB2} is postulated to be primarily responsible for detoxifying exogenous NO and likely acts as an extra flavohemoglobin for additional detoxification capabilities. Furthermore, we demonstrate the importance of the flavohemoglobins for protection of \textit{F. verticillioides} against NO stress with the \textit{fhb1/fhb2} double deletion in NO exposure experiments and on nitrite media. Furthermore, the NO stress leading to nitrosative stress is potentially triggering increased fumonisin production in \textit{F. verticillioides} and, by extension, virulence.

Our model suggests different functions of the two flavohemoglobins in \textit{F. verticillioides}. Assessment of gene expression with qPCR and microarrays indicated \textit{FHB2} is generally expressed at low levels and activated upon induction of the denitrification pathway, during NO stress or in nitrite metabolism. However, the \textit{FHB1} paralog is a constitutively expressed gene that likely provides consistent background scavenging of NO produced by normal cellular metabolism and by diffuse environmental NO. This would explain why the NO probing exhibited an abundance of NO probe fluorescence in \textit{fhb1} mutants. We hypothesize that these cases of greater fluorescence is caused by higher levels of NO in the cytosol not being detoxified in \textit{fhb1} deficient mutants, and these NO levels might be below the threshold for activation of
FHB2. Proliferation of phialides was also noted with increased NO detection in fhb1 mutants and may be attributable to morphological effects of increased background NO in the fhb1 mutants (Figure. 5.13 B). Understanding the function NO in F. verticillioides may help control F. verticillioides pathogenicity and even fumonisin production in maize seedling disease.
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Table 5.1. Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qPCR) Primers for FVEG_11186 (FHB1) and FVEG_13827 (FHB2). Primers are listed for FVEG_04081 (TUB2), which was utilized for normalization of qPCR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Set</th>
<th>Tm (°C)</th>
<th>gc%</th>
<th>Product Size (bp)</th>
<th>Sequence -3’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FHB1</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>CCAAGCTCCAGAAGGAACAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHB1</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>AGGAATGCCCTGACATTGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHB2</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>CTTTATCAACCCGCGAAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHB2</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>ATACTGACCACGGACGAAACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUB2</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>CAGCGTTCTCTGAGTTGACCAAACAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUB2</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>CTGGACGTTGCGCATCTGATCCTCG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 5.2.** Top 30 Genes by Fold Increase Expression After Nitric Oxide Exposure to Wild-type M3125 Based on Microarray Analysis. EST number is represented in the first column and the corresponding genome number is in the second column. Putative function is listed in the third column. The last column gives the fold changes of gene expression in wild type (M3125) treatment of nitric oxide compared to non-treated wild type (M3125) from microarray analyses. Genes of interest are bolded in the table.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Fold Change</th>
<th>Genome ID</th>
<th>Transcript ID</th>
<th>Broad Description</th>
<th>NCBI pBLAST Closest Match</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>FVEG_08676</td>
<td>FVET_08691</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>copper-containing nitrite reductase (NIR1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>FVEG_10494</td>
<td>FVET_10511</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>aminotransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>FVEG_00007</td>
<td>FVET_00007</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>choline transport protein; GABA permease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>FVEG_00006</td>
<td>FVET_00006</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>MFS Maltose permease MAL31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>FVEG_13151</td>
<td>FVET_13170</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>transcription factor WhiB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>FVEG_11970</td>
<td>FVET_11988</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>putative 4-hydroxyacetophenone monooxygenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>FVEG_01722</td>
<td>FVET_01724</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>transcriptional regulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>FVEG_03704</td>
<td>FVET_03708</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>formate/nitrite transporter family protein, putative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>FVEG_10401</td>
<td>FVET_10418</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>transcriptional regulator, putative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>FVEG_10773</td>
<td>FVET_10790</td>
<td>cytochrome P450 55A1</td>
<td>cytochrome P450 (NOR1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>FVEG_06152</td>
<td>FVET_06160</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>FVEG_07246</td>
<td>FVET_07256</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>FVEG_07808</td>
<td>FVET_07819</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>FVEG_09104</td>
<td>FVET_09119</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>FVEG_11973</td>
<td>FVET_11991</td>
<td>aldehyde dehydrogenase</td>
<td>aldehyde dehydrogenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>FVEG_09013</td>
<td>FVET_09118</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>Arrestins (regulates G protein-coupled receptors)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>FVEG_10772</td>
<td>FVET_10789</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>asparagine synthase, glutamine-hydrolyzing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>FVEG_13827</td>
<td>FVET_13847</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>flavohemoglobin (FHB2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>FVEG_12433</td>
<td>FVET_12452</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>glutathione s-transferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>FVEG_03329</td>
<td>FVET_03333</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>P450 oxidoreductase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>FVEG_01721</td>
<td>FVET_01723</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>Nitrate reductase (NAR1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>FVEG_08677</td>
<td>FVET_08692</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>FVEG_01600</td>
<td>FVET_01602</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>aromatic and neutral aliphatic amino acid permease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>FVEG_03315</td>
<td>FVET_03319</td>
<td>acetyl-CoA acyltransferase</td>
<td>3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase, peroxisomal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>FVEG_11142</td>
<td>FVET_11159</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>peroxisomal catalase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>FVEG_03361</td>
<td>FVET_03365</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>short-chain dehydrogenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>FVEG_08629</td>
<td>FVET_08644</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>FVEG_09401</td>
<td>FVET_09417</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>zinc-binding oxidoreductase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>FVEG_10493</td>
<td>FVET_10510</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>Beta-glucosidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>FVEG_06902</td>
<td>FVET_06911</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>C6 transcription factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>FVEG_11186</td>
<td>FVET_11203</td>
<td>nitric oxide dioxygenase</td>
<td>flavohemoglobin (FHB1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5.3. DelsGate Primers Used to Generate Deletion Constructs for FVEG_11186 (*FHB1*) and FVEG_13827 (*FHB2*). Primers 1, 2, 3, and 4 include extensions from DelsGate construct development for homologous replacement of the gene of interest. The underlined text in primers 1 and 4 are complementing *I-SceI* sequences that overlap. Primers 2 and 3 include the *attB1* and *attB2* sequences (bold), respectively for homologous integration into the pDONR vector. Primers 5 and 6 are utilized with HygF and KanR (from Garcia-Pedrajas et al., 2008), respectively, to detect the chimeric inserts. Primers 7 and 8 were used to detect the open reading frame (ORF).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Location/Addition</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>FHB1</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5’Fw w/ <em>I</em>-SceI</td>
<td>TAGGGATAAACAGGTAAT TGAGCAATGGCCGAGGTACACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5’Rv w/attB1</td>
<td>GGGGACAAAGTTTGTAACAAAAAGCAGGCTAT AAGCACCTGGGATCTTGATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3’Fw w/attB2</td>
<td>GGGGACCACTTTGTAACAAAAAGCAGGCTAT AGGCAAGGCTTGAGTTACGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3’Rv w/ <em>I</em>-SceI r/c</td>
<td>ATTACCTGGTTATCCCTTA TCGACCATGTGGTGTACATGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5’Fw outer</td>
<td>GCTGGAGGAGCTCAAGATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3’Rv outer</td>
<td>TCGTCGTCAGACCTTGTCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Fw - ORF</td>
<td>AGGTTCCTCGGTTCGTTGGACGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Rv - ORF</td>
<td>TGGCAGGTATTTCTCGTGCTTCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>FHB2</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5’Fw w/ <em>I</em>-SceI</td>
<td>TAGGGATAAACAGGTAAT TTGGTCGCGAAATGATGTTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5’Rv w/attB1</td>
<td>GGGGACAAAGTTTGTAACAAAAAGCAGGCTAT GCGTGCTTTTGAAGATTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3’Fw w/attB2</td>
<td>GGGGACCACTTTGTAACAAAAAGCAGGCTAT CGTAACCTCGTACCGCATCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3’Rv w/ <em>I</em>-SceI r/c</td>
<td>ATTACCTGGTTATCCCTTA TCGACCACGCTCGTGACATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5’Fw outer</td>
<td>CCCGAATCAATTGCAAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3’Rv outer</td>
<td>AGGGCTGACAAAGGTACAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Fw - ORF</td>
<td>GCCGAGCAGGCTTTATCAAAGCCCATT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Rv - ORF</td>
<td>AAGCCAGGATTTCCACCAGGATA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5.4. DelsGate Primers Used to generate Deletion Constructs for FVEG_08676 (*NIR1*) and FVEG_10773 (*NOR1*). Primers 1, 2, 3, and 4 include extensions from DelsGate construct development for homologous replacement of the gene of interest. The underlined text in primers 1 and 4 are complementing *I-SceI* sequences that overlap. Primers 2 and 3 include the *attB1* and *attB2* sequences (bold), respectively for homologous integration into the pDONR vector. Primers 5 and 6 are utilized with HygF and KanR (from García-Pedrajas et al., 2008), respectively, to detect the chimeric inserts. Primers 7 and 8 were used to detect the open reading frame (ORF).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Location/Addition</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>NIR1</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5’Fw w/ I-SceI</td>
<td>TAGGGATAACACGGGTAAT CCTCCGACATATCTATTGTGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5’Rv w/attB1</td>
<td>GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTAT GAAGGAGTTGCTGGTTATT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3’Fw w/attB2</td>
<td>GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAAGCAGGCTGTTA CAGAAATCTGGCGTTATCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3’Rv w/ I-SceI r/c</td>
<td>ATTACCCCTGTATCCCTA TTATCCTCAAACCTACGCAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5’Fw outer</td>
<td>AGCTTACTTCAGCCTTCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3’Rv outer</td>
<td>TACTCAGTAGCAGGAGATAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Fw - ORF</td>
<td>TGACTCAAGCCTCAGGTATAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Rv - ORF</td>
<td>CGAAGACCATTGGGATATGAG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| *NOR1* | 1 | 5’Fw w/ I-SceI | TAGGGATAACACGGGTAAT CTTGCTTCAAACCCCTTTCG |
| | 2 | 5’Rv w/attB1 | GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTAT GCCATGGTGATGGGAATAAC |
| | 3 | 3’Fw w/attB2 | GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAAGCAGGCTGGTA TATATCAACCCGCCAACCAT |
| | 4 | 3’Rv w/ I-SceI r/c | ATTACCCCTGTATCCCTA CAGTCTGGGCTTTCTCCTTG |
| | 5 | 5’Fw outer | CGCTTGTGGCTTTAACA |
| | 6 | 3’Rv outer | GCGTACTCAGCCTTCACA |
| | 7 | Fw - ORF | ACCTCGGAAGCAGTCAAGAA |
| | 8 | Rv - ORF | TAGTCGTAGGCACCCAAAC |
Figure 5.1. Neighbor-Joining Analysis of the Conserved Flavohaemoglobins Identified in Filamentous Fungi. NCBI and Broad Institute BLASTP search of the protein homologs of filamentous Ascomycetes are presented. *Fusarium verticillioides* contains two FHB paralogs (in red) and are in separate clades paired with a *Fusarium oxysporum* ortholog. Published flavohemoglobins in *Aspergillus* are listed name first. FHB homologs containing mitochondrial targeting signal peptide sequence are marked †. Predictions were made using TargetP, MitoProt II, and WoLF PSORT.
Figure 5.2 Growth Curves of *Fusarium verticillioides* Wild Type (M3125) Challenged with Nitric Oxide via NO-donor DETA NONOate. Growth curve was analyzed on a Bioscreen C challenging *F. verticillioides* with 0, 1.5, 3, and 6 mM NO-donor (DETA NONOate)
**Figure 5.3.** Quantitative Real time PCR of FVEG_11186 (*FHB1*) and FVEG_13827 (*FHB2*). Wild-type strain M3125 was exposed to 1.5 mM DETA NONOate (NO donor) for 15, 45, and 360 min. Gene transcription was noted for *FHB1* and *FHB2* at 45 min (approximately 50- and 150-fold, respectively). Transcription fold change is calculated via $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$. 
**Figure 5.4.** Deletion Construct and Southern Blot to Confirm Deletion of *FHB1*. (A) The ~2.1 kb *FHB1* ORF was replaced with the ~5.8 kb DelsGate construct created with the 5’ and 3’ flank of the *FHB1* ORF. Genomic DNA was cut with *HpaI* and probed with a 5’ flank DIG labeled probe. (B) WT allele was detected at ~3.9 kb and the deletion allele was detected at ~1.3 kb. Transformants were found to have the deletion allele. Ectopic transformant (*Ect.*) was utilized as a control in experiments.
**Figure 5.5.** Deletion Construct and Southern Blot to Confirm Deletion of *FHB2*. (A) The ~1.4 kb *FHB2* ORF was replaced with the ~5.8 kb DelsGate construct created with the 5’ and 3’ flank of the *FHB2* ORF. Genomic DNA was cut with *EcoRI* and probed for detection of the 3’ flank. (B) M3125 background WT allele was detected at ~1.7 kb and the deletion allele was detected at ~5.4 kb. Transformants were found to have the deletion allele. Ectopic transformant (*Ect.*) was utilized as a control in experiments. (C) Southern of A00999 background used for mating with M3125-*fhl1*. 
**Figure 5.6.** Multiplex Screening for Identification of *fhb1/fhb2* Double Deletion Mutants.

Progeny from a cross of *fhb1* (MAT1-1) to *fhb2* (MAT1-2) were screened to generate the *fhb1/fhb2* double deletion. (A) Double deletions were screened using ORF specific amplifying primers for *FHB1*, *FHB2*, and *TUB2*. There were 26 isolates screened and 9 of them lacked both the *FHB1* and *FHB2* ORF and were selected as the *fhb1/fhb2* double deletion. (B) Isolates were tested for mating type allele with 4 identified containing the *MAT1-1* loci and 4 identified containing *MAT1-2* loci.
**Figure 5.7.** Deletion Construct and Southern Blot to Confirm Deletion of *NIR1*. (A) The ~1.5 kb *NIR1* ORF was replaced with the ~5.8 kb DelsGate construct created with the 5’ and 3’ flank of the *NIR1* ORF. Genomic DNA was cut with *EcoRV* and probed for detection of the 5’ flank. (B) WT allele was detected at ~1.9 kb and the deletion allele was detected at ~8.5 kb. Transformant 4 was found to have the deletion allele. However, transformants 1, 2, and 3 were noted with an extra insertion and were marked *. Ectopic transformant (*Ect.*) was utilized as a control in experiments.
**Figure 5.8.** Deletion Construct and Southern Blot to Confirm Deletion of *NOR1.* (A) The ~1.6 kb *NOR1* ORF was replace with the ~5.8 kb DelsGate construct created with the 5’ and 3’ flank of the *NOR1* ORF. Genomic DNA was cut with *XbaI* and probed for detection of the 5’ flank. (B) WT allele was detected at ~2.5 kb and the deletion allele was detected at ~6.5 kb. Transformant 2 was found to have the deletion allele. However, transformants 1 and 3 were noted with an extra insertion and marked with an *. Ectopic transformant (*Ect.*) was utilized as a control in experiments.
Figure 5.9. Growth Curves *Fusarium verticillioides* Wild Type, Deletion Mutants, and Ectopic Controls Challenged with 1.5 mM DETA NONOate (NO Donor). (A) Flavohemoglobin mutants *fhb1*, *fhb2*, and *fhb1/fhb2* compared to M3125 (*wt*) following exposure to NO. (B) *nor1* and *nir1* mutants compared to M3125 (*wt*) following exposure to NO. (C) Mutant growth without exposure to NO showing the grouping with M3125 (*wt*). (D) Ectopic transformants growth patterns compared to M3125 (*wt*) following NO exposure. (E) The growth rates of all strains in one chart (unexposed control marked C). (F) NO exposed and unexposed M3125 (*wt*) and *fhb1/fhb2* regrown on PDA to view survival rate.
Figure 5.10. Growth of Wild Type and Mutants on Minimal Media Containing Nitrate (40 mM) Under Oxygenic and Hypoxic Environments. (A) Oxoid chambers were utilized for generating the hypoxic conditions. (B) Normal atmospheric conditions. Radial growth (mm) was measured after 7 days ($n=3, P < 0.05$)
Figure 5.11. Growth of Wild Type and Mutants on Minimal Media Containing Nitrite (40 mM) Under Oxygenic and Hypoxic Environments. (A) Oxoid chambers were utilized for generating the hypoxic conditions. (B) Normal atmospheric conditions. Radial growth (mm) was measured after 7 days ($n=3$, $P < 0.05$)
**Figure 5.12.** Virulence of WT and Mutants on Susceptible Maize Cultivar Silver Queen (14 Days After Planting). (A) Virulence of mutants *fhb1*, *fhb2*, *nir1*, and *nor1* was comparable to wild type. (B) Seedling height and (C) total biomass of roots and leaves reflects virulence of mutants compared to wild type (*n*=3, *P* < 0.05). (D) Fumonisin B1 detected in soil (*n*=3, *P* < 0.05).
Figure 5.13. Morphology and Detection of NO in M3125 (WT) and Deletion Mutants fhb1, fhb2, and nir1 Using the NO Probe DAF-FM DA. (A) Top left (DIC) and top right (fluorescence) at 200X. Lower panel merged demonstrating detection of endogenous NO production by the fungus. (B.) Further comparison of wt and fhb1 (100X).
Figure 5.14. Proposed NO Detoxification and Denitrification Pathway in *F. verticillioides*. NO is detoxified to nitrate by either FHB1 or FHB2. FHB1 is proposed to have a primary role in detoxifying endogenous NO, whereas FHB2 is most active during denitrification and in response to exogenous NO. *FHB1* (red) is constitutively expressed at high levels, compared to induction of *FHB2* (grey) by NO. dNaR, dNiR (*NIR1*), and P450nor (*NOR1*) are predicted to be mitochondrial. FHB1 and FHB2 are predicted to be cytosolic. Predictions made using TargetP, MitoProt II, and WoLF PSORT.
The ascomycetous fungus *Fusarium verticillioides* (tel. *Gibberella moniliformis*) of the order Hypocreales is a non-obligate plant pathogen of maize causing a number of economically significant diseases including root rot, kernel rot, seed rot, stalk rot, and seedling blight (Kommedahl and Windels, 1981; Kuldau and Yates, 2000). In particular, seedling blight disease results in fumonisin mycotoxin accumulation, which is necessary for pathogenicity. Seedling blight and fumonisin exposure results in a decrease in seedling root mass, stunted aerial growth, and manifestation of various leaf abnormalities (Glenn et al., 2008; Williams et al., 2006; Williams et al., 2007). The mechanism of fumonisin toxicity involves inhibition of ceramide synthase and disruption of sphingolipid metabolism resulting in accumulation of toxic levels of sphingoid base metabolites and depletion of complex sphingolipids (Wang et al., 1991).

Subsequent investigation of the aerial tissues by analyzing the first, second, and third leaves determined the highest fumonisin accumulation occurred in the first leaf of maize seedlings grown from seed inoculated with *F. verticillioides* (Zitomer et al., 2008). Accumulation of fumonisins in these tissues is noted as containing a significantly higher ratio of FB$_1$ to FB$_2$ and FB$_3$ compared to the observed accumulations in the roots (Zitomer et al., 2008), suggesting a mechanism of either greater accumulation of FB$_1$ over the other analogs by selective transport or by selective production of FB$_1$ by the fungus colonizing the leaf. Further questions arose about the mechanism of fumonisin accumulation when uninoculated seedlings were watered with FB$_1$ and FB$_2$ without detectable accumulation in the leaf tissues (Zitomer et
al., 2010), thus suggesting the fungus is essential for accumulation of FB₁ in the leaves. This study investigates mobility and accumulation of FB₁ with systemic infection by inoculating seedlings with fumonisin-producing and fumonisin non-producing strains of *F. verticilloides*. To separate systemic infection and fumonisin mobility, strains lacking the ability to produce conidia were also examined. FB₁ was detected in the first and second leaves with no detected colonization of the aerial tissues of seedlings grown from seed inoculated with the fumonisin-producing aconidial strain. In comparison, wild-type *F. verticilloides* colonized the leaves and accumulated FB₁. Therefore, root colonization by *F. verticilloides* is necessary for discriminant accumulation of FB₁ in leaves but not by direct fungal colonization of the leaf.

Accumulation of FB₁ also results in the accumulation of sphingoid bases (phytosphingosine and sphinganine) and their 1-phosphates in the roots and leaves of maize seedlings. Others have demonstrated that in *Arabidopsis thaliana* sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) and phytosphingosine-1-phosphate (Pso-1P) regulate stomatal behavior. Our objectives were to evaluate physiological effects of *F. verticilloides* on maize seedlings, including whether FB₁ alters maize transpiration and stomatal aperture behavior. This interaction could play a dramatic role in *Fusarium* pathogenesis as a consequence of the elevated levels of sphingoid base 1-phosphates in leaf tissues during pathogenesis by effecting plant physiological processes, such as transpiration. Our research shows a direct effect on transpiration by either inoculation of the fungus or by watering with FB₁. Our epidermal peel experiments showed a significant decrease in stomatal aperture in the 10 µM ABA treatment (t=2.910, *P*<0.05) (Figure 4.4) and demonstrates the capability for further investigation into possible molecular effects of FB₁, the sphingoid bases, or the sphingoid base 1-phosphates on stomatal aperture.
Cross-talk between signaling pathways in pathogenic interactions is common. Recently, sphingoid bases have been shown to induce an early defense and signaling event in plants known as the nitric oxide (NO) burst (Guillas et al., 2013). Also, given the prominence of NO in other pathogenic interactions we investigated the possible role of NO in the biology and virulence of *F. verticillioides* using molecular methods. Specifically this study addressed detoxification of the NO by the flavohemoglobins *FHB1* and *FHB2* in *F. verticillioides*. Microarray analysis revealed a significant induction of *FHB2* (17-fold) when the fungus was exposed to exogenous NO (1.5 mM) and a 2-fold increase of *FHB1*. In comparison the highest induction at 246-fold was a dissimilatory nitrite reductase (*NIR1*) along with high induction of other genes from the denitrification pathway, including a P450 nitric oxide reductase (*NOR1*). Deletion mutants (*fhb1*, *fhb2*, *nor1* and *nir1*) were generated and challenged on NO, nitrate, and nitrite media. The *fhb1*, *fhb2*, and *nor1* mutants were restricted in growth and the *fhb1/fhb2* double deletion mutant was unable to grow when challenged with NO. All mutants were able to grow on nitrate and nitrite media. However, mutants *fhb2*, *nir1*, and *nor1* were unable to grow on nitrite under hypoxic conditions. Also, *fhb1/fhb2* had restricted growth on nitrite under normal atmospheric conditions. Combined, data suggests the importance of the flavohemoglobins in nitrite metabolism. Seedling blight assays using Silver Queen maize seedlings revealed the *fhb1/fhb2* double deletion mutant to be more virulent than wild type and had double the production of FB1. Our data demonstrate a significant role of the denitrification pathway in NO detoxification and links such detoxification to virulence and FB1 production.
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